Aqueous Phase Reforming of Sorbitol for Value Added Chemicals Production

by Nur Fatin Dariah binti Mohamad Daud 15668

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) (Chemical Engineering)

SEPTEMBER 2015

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS 32610 Bandar Seri Iskandar, Perak Darul Ridzuan

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Aqueous Phase Reforming of Sorbitol with Value Added Chemical Production

by

Nur Fatin Dariah Binti Mohamad Daud 15668

A project dissertation submitted to the Chemical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (CHEMICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(Dr. Mohammad Tazli Bin Azizan)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

BANDAR SERI ISKANDAR, PERAK

September 2015

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

NUR FATIN DARIAH BINTI MOHAMAD DAUD

ABSTRACT

Biomass has been considered as the only sustainable source of energy and organic carbon for our industrial society. Biomass is a highly useful and renewable resource countless potential for utilization as a basis for hydrogen production. The behaviour of polyol (sorbitol) from hemicelluloses was investigated in aqueous phase reforming over supported Ni/Alumina catalysts. The effects of metal loading on the Ni/Alumina support on the catalytic performance were also studied. The objectives of this project which are to synthesize and characterize Ni/Alumina catalyst promoted by Ca for aqueous phase reforming (APR) of sorbitol and to conduct APR of sorbitol in order to identify the value added chemical that produced are investigated. The catalysts were synthesized by using several techniques such as Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and H₂-Temperature Programmed Reduction (H₂-TPR) to distinguish its characteristics. The TGA results shows that the optimum calcination temperature for all the catalysts is at 500°C. Prior the catalytic activity test, all the catalysts were reduced in hydrogen flow by using the Tubular Furnace Reactor (TFR). The catalytic activity test was carried out in a batch-type reactor, PREMEX Autoclave reactor at 230°C, 10 bar and 450 rpm. Last but not least, the most important element that makes this project different and interesting is the addition of the cheap promoter (Ca) that will be added to study the effect of each of them in Ni/y- Al₂O₃. The liquid products produced from the catalytic activity test of sorbitol are analyzed by using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GCMS) and High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, greatest appreciation to Allah SWT the Almighty which always give strength to complete this Final Year Project (FYP).

Sincere gratitude is hereby to the following people who were able to give their willingness to assist, for their unwavering and never-ending support, encouragement, valued time and financial assistance for the accomplishment of this project.

To author's parents, Mohamad Daud bin Yang and Rohaya binti Omar for their constant inspirations and motivations along the journey in doing this project.

To FYP supervisor, Dr. Mohammad Tazli bin Azizan, for his vision and mission of attaining more quality and relevant research for this project, for the shared expertise research and learning experiences, untiring guidance and encouragement in doing this project.

To all lecturers of Chemical Engineering Department, UTP either direct or indirect contributions to this project especially to the coordinators of FYP I and FYP II, Dr. Sintayehu and Dr. Nurul Ekmi for their efforts in assisting FYP students.

To Ph.D students, Madiha and Mariam, for their readiness to spend their time to assist encountered hardship in this project especially in conducting the experimental works.

In addition, to all lab technologists from Chemical Engineering Department and Mechanical Engineering Department for their assistance in providing the equipments and apparatus needed to conduct the experiment successfully. Last but not least, to all friends for their moral support throughout this project was conducted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL									
CERTIFICATI	ON OF (DRIGINALITY	iii						
ABSTRACT			iv						
ACKNOWLED	GEMEN	Т	V						
TABLE OF CO	NTENTS	5	vi						
LIST OF ABBR	EVIATI	ONS	viii						
LIST OF FIGU	RES		ix						
LIST OF TABL	ES		Х						
CHAPTER 1	1								
	1.1	Background of Study	1						
	1.2	Problem Statement	2						
	1.3	Objectives	3						
	1.4	Scope of Study	3						
CHAPTER 2	CHAPTER 2 THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW								
	2.1	General Issue	4						
	2.2	Technologies Used	6						
	2.3	Aqueous Phase Reforming of Polyols	7						
	2.4	Sorbitol	9						
	2.5	Aqueous Phase Reforming of Sorbitol	12						
		2.5.1 Catalysts Selection for Sorbitol							
		Transformation	15						
		2.5.2 Catalytic Activity Test of Sorbitol	16						
CHAPTER 3	MET	HODOLOGY	19						
	3.1	Research Methodology	19						
	3.2	Gantt Chart and Key Milestones for FYP	21						
	3.3	Chemicals, Apparatus and Equipment	22						
	3.4	3.4 Catalyst Preparation							

3.5.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) 27 3.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) 27 3.5.3 H ₂ -Temperature Programmed Reduction 27 3.5.3 H ₂ -Temperature Programmed Reduction 27 3.6 Catalytic Activity Test 28 3.6.1 Sorbitol Preparation 28 3.6.2 Experimental Procedure 28 3.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions 29 3.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions 30 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 31 4.1 Introduction 31 4.2 Catalyst Preparation 31 4.3 Catalyst Characterization 31
3.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) 27 3.5.3 H2-Temperature Programmed Reduction (H2-TPR) 27 3.6 Catalytic Activity Test 28 3.6.1 Sorbitol Preparation 28 3.6.2 Experimental Procedure 28 3.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions 29 3.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions 30 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 31 4.1 Introduction 31 4.2 Catalyst Preparation 31 4.3 Catalyst Characterization 31
3.5.3 H ₂ -Temperature Programmed Reduction (H ₂ -TPR) 27 3.6 Catalytic Activity Test 28 3.6.1 Sorbitol Preparation 28 3.6.2 Experimental Procedure 28 3.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions 29 3.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions 30 CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 31 4.1 Introduction 31 4.2 Catalyst Preparation 31 4.3 Catalyst Characterization 31 4.3 L Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) 32
(H2-TPR)273.6Catalytic Activity Test283.6.1Sorbitol Preparation283.6.2Experimental Procedure283.6.3GCMS Operating Conditions293.6.4HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION4.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)32
3.6Catalytic Activity Test283.6.1Sorbitol Preparation283.6.2Experimental Procedure283.6.3GCMS Operating Conditions293.6.4HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION4.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)32
3.6.1Sorbitol Preparation283.6.2Experimental Procedure283.6.3GCMS Operating Conditions293.6.4HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION4.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3Thermographing Conduction31
3.6.2 Experimental Procedure283.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions293.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION4.1Introduction4.1Introduction4.2Catalyst Preparation4.3Catalyst Characterization4.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)
3.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions293.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION314.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)32
3.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions30CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION314.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)32
CHAPTER 4RESULT AND DISCUSSION314.1Introduction314.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3LThermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)32
 4.1 Introduction 31 4.2 Catalyst Preparation 31 4.3 Catalyst Characterization 31 4.3 1 Thermogravimatric Analysis (TCA) 32
4.2Catalyst Preparation314.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TCA)32
4.3Catalyst Characterization314.3.1Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)32
4.2.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) 22
4.5.1 Thermogravinetic Analysis (TOA) 52
4.3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) 33
4.3.3 H ₂ -Temperature Programmed Reduction
(H ₂ -TPR) 36
4.4 Aqueous Phase Reforming Performance of
Sorbitol 38
4.4.1 Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry
(GCMS) Analysis 39
4.4.2 High Performance Liquid Chromatography
(HPLC) Analysis 40
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 43
5.1 Conclusion 43
5.2 Recommendations 44
REFERENCES 46
APPENDICES 50

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

γ -Al ₂ O ₃	Gamma Alumina
APR	Aqueous Phase Reforming
BET	Brunauer-Emmet-Teller method
Ca	Calcium
CaCl ₂ .2H ₂ O	Calcium Chloride Dehydrate
СО	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
H ₂	Hydrogen
H_2SO_4	Sulphuric Acid
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
Mg	Magnesium
Mg(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O	Magnesium Nitrate Hexahydrate
Ni	Nickel
Ni(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O	Nickel NitrateHexahydrate
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TFR	Tubular Furnace Reactor
TPR	Temperature Programmed Reduction
WGS	Water-Gas Shift

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Possible cellulosic biomass utilization pathways (Navarro,						
	2007)	5					
Figure 2.2	Types of hydrogen technologies	6					
Figure 2.3	The chronology of aqueous phase reforming (Kirilin, 2014)	7					
Figure 2.4	Hydrogenation of glucose to produce sorbitol	11					
Figure 2.5	Primary conversion routes for sorbitol (Q. Zhang, 2014)	11					
Figure 2.6Distribution of products in APR of sorbitol							
Figure 2.7	The main pathways of sorbitol transformations during APR						
	chosen for mathematical modeling of kinetics (Wei, 2014)	13					
Figure 2.8	Bi-functional reaction pathways for the production of						
	alkanes from sorbitol over catalysts with metal and acidic						
	components	14					
Figure 2.9	Reaction pathways for hydro-deoxygenation of sorbitol over						
	platinum bi-functional catalyst (Huber, n.d)	15					
Figure 3.1	Project Flow	19					
Figure 3.2	Experimental Activities	20					
Figure 3.3	γ -Al ₂ O ₃ in furnace for calcination process	24					
Figure 3.4	Dilution of Ni(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O salt solution	24					
Figure 3.5	Stirring process of Ni(NO3)2.6H2O solution with calcined						
	Al ₂ O ₃	24					
Figure 3.6	Addition of (i) Ca and (ii) Mg into solution	25					
Figure 3.7	Crushing process using mortar	25					
Figure 3.8	HPLC Equipment	30					
Figure 4.1	TGA profiles for 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	32					
Figure 4.2	H ₂ -TPR analysis for 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	36					
Figure 4.3	H ₂ -TPR patterns for 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃ with the addition of:						
	(a) 0.5% Ca, (b) 3% Ca, (c) 5% Ca	37					
Figure 4.4	GCMS analysis for APR with 3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	38					
Figure 4.5	HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol solution	39					
Figure 4.6	HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	39					

Figure 4.7	HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 0.5% Ca + 10%							
	Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	40						
Figure 4.8	HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 3% Ca + 10%							
	Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	40						
Figure 4.9	HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 5% Ca + 10%							
	Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	41						

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Characteristics of sorbitol (Wei, 2014)	9						
Table 2.2Structures of Glycerol, Glucose and Sorbitol chain								
Table 2.3Comparison study for catalysts used for APR of sorbitol								
Table 2.4	Research on the experimental activity aqueous phase of sorbitol	18						
Table 3.1	Gantt Chart	21						
Table 3.2	List of chemicals and apparatus	22						
Table 3.3	List of equipment needed for catalysts preparation	22						
Table 3.4	Catalysts Composition	23						
Table 3.5	List of equipment for catalyst characterization	26						
Table 3.6	Experimental Variables	29						
Table 3.7	HPLC Operating Conditions	30						
Table 4.1	SEM Images of catalysts at 500X, 1500X and 3000X	33						
	magnifications							
Table 4.2	SEM-EDS, catalyst elemental distribution	35						
Table 4.3	The conversion of sorbitol with respect to the value added	42						
	chemicals produced							
Table 4.4	Data from HPLC for Sorbitol	42						

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Energy acts as the backbone of every modern society. However, the production of energy is one of the main contributors toward the global climate change which lead to global warming. Based on the future forecast for the economic growth in Asia Pacific and industrial development in Africa indicate that the total world energy demand will rise up from 462 quadrillion British Thermal Units (BTU) in 2005 up to 695 quadrillion BTU by 2030. The increment of CO₂ emissions to around 30 Gt per year from utilization of fossil fuel can lead to the global warming (Ribeiro, 2007). Therefore, incentives have been taken to find alternatives fuels which are from renewable sources such as biomass. In the "Roadmap for Biomass Technologies", report that authored by 26 leading experts has predicted that 20% of transportation fuel and 25% of chemicals will be produced from biomass by 2030 (Thompson, 2003).

Biomass is a highly useful and renewable resource countless potential for utilization as a basis for hydrogen production. The potential of biomass materials can be used as a feedstock for hydrogen production includes agricultural and forestry products and waste residues, livestock effluents, waste from the food processing industry, waste from the pulp and paper industry, sewage sludge and consumer wastes (Florin & Harris, 2007). Graham et al., mentioned that there are various method for producing hydrogen from biomass (Graham, 1984). However, this project will focus on the aqueous phase reforming (APR)of sorbitol. Sorbitol is selected as one of the 12 biomass derived molecules that can be used for the production of fuels and chemicals as transformation of sorbitol into hydrocarbons is currently considered to be as a promising technology for the production of second-generation biofuels. In this project, the characterization of catalyst Ni/Alumina promoted by Ca/Mg for aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol and the value added chemical that will be produced is investigated.

1.2 Problem Statement

The increment of global demand for energy, chemicals and materials combined with the environmental issues in our current society had led to the efforts to develop low environmental effect technologies from renewable sources. Since the interest of alternatives raw materials to conventional fossil raw materials have increasing over recent years, it has been contributing to the foundation of a new paradigm which is the bio refinery concept. Basically, a bio-refinery is a similar concept to the conventional refinery which aims to maximize the outputs for energy, fuels, chemicals and materials from the processing of the raw materials. In this case, biomass and waste are selected as the renewable feed stocks and converted into valuable markets products by using a series of sustainable and low environmental impact technologies.

The bio refinery concept have encouraged a major concern of interaction between scientists from different fields including bio chemistry, biology, environmental sciences, economics and bio-chemical engineering in an attempt to shift to a bio-based industry that can make use of renewable resources for an increased competitiveness. The improvement of a more integrated approach to resource management based sustainable strategies along the whole supply chain to valorize residues, by products and waste in order to maximize the ratio products/feedstock is essential.

Therefore, approaches have to be made to process the waste residues aiming to produce high added value end products which can be implemented into current markets. Recently, a new pathway was proposed for bio-fuels production. It is the direct transformation of biomass derived-product, which is sorbitol into liquid hydrocarbon in aqueous medium over a heterogeneous acid-metallic bi-functional catalyst (Carol, 2013). Another way in the aim to change the mentality and perception in society on the waste instead as an issue, this project have make biomass derived product which is sorbitol as a resource to produce the value added chemical by using the aqueous phase reforming (APR) method.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- To synthesize and characterize Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst promoted by Ca/Mg for APR of sorbitol.
- 2. To conduct aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol to identify the value added chemical that has been produced.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scopes of study of this project are:

- 1. Setting up a laboratory scale experiment to prepare Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst using incipient wetness impregnation method.
- 2. Studying the effect of promoting the catalyst with Ca/Mg.
- 3. Characterization of catalysts using TGA, SEM, SEM-EDS, and H₂-TPR.
- 4. Evaluating the performance of catalysts.
- 5. Identification of value added chemical produced with its product distribution.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW & THEORY

2.1 General Issue

Today, as petroleum is playing one of the main role in the world economy, global energy crisis nowadays is led by the rapid growth consumption of fossil fuels and depletion of total crude-oil reservations. Due to the fossil fuel pollutant gas emission, it has caused a huge bad impact on environment and public health. One of the biggest environmental issues in human history is global warming that caused by the increasing emission of greenhouse gas that was introduced by burning of fossil fuel (Wei, 2014).

As stated by Cortright & Davda, the continuous increment of energy demands and the depletion of fossil fuels reserves make the hydrogen an attractive alternative energy source. Hydrogen is currently derived from nonrenewable natural gas and petroleum but also can be produced from renewable resources such as biomass or water (Cortright, 2002).

Fuel cells based on hydrogen are environmentally clean, quiet and efficient in energy conversion and power generation. However, most mature hydrogen methods require high-temperature steam to reform non-renewable resource such as fossil fuel and natural gas (Davda, 2005). In order to achieve a full environmental friendly generating power from hydrogen fuel cells, hydrogen must be produced from renewable sources for example biomass. Biomass is said to be the promising sources to sustain the production of hydrogen in the era of dropping fossil fuels assets. On the other hand, biomass has great potential as a substitute for fossil feedstock for the renewable production of transportation fuels and industrially important chemicals. Biomass is a plant material resulting from the reaction between carbon dioxide, CO_2 in the atmosphere, water and sunlight through the photosynthesis process to produce carbohydrates that form the structure blocks of biomass (Kendry, 2002).

Efforts on the production of new fuels from biomass have been focused which is called "biofuels". It can give good distribution over the surface of the earth and important potential for development. The carbon emitted from the biomass sources can be recycled which reabsorbed by the plants or crops for photosynthesis process (Janssen, 2014).



FIGURE 2.1 Possible cellulosic biomass utilization pathways (Navarro, 2007)

On the other hand, He and Chen mentioned that chemical energy in biomass is mostly stored in the form of glucose. The major constituents of biomass such as starch, cellulose, lactose and sucrose which are the complex sugars can be degrading to obtain glucose by fermentation process. The conversion of biomass to hydrogen by processing glucose to hydrogen production plays an important role (He, 2012). Since the petrochemical technologies that have been well developed over the last decade are not valid to process the biomass-derived compounds, the shift from a petroleum-based economy to one based on biomass needs new strategies. As biomass-derived platform molecules are known to have a high oxygen content that gives them low volatility, high solubility in water, high reactivity and low thermal stability, catalytic aqueous-phase technologies fulfill the properties of these resources at moderate temperatures (Serrano-Ruiz, 2011). Industrial feasibility of the aqueous-phase reforming process is supported by a successful process startup for APR by Virent Energy Systems21 and developing of Bio-reforming Platform combining APR and conventional chemical methods for production of diesel, gasoline, jet-fuel and chemicals.

2.2 Technologies Used

However, the conversions of biomass to hydrogen still a challenge because the processes produce low production rates and require complex processing. Several types of hydrogen technologies have been identified for the purpose of hydrogen conversion from biomass.



FIGURE 2.2 Types of Hydrogen technologies

2.3 Aqueous Phase Reforming of Polyols

The aqueous phase reforming was first introduced by Dumesic and coworkers in 2002. It is a catalytic transformation of biomass-derived oxygenates which allows production of both hydrogen and hydrocarbons. The transformation of sugars and polyols into hydrogen in aqueous phase at low temperature (220-260°C) using the heterogeneous catalyst was first studied by Cortright et al. From this study, they got a mixture of hydrogen, carbon dioxide CO₂, and gaseous alkanes together with the products in aqueous phase. This process is named Aqueous Phase Reforming (APR). Flowchart below shows the chronology of APR since year 1933 until the latest development.



FIGURE 2.3 The Chronology of aqueous phase reforming (Kirilin, 2014)

Interests in using APR process to produce hydrogen have developed strongly due to its significantly advantages over other techniques. The advantages of hydrogen production using APR of carbohydrates are as below:

- 1. The need to vaporize both water and the oxygenated hydrocarbon is eliminated which will reduce the requirements of energy for hydrogen production.
- 2. The nonflammable and non-toxic oxygenated compounds of interest allow them to be stored and handled safely.
- The water-gas shift reaction of APR occurs in favorable conditions that make it possible to generate hydrogen with low amounts of CO in a single chemical reactor.
- Undesirable decomposition reactions that usually occur when carbohydrates are heated to high temperature can be minimized as APR occurs at low temperature.
- 5. By using pressure-swing adsorption or membrane technologies, APR is conducted at pressures (normally 15-50 bar) where the hydrogen-rich effluent can be effectively purified and carbon dioxide can be effectively separated.
- 6. Accomplished in a single-step and low temperature process in production of hydrogen and carbon dioxide.

As in the reaction shown below, alkane is transformed into hydrogen (H_2) and carbon monoxide (CO). Then, the carbon monoxide reacts further in the present of excess water through the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction to produce carbon dioxide (CO₂) and more hydrogen.

Equation (1): Transformation of Alkane into Hydrogen and Carbon Monoxide

$$C_n H_{2n+2} + n H_2 0 \leftrightarrow nCO + (2n+1) H_2$$
 (1)

Equation (2): Water-gas shift reaction (WGS)

$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (2)

2.4 Sorbitol

Sorbitol is broadly used in food and medicine. Sorbitol also referred as a nutritive sweetener since it is often used in diet food as sugar substitute. This is because, compared to sugar and starch, sorbitol provides fewer calories to the diet while retaining 60% of the sweetness. Silveira.MM and Jonas.R (2002) also stated that sorbitol has been act as an increasing industrial interests which polyol that is found in many fruits as a sweetener, humectant, texturizer and softener.

Besides, sorbitol also known as glucitol. It is a sugar alcohol that human body metabolizes slowly. Most of sorbitol is made from corn syrup. On the other hand, it is a polyol found in a lot of fruits such as apples, pears, peaches, and prune. However, sorbitol not only can be produced chemically. It also can be produced biotechnologically.

Systematic name	D-glucitol								
Metabolism	Partially absorbed in the upper gastrointestinal tra								
	where it undergoes digestion, and non-absorbed part is								
	metabolized by colonic bacteria								
Biological Activities	Non-cariogenic								
	Reduced calorie value								
	• Very low glycemic index								
Industrial	Catalytic hydrogenation of sugar, starch or glucose								
Manufacturing	syrups, at high temperature (typically, 100–150 $^{\circ}$ C)								
	and high pressure [100–150 bar]								
Reported side effects	Osmotic diarrhea as a result of intestinal mal-								
	absorption when ingested dose is greater than 50								
	grams per day								

TABLE 2.1 Characteristics of sorbitol (Wei, 2014)

Nowadays, sorbitol is not only produced chemically, but also by biotechnologically using the bacterium Zymomonasmobilis as catalyst for production of sorbitol and gluconicacid. This is possible in a one-step reaction through a glucose-fructose oxidoreductase so far only known from Z. mobilis.

Sorbitol has been chosen as one of the 12 biomass-derived molecules that can be used for the production of fuels and chemicals as stated by the US Department of Energy because it has been considered as a high potential reagent. On the other hand, sorbitol is one of the most attractive biomass derived resources for APR process since last decade. As mentioned by S. Ramaswamyetal.,sorbitol is a potential key chemical intermediate from biomass resources for deriving a number of intermediates and chemicals such as propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, glycerol, lactic acid, and isosorbide.

Sorbitol have molecular formula of $C_6H_{14}O_6$ which is double the carbon bond from Glycerol which having molecular formula $C_3H_8O_3$. The molar mass of sorbitol is 182.17 g/mol which is approximately double the Glycerol which having molar mass of 92.02 g/mol.Comparisonof Glycerol (C₃H₈O₃), Glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆) and Sorbitol ($C_6H_{14}O_6$) chain as per below:



TABLE 2.2 Structures of Glycerol, Glucose and Sorbitol chain

Sorbitol can be obtained by the reduction of glucose, which changes the aldehyde group to a hydroxyl group though the process called hydrogenation of glucose. Figure 2.4 shows the hydrogenation of glucose to produce sorbitol:



FIGURE 2.4 Hydrogenation of glucose to produce sorbitol

As National Institute of Starch stated that the annual production of sorbitol is 800,000Mt encouraged this project to carry out because of the availability of natural and renewable sources for sorbitol. In the figure below shows the primary conversion routes for sorbitol.



FIGURE 2.5 Primary conversion routes for sorbitol (Q. Zhang, 2014)

2.5 Aqueous Phase Reforming of Sorbitol

During aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol, the main products that are formed are hydrogen, carbon dioxide and a mixture of alkanes in the gas phase and also oxygenated products which mainly present in liquid phase. Figure below shows the illustration of the distribution of products in the APR of sorbitol that consists a mixtures of hydrogen, carbon dioxide, alkanes and oxygenated products.



FIGURE 2.6 Distribution of products in APR of sorbitol

In theory, APR consist of two steps which the sorbitol is first undergoes reforming into hydrogen and CO. Then, the CO produced will be transformed into CO_2 and hydrogen by the Water Gas Shift (WGS) reaction. Typically, those two reactions are catalyzed by a supported metal catalyst at elevated temperature (210-250°C) and pressures of 30-50 bars.

Equation 3: Reforming of sorbitol:

$$C_6H_{14}O_6 \leftrightarrow 6CO + 7H_2$$
(3)

Equation 4: Water Shift Gas Reaction (WGS):

$$6CO + 6H_2O \leftrightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2$$
(4)

Equation 5: Aqueous Phase Reforming (APR):

$$C_6H_{14}O_6 + 6H_2O \leftrightarrow 6CO_2 + 13H_2$$
(5)

The pathway of APR process of sorbitol is said to be similar as glucose. Whenever C-C bond cleavage occurs, one molecule of carbon monoxide and hydrogen are formed with directly formed one molecule of carbon dioxide and extra hydrogen molecules. Aiouache et al. have studied another reaction mechanism which presented an intermediate during APR process of sorbitol. Sorbitol is said was converted to generic intermediates ($C_xH_yO_z$) then formed hydrogen and carbon through water-shift gas reaction. The homogeneous side reactions of sorbitol are claimed have been successfully reduced which becomes the utmost advantage of sorbitol over glucose feedstock (Y.Wei, 2014).

Besides, Y. Wei et al. has stated that the result of Davda's investigation of APR process using sorbitol and glucose as feedstock gave 13-60% of hydrogen selectivity under the same reaction conditions. Figure below shows the main pathways of sorbitol transformations during APR that have been chosen for mathematical modeling of kinetics.



FIGURE 2.7 The main pathways of sorbitol transformations during APR chosen for mathematical modeling of kinetics (Wei, 2014)

As shown in the main pathways of sorbitol transformations during APR above, the path 1 shows the part of sorbitol to alcohols, $C_nO_nH_{2n+2}$ with n<6, starting with dehydrogenation on the metal sites leading to a corresponding aldehyde.

In Path 2, sorbitol undergoes dehydrogenation on acidic sites to a ketone followed by hydrogenation to a C_6 alcohol with one hydroxyl group less than the starting sorbitol (Kirilin, 2013). Kirilin also stated that this initial step of dehydration is considered to be the rate determining one, while the following steps of hydrogenation - dehydration - hydrogenation were merged together.



FIGURE 2.8 Bi-functional reaction pathways for the production of alkanes from sorbitol over catalysts with metal and acidic components

Huber (n.d) studied that aqueous phase hydro-deoxygenation of carbohydrates to produce a wide range of products including C1-C6 alkanes, C1-C6 primary and secondary alcohols, cyclic ether and polyols. It has been reported that Ni is one of the metals that favors the production of alkanes from polyols (sorbitol) over hydrogen due to the fact that C-O bond cleavage is favored more than C-C bond cleavage over these metals.



FIGURE 2.9 Reaction pathways for hydro-deoxygenation of sorbitol over a platinum bi-functional catalyst (Huber, n.d)

Sorbitol has more limitations for APR process compared with other biomass derived polyols. However, as glucose derived polyols, sorbitol has great potential in APR process of hydrogen production.

2.5.1 Catalysts Selection for Sorbitol Transformation

Modification of the catalyst and/or the temperature of the process modifies the composition of the resulting gas. For example, in earlier work Virent founders discovered that the aqueous-phase reforming of sorbitol, glycerol, and ethylene glycol solutions produced an effluent gas stream composed of 50-70 mol% H₂, 30-40 mol% CO₂, and 2–11 mol% alkanes (dry basis) at high conversion.

As stated by Huber, the selectivity towards alkanes can be adjusted by modifying the catalyst composition, pH of the feed, reaction conditions and the reactor design. For example, the selectivity towards heavier alkanes can be increased when a solid acid catalyst (SiO2/Al₂O₃) is added to Pt/Al₂O₃, whereas the selectivity towards hydrogen decreases from 43% to 11%. This behavior indicates that the majority of the hydrogen production by reforming reaction is consumed by the production of alkanes when the catalyst contains sufficient number of acid sites.

Nickel catalysts have gained tremendous attention because they are active in hydrogenation, hydro-treating and steam reforming reactions. The idea of supporting nickel catalysts on high surface area ceramic substrates like alumina is to increase the surface area of the catalyst.

2.5.2 Catalytic Activity Test of Sorbitol

Q.Zhang stated that the catalytic activity test of the catalyst was carried out in a batch-type autoclave reactor with a capacity of 250 ml. Oxygen in the reactor was removed by purging it with hydrogen for three times the reaction was performed at 240°C and 4.0Mpa for 1 hour under the stirring speed of 200r min⁻¹ (Q. Zhang, 2012).

Kirilin have performed aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol at 225°C and 29.3 bar in a continuous reactor over supported Pt/Al₂O₃. Products such as isosorbide and organic acids (100–500 ppm) were observed in the liquid products from an empty reactor with a feed of 5 wt% sorbitol heated at 538 K and 57.5 bar, whereas negligible amounts of liquid products were observed at 498 K and 36.5 bar. The conversion and the alkanes selectivity were measured by the formulas below:

Equation 6: Sorbitol Conversion Formula Sorbitol conversion(%) = $\frac{\text{Sorbitol}_{m} - \text{Sorbitol}_{residue}}{\text{Sorbitol}_{m}} \times 100$ (6)

Referen	Cataly	rst	Reaction	Sorbitol	H ₂	Products					
ce			Conditions	conversion	Selectivity						
	Metal	Support		(%)	(%)						
Kirilin et	Pt	Al ₂ O ₃	T= 498 K	100 %	43%	H ₂ , CO ₂ ,					
al., 2014			P = 29.3			and a					
			bar			mixture					
						of					
						alkanes.					
Aiouche	Zeolit	Ni	T= 498 K	Not	Not	Not					
et al.	e		P = 29.3	reported	reported	reported					
			bar								
Davda et	Pt	Al ₂ O ₃	T= 498 K	Not	13-60%	Not					
al.			P = 29.3	reported		reported					
			bar	Ĩ		1					
Huber et	Pt	Al ₂ O ₃	-	Not	60%	Not					
al.				reported		reported					
Q.	HZM	Ni	T= 500C	Refer	Not	47.6% of					
Zhang et	S-5			appendix	reported	bio					
al., 2012				А		gasoline					
						yield					
Dumesic	Pt/Si	Al ₂ O ₃	T= 498 K	58-89%	Not	Not					
, 2004	O ₂		P = 39.6		reported	reported					
			bar								

TABLE 2.3Comparison study for catalysts used for APR of sorbitol

Who	Title of Article	Catalyst	Catalytic	Products
		Preparation	activity test	
Kirilin et al, 2002 Qing	Kinetic Modeling of Sorbitol Aqueous- Phase Reforming over Pt/Al ₂ O ₃ Isoparaffin production	Incipient wetness impregnation method. Incipient	Used a batch-type autoclave reactor Used a	Isosorbide Iso-
Zhang	by aqueous phase	wetness	batch-type	paraffin
et.al., 2011	processing of sorbitol over the Ni/HZSM-5 catalysts : Effect of the calcination temperature of the catalyst	impregnation method.	autoclave reactor.	
Qi Zhang et. al., 2012	Aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol to bio-gasoline over Ni/HZXM-5 catalysts	Incipient wetness impregnation method.	Batch-type high pressure autoclave	Bio- gasoline
Qing Zhang et.al., 2014	Production of liquid alkanes by controlling reactivity of sorbitol hydrogenation with a Ni/HZXM-5 catalyst in water	Incipient wetness impregnation method.	Used a batch- type autoclave reactor.	Liquid alkanes

TABLE 2.4	Research on the ex	perimental activity a	aqueous phase of sorbitol
-----------	--------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology

This chapter comprises of the project research methodology and experimental activities. Figure below illustrated the project flow for this study.



FIGURE 3.1 Project Flow

In the beginning, the author identified the objectives of this research project and understanding the problem statement. The author has gathered all the relevant information from several trusted sources such as journals, books and websites.

On the other hand, method to conduct the experimental activities has been studied and identified properly. The chemicals, apparatus and equipment needed are listed as well as the data needed to collect from experiments. Experimental activities are conducted once all the important matters are prepared.

Then, the author analyzed and interpreted the findings and comparison has been made from the literature studies that have been made earlier. Last but not least, the findings are documented and reported in detail and some recommendation for future improvement also discussed in this report. For experimental activities are divided into three main parts that is illustrated as below:



FIGURE 3.2 Experimental Activities

First of all, the author prepared 9 nickel based catalysts promoted of bimetallic pair with calcium and magnesium salts through wetness impregnation method. Once the catalysts have been synthesized, they undergo catalysts characterization to know the physiochemical properties through several techniques such as TGA, H₂-TPR and SEM analysis.

Lastly, these catalysts are evaluated in a reactor under nitrogen flow to study their performances in aqueous phase reforming reaction with sorbitol. The liquid product produced from this reaction is analyzed with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GCMS) and High Performance of Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) equipment to know the value added chemicals produced.

3.2 Gantt Chart and Key Milestones for FYP

		FYP 1						FYP 2																					
#	Detail	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Selection of Project Topic																												
2	Critical literature review of aqueous																												
2	phase reforming																												
2	Requisition of chemicals and laboratory																												
3	apparatus																												
4	Research methodology and Project																												
4	Activities																												
5	Submission of Extended Proposal to							~																					
5	Supervisor							U																					
6	Proposal Defense																												
7	Project Work Continue																												
8	Submission of Interim Draft Report													0															
9	Submission of Final Interim Report														0														
10	Synthesis of catalyst																												
	Characterization of catalysts and																												
11	evaluation of catalytic activity of the																												
	catalysts																												
12	Submission of Progress Report																					•	0						
13	Pre-Sedex																									0			
14	Submission of Draft Report																									0			
15	Submission of Dissertation (soft bound)																										0		
16	Submission of Technical Paper																										0		
17	Viva																											0	
18	Submission of Project Dissertation																												0
10	(Hard Bound)																												
	Legend Duration Interval • FYP I Key Milestone • FYP II Key Milestone																												

TABLE 3.1Gantt Chart

3.3 Chemicals, Apparatus and Equipment

The chemicals, apparatus and equipment that was used for this project are listed in the table below:

Chemicals/Apparatus	Quantity	Purpose
Gamma Alumina	1 kg	Catalyst Synthesis
Nickel Nitrate Hexahydrate	500 g	Catalyst Synthesis
Magnesium Nitrate Hexahydrate	500 g	Catalyst Synthesis
Calcium Chloride Dehydrate	500 g	Catalyst Synthesis
Sorbitol	1 kg	Catalytic Activity Test
0.005 M Sulphuric Acid	1 L	Mobile Phase for High Performance Liquid Chromatography
Parr Batch Reactor	1	Catalytic Activity Test
Beaker 200 ml	2	Catalyst Synthesis
Beaker 500 ml	2	Catalyst Synthesis
Crucible	6	Catalyst Synthesis
Hot Plate Stirrer	1	Catalyst Synthesis
Magnetic Bar	1	Catalyst Synthesis
Spatula	2	Catalyst Synthesis

TABLE 3.2List of chemicals and apparatus

 TABLE 3.3
 List of equipment needed for catalysts preparation

Equipment	Function
Drying Oven	For drying purpose.
Furnace	To remove the moisture in the catalyst.

3.4 Catalyst Preparation

Based on the literature review and researches that have been made, the method used to prepare the catalyst for this project is by incipient wetness impregnation (IWI) method to investigate the hydrogenation of sorbitol in the liquid phase. For this project, nine (9) samples will be prepared by using nickel nitrate hexahydrate, Ni (NO₃)₂.6H₂O, magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, Mg(NO₃)₂.6H₂O and calcium chloride dehydrate CaCl₂.2H₂O on gamma alumina, γ -Al₂O₃ support. However, gamma alumna (γ -Al₂O₃) is prepared as a base only.

	Sample	Composition of Al ₂ O ₃ :Ni:Ca:Mg	
1.	10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	90:10:0:0	
2.	0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	89.5 : 10 : 0.5 : 0	
3.	3% Ca + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	87:10:3:0	
4.	5% Ca + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	85:10:5:0	
5.	0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	89.5 : 10 : 0 : 0.5	
6.	3% Mg + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	87:10:0:3	
7.	5% Mg + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	85:10:0:5	
8	0.5% Ca + 0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/ γ-	89 · 10 · 0 5 · 0 5	
	Al2O3		
9.	5% Ca + 5% Mg + 10% Ni/ γ -Al2O3	80 : 10 : 5 : 5	

TABLE 3.4 Catalysts Composition

Based on the composition in the table above, calculation is made to formulate the solution as attached in Appendix B.

The procedure to prepare Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst, promoted by Ca/Mg using the incipient wetness impregnation method as follows:

1. γ -Al₂O₃ was placed into crucible and calcined at 500°C in furnace for 24 hours.



FIGURE 3.3 γ -Al₂O₃ in furnace for calcination process

2. Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂Osalt was weighted and diluted in distilled water to become aqueous solution.



FIGURE 3.4 Dilution of Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O salt solution

3. Calcined Al₂O₃ was mixed with Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂Osolution. The mixture was stirred for 4 hours.





4. The mixture was dried in oven for 24 hours. Figure below shows the difference in color for addition of Ca and Mg in the mixture that was dried. The dried mixture was crushed using mortar and strained to get fine powder.



FIGURE 3.6 Addition of (i) Ca and (ii) Mg into solution



FIGURE 3.7 Crushing process using mortar

- 5. For promoted catalysts preparation, the calcium and magnesium salt was added into Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O solution. Step 3 to 5was repeated.
- The catalysts were sent for thermogravimetric analysis to know the most suitable calcination temperature before it is calcined in a muffle furnace for 16 hours.
- 7. The catalysts were sent for characterization.

3.5 Catalyst Characterization

Table below shows the list of equipment that is used for the catalyst characterization.

Method of	Equipment	Function(s)
Characterization		
Brunauer-Emmett- Teller (BET) analysis		To measure the surface area and structural properties of the samples by N ₂ multilayer adsorption.
programmed reduction (TPR)		existence, their interaction and degree of reducibility.
Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)		Characterization of nano-structure, to observe the real surface structures with optimum contrast.
X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)		 To identify the crystalline material and unit cell dimensions.(Dutrow, 2015) To analyze the minerogical of solid materials for phase determination.
Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)		 To identify the catalysts' thermal stability and composition. To know the calcination temperature of the catalysts.

 TABLE 3.5
 List of equipment for catalyst characterization
3.5.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

To know the suitable calcination temperature, the catalysts were sent for thermogravimetric analysis. The catalysts were analyzed by using a computerized TGA equipment, Perkin Elmer Model PYRIS 1. The temperature was increased from 30°C until 800°C with the heating rate of 5°C/min in oxygen-free nitrogen gas as the carrier.

3.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning Electron Microscopy was performed to obtain the microscopy images in order to observe the real surface structure of the catalysts. The catalysts were analyzed under magnification of 500X, 1500X and 3000X with the scale of $100\mu m$, $50\mu m$ and $20\mu m$ respectively by using the PhenomProXdekstop scanning microscope. Sample structures can be physically examined and their elemental composition can determined from the Phenom ProX that is a fully integrated designed EDS detector.

3.5.3 H2-Temperature Programmed Reduction (H2-TPR)

20 mg of each catalyst was used to be analyzed with TPDRO 1100 Equipment to determine the reducibility of the catalysts by temperature programmed reduction. The catalyst firstly pretreated at 250°C in a pure nitrogen (N₂) stream for 1 hour with the flow rate 30 ml/min and heating rate of 10°C/min. After cooling to room temperature, the sample was introduced with 5% H_2/N_2 flow at the heating rate 5°C/min for 1 hour.

3.6 Catalytic Activity Test

The catalysts will be evaluated in PREMEX autoclave reactor (batch type reactor) with a capacity of 250ml. 0.05 mol of sorbitol and catalyst is stirred together and the reaction is fed with Nitrogen gas. Further experimental procedure is explained in Section 3.6.2.

3.6.1 Sorbitol Preparation

The desired amount of 0.05 mol sorbitol in 150 ml of distilled water is calculated as below:

Mole needed (n) =
$$\frac{\text{Mass of sorbitol needed}}{\text{Molar Mass of sorbitol}}$$
 (7)

Data available:

- Molar mass of sorbitol = 182.17 g/mol
- Mole needed (n) = 0.05 mol

After substituting the data into Equation (7), the mass of sorbitol needed is 9.1 gram. This sorbitol is diluted with 150 ml distilled water.

3.6.2 Experimental Procedure

In conducting the experiment, all the operating parameter such as temperature and pressure are fixed. The type of catalysts is been varied. Each type of catalysts will be tested with 0.05 mol of sorbitol to see the effect of metal loading (Ca) catalyst. Table 3.6 shows the experimental variables that will be used throughout of the experiment.

Constant Variable	Temperature: 230°C		
	Pressure: 20 bar		
	Speed of rotation: 450 rpm		
	Amount of sorbitol : 0.05 mol in 150 ml distilled water		
Manipulated variable	Type of catalysts		
Responding variable	The types of value added chemicals that are produced.		

TABLE 3.6Experimental Variables

The procedure of catalytic activity test as below:

- 1. 1g of catalyst is weighed using a weigh balance.
- 2. Sorbitol solution and catalyst are put together in the reactor.
- 3. Autoclave reactor is switch on.
- 4. All the parameters are set as the details in the table above.
- 5. After 30 minutes, the reforming liquid is been taken out from discharge vessel.
- 6. The liquid is analyzed using High Performance Liquid Chromatography(HPLC) to know the existence of the value added chemicals been produced.
- 7. All steps are repeated by using different catalyst.

3.6.3 GCMS Operating Conditions

In conducting the GCMS Analysis, a few parameters need to be setup. Acetonitrile is used as solvent during the sample analysis. The inlet temperature will be 280°C with the injection volume of 1 μ L. The split ratio is 50:1, with the initial temperature 40°C (5°C/min) and hold time, 10 minutes. The temperature is raised further until 200°C with the rate 10°C/min and hold time 10 minutes.

3.6.4 HPLC Operating Conditions

HPLC is used to determine the retention time of sorbitol with different concentration without any catalyst and the value added chemicals produced from the different type of catalysts. A proper operation conditions for HPLC is very important to examine the accurate retention time. Table 3.7 shows the operating conditions for HLPC equipment.

Injection Volume: 30µL	Detector: UV
Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min	Column: Eclipse XDB C18,
Pressure: 48 bar	5 µm, 46 x 150 mm, Agilent
Temperature: 30°C	Runtime: 35 minutes
Mobile Phase: 0.005M of H ₂ SO ₄	Signal: 215 nm and above

TABLE 3.7 HPLC Operating Conditions



FIGURE 3.8 HPLC Equipment

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the author discussed the results of the catalysts characterization and the reaction discussion. All the calculations involved in the catalysts formulation also will be explained in this chapter. Besides calculation, this chapter will explain the results of catalyst characterization from TGA, SEM, SEM-EDS and H₂-TPR. Last but not least, the catalytic activity of the catalysts with sorbitol also will be discussed in detail.

4.2 Catalyst Preparation

The weight of catalyst that is prepared is 25g each. The percentage of nickel loading is kept constant at 10% for each catalyst. The composition of supported metal, Ca and Mg is varied to 0.5%, 3% and 5% loading. Nine samples with different compositions are prepared based on the calculation in Appendix C.

4.3 Catalyst Characterization

The catalysts are characterized and analyzed from the results of TGA, SEM, SEM-EDS, H₂-TPR.

4.3.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

The purpose of doing the thermogravimetric analysis is to determine the most suitable calcinations temperature of each catalyst. The weight loss, thermal behavior and the structural of the catalysts also can be investigated from this analysis (Zangouei, 2010). For this project, TGA measures weight changes for each catalyst as a function of temperature in minute under a controlled atmosphere. The graph shows the weight percent (%) and derivative weight percent (%/min) versus temperature of Ni/Al₂O₃ respectively.



FIGURE 4.1 TGA profiles for 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

As show in the graph, the weight percentage slowly decreases as temperature increase. The derivative weight percent indicates the weight loss per minute. The weight loss per minute progressively happened as the temperature increase to 500°C. The most suitable temperature for calcination is where the graph shows the weight loss starts to be constant which is approximately within the range of 450 to 550°C.

The results obtained similar with the TGA investigation from Zangouei and friends in year 2010. From all the TGA results obtained, the suitable temperature for all of the catalysts for calcination is concluded to be similar, which is approximately 500°C. For this project, the calcination temperature of the catalyst is kept constant at 500°C. The results of TGA for promoted catalysts are attached in the Appendix D.

4.3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used to investigate the morphology of the catalyst. Each catalyst undergoes 500X, 1500X and 3000X magnifications. Table 12 shows the micrographic images of the catalysts.

Catalyst	500X	1500X	3000X
$\gamma - Al_2O_3$			
10% Ni/Al2O3			THE PARTY OF A LA LACE
0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃			

TABLE 4.1SEM Images of catalysts at 500X, 1500X and 3000X magnifications



The morphology of the catalysts clearly shows that nickel, calcium and magnesium particles are visibly dispersed on the catalyst. By using the incipient wetness impregnation method, with the addition of Ca/Mg on the Alumina support, the researcher can see that the elements are dispersed on the surface of the support.

Catalyst	Composition (%)	
1	Aluminium – 43.7	
$\gamma - Al_2 O_2$	Oxygen – 55.4	
1	Yytrium – 0.9	
2	Aluminium – 20.9	
10% Ni/Al2O3	Nickel – 65.6	
	Oxygen – 13.5	
3	Aluminium – 42.5	
0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Nickel – 48.2	
	Oxygen – 9.3	
	Aluminium – 43.0	
4	Nickel – 11.4	
3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Oxygen – 35.9	
	Calcium – 9.7	
	Aluminium – 21.1	
5	Nickel – 25.9	
5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Oxygen – 47.8	
	Calcium – 5.2	
	Aluminium – 19.1	
6	Nickel – 67.7	
0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Oxygen – 12.1	
	Magnesium – 1.0	

TABLE 4.2 SEM-EDS, catalyst elemental distribution

From the SEM-EDS, the composition of elements distributed on each catalyst can be identified. For 0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst, the composition of calcium cannot be detected due to the small amount of composition on the support. However, for 0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃, the amount of Mg can be detected is very low which only 1.0%.

4.3.3 H₂-Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR)

To distinguish the reducible species existence, the interaction and degree of reducibility, H₂-TPR was conducted. The graphs of detector versus temperature of all the catalysts are attached in Appendix E. From the graphs, the suitable temperature for reduction with hydrogen flow before the catalytic reaction is conducted can be determined. The temperature for reducibility that is chosen is the highest temperature that showing peaks in the detector readings.



FIGURE 4.2 H₂-TPR analysis for 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

From the graph of H₂-TPR analysis of 10% Ni/Al₂O₃, the highest peak is at 514°C. It is the temperature where a Ni oxide is removed from the catalyst to form metal state. The reduction temperature to be reduced in hydrogen flow must be higher than the stated temperature or else, the amount of hydrogen flow need to be increased or increase the reduction period in order to ensure all the Ni oxide is removed from the catalyst.

The H₂-TPR patterns of 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ which added with different composition of calcium are exhibited in Figure 4.2.As shown in the figure, the TPR pattern of 0.5% Ca+ 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ sample showed one broad reduction peak in the range of 497-600°C and one small peak in the range 750-800°C representing the reduction of calcium.



FIGURE 4.3 H₂-TPR patterns for 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ with the addition of: (a) 0.5% Ca, (b) 3% Ca, (c) 5% Ca

From the patterns showing that the Ni oxides are reduced at temperature 497°C for all the catalysts with calcium loading on Ni/Al₂O₃ which is lower temperature than Ni/Al₂O₃ without any metal (514°C). This shows that the calcium loading into the Ni/Al₂O₃ had reduced the temperature needed for the reduction of Ni oxide. This clarify that the calcium loading will make the energy required will be lower. The result of H₂-TPR analysis for 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ is similar from what have been found by Li, G., et al. (2006).where the Ni/Al₂O₃ was reduced between 500 and 920 °C.

As shows in appendix E, for 10% Ni/Al₂O₃, different calcium loading and 0.5%Ca+0.5%Mg loading on 10% Ni/Al₂O₃support showing the suitable temperature for reduction is similar, which is at 500°C. Different magnesium loading and 0.5%Ca+Mg loading on 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ support shows that the suitable reduced temperature is at 800°C and 450°C respectively.

Hence, from the H_2 -TPR analysis, the suitable reduction temperature for the catalysts is determined. All calcium loadings catalysts are reduced with purified hydrogen flow at 500°C for one hour prior to the catalytic activity testing.

4.4 Aqueous Phase Reforming Performance of Sorbitol

The liquid products from the aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol with different composition of catalysts are analyzed by using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GCMS) and High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis. Figure below is the liquid products from the APR of sorbitol with different calcium loading in 10% Ni/Al₂O₃.All the reacted products have pungent smell.

4.4.1 Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GCMS) Analysis

From GCMS analysis, only liquid products obtained from 3% Ca with 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ is successfully determined that is Hexadecane. As shown in the graph below, the retention time of Hexadecane is 24.445.



FIGURE 4.4 GCMS analysis for APR with 3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

For other catalysts are not able to be detected by GCMS. This may caused by the unavailable standard of the product in the GCMS system.

4.4.2 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis

First of all, 0.05 mol of sorbitol is analyzed to know the retention time of sorbitol without any catalyst. From the graph obtained, it can be deduced that the highest and only peak, 6.3641 mAU is belongs to sorbitol. The retention time of sorbitol is 4.032 minutes.



FIGURE 4.5 HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol solution



FIGURE 4.6 HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

From the reaction of 10% Ni/Al_2O_3 with sorbitol, eight peaks are produced from the HPLC analysis. Figure 4.5 shows the highest peak is belongs to sorbitol at retention time of 4.022 minutes with the height of 18.39 mAU. The area under the sorbitol peak is 308.02 mAU*s. Another 7 peaks are unable to identify because their retention time do not fall in the value of the retention time of HPLC for standard solution provided.



FIGURE 4.7 HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

APR of sorbitol by using 0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst managed to produce six (6) peaks that may indicate 6 different components. The first peak is belong to the unconverted sorbitol, that having the retention time of 4.036 minutes. One peak with the retention time of 5.245 minutes is close to the value of retention time of ethanol, at 5.251 minutes. Therefore, this peak is assumed belongs to ethanol.



FIGURE 4.8 HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

On the other hand, ten (10) peaks have been produced for reaction with 3% $Ca + 10\% Ni/Al_2O_3$. However, only a few peak able to identified. The first peak is sorbitol that having the retention time of 4.033 minutes. Propanol has been detected in the reaction of sorbitol with 3% $Ca + 10\% Ni/Al_2O_3$, that having the retention time of 4.844 minutes.



FIGURE 4.9 HPLC Result of 0.05 mol of sorbitol using 5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃

Last but not least, six (6) peaks are produced for 5% Ca loading on the catalyst. The first peak is the unconverted sorbitol solution. The value added chemicals produced from this catalyst is propionaldehyde since the retention time is very close to the retention time provided from standard solution, 4.745 minutes.

The conversion of sorbitol is calculated by using the formula as below:

$$Peak Area = height X width X 0.5$$
(8)

 $Total area = Peak area 1 + Peak area 2 + \dots$ (9)

Mole % for Peak Area
$$1 = (Peak area 1 / Total area) X 100$$
 (10)

From the peaks that are identified, some calculations have been done by using above formula. Table 4.3 is the tabulated sorbitol conversion and value added chemicals produced.

Samplas	Conversion of	Value added chemicals
Samples	Sorbitol (mol %)	produced
Sorbitol	-	-
10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	85.25	Unknown liquid products
0.5% Ca. 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	69.09	Ethanol + other liquid
	0,10,	products
3% Ca. 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	77.76	Propanol + other liquid
		products
5% Ca. 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₂	82.46	Propionaldehyde + other
	02.40	liquid products

TABLE 4.3The conversion of sorbitol with respect to the value added chemicalsproduced

On the other hand, sorbitol solutions with concentration of 1 wt.%, 5 wt.% and 10 wt.% is tested with HPLC to know the retention time without any catalysts. The HPLC result for these concentrations are attached in Appendix F. Table below is the data extracted from the HPLC results of sorbitol.

Species	Concentration (wt%)	Area (mAU*s)	Retention Time (min)	
Sorbitol-1	1%	116.1097	4.168	
Sorbitol-2	5%	242.14	4.169	
Sorbitol-3	10%	339.2267	4.1	

TABLE 4.4Data from HPLC for Sorbitol

From the data obtained from HPLC, the researcher manages to tabulate them as shows in the Table 4.4 and construct a calibration curve Area versus Concentration as attached in Appendix G. From the graph obtained, gradient of the graph can be determined from the graph. The equation obtained, y = 2457.1x +101.45 can be used to calculate the concentration (x) by using the same gradient, m=2457.1. This calibration curve is very useful for future to determine the unknown concentration (x) of sorbitol with given area (y) under the curve from HPLC analysis. However, the calibration curve that has been plotted is only valid for the concentration within 1 wt.% until 10 wt.%.

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The transformation of lignocellulose-derived polyols in aqueous phase reforming creates an interesting, emerging way to produce high-value compounds for the fuel or chemistry industries. The main objectives of this project are to synthesize and characterize Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst promoted by metal (Ca) for aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol are achieved. On the other hand, the value added chemical that will be produced by the aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol with the prepared catalysts will be studied with the appropriate methodology and planning.

In conducting this project, ten samples with different composition of nickel and promoter which are calcium and magnesium on alumina support are prepared and synthesized by using the incipient wetness impregnation method. The characterizations of the catalysts are conducted using few techniques which are TGA, SEM, and H₂-TPR. From the TGA results, the best temperature for the catalysts for calcination has been identified, along with the thermal degradation of the catalysts. From the SEM results, the difference of the crystal growth and morphology of the catalyst has been identified with and without the promoter of Ca/Mg on the alumina support. The reducibility of each catalyst is determined by the variations of graph Detector (mV) versus Temperature (°C) that are produced from the H₂-TPR analysis.

Before the catalytic activity test of sorbitol is performed, calibration curve graph are developed from the HPLC results of sorbitol from different concentration. From the calibration curve, it can be useful for the researcher in the future to know the unknown concentration of sorbitol within the range of 1wt.% to 10 wt.% by knowing the area under the curve obtained from the HPLC results. The linear equation obtained from the calibration curve is y=2457.1x + 101.45.

The catalytic activity will be done in the PREMEX Autoclave reactor under nitrogen flow for one hour with temperature 230° C. The product produced from the reaction is analyzed by the GCMS and HPLC analysis. One of the achievable products produced is Hexadecane (C₁₆H₃₄) from 3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts with sorbitol. This proves that cheap metal (Ca) also can be used to produce something brand new or expensive materials like alkanes products. However, from the HPLC analysis, Ethanol, Propanol and Propionaldehyde are detected.

The scopes of study, the objectives that need to achieved and all the experimental researches are relevant within the time frame. All the objectives of this project which is to synthesize and characterize Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst promoted by Ca/Mg has been achieved and to investigate the value added chemicals production from the aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol are achieved.

5.2 **Recommendations**

In this research, there are some limitations occurred in completion of this project:

 The equipment unavailability in the laboratory led to the time consuming. Before the catalytic activity test is conducted, the catalysts need to be reduced in hydrogen flow by using Temperature Programmed Desorption/ Reduction/ /Oxidation (TPD/R/O) equipment. However, the equipment is malfunctioned thus alternative way was identified to reduce the catalysts. Alternative way was, the catalysts will be reduced with hydrogen flow in the reactor for 30 minutes before adding the sorbitol solution for the catalytic activity test.

- 2. Purified hydrogen is highly flammable. Gas mixture of 5% H_2/N_2 is not available in the laboratory. Since purified hydrogen is highly flammable, the reaction may cause hazards to safety thus it was not permissible to run the reaction by using the purified oxygen. Hence, a suggestion to use gas mixture of 5% H2/N2 was proposed, however it is not available in the laboratory.
- 3. It is recommended to conduct the HPLC analysis for all the standards solution which may become the possible value added chemicals that will be produced from the catalytic reaction of sorbitol. This is to ensure the unknown produced chemicals can be identified by referring to the retention time from the HPLC analysis. Besides, it is recommended to conduct the experiment repetitively to ensure accurate results can be obtained.

REFERENCES

- Black Pig Ltd,(2013). CAT4BIO Conference: Advances in Catalysis for Biomass Valorization Johnson Matthey Technology Review.
- Carbolite Limited. Carbolite Leading Heat Technology. (2015). http://www.carbolite.com/applications/heating-applications/calcination/
- Cortright, R.D., R.R. Davda, and J.A, (2002). Dumesic. Hydrogen from catalytic reforming of biomass-derived hydrocarbons in liquid water, *Nature*. 418(6901), p. 964-967.
- Davda, et al., (2005). A review of catalytic issues and process conditions for renewable hydrogen and alkanes by aqueous-phase reforming of oxygenated hydrocarbons over supported metal catalysts, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 56(1–2), p. 171-186.
- Davda, R.R. and J.A. Dumesic, (2004). Renewable hydrogen by aqueous-phase reforming of glucose,. *Chemical Communications*, (1), p. 36-37.
- Dutrow. B. L.,et.al., (2015). X-ray Powder Diffraction (XRD). Retrieved from: http://serc.carleton.edu/research_education/geochemsheets/techniques/XRD.htm l
- Janssen, P. J. D., Lambreva, M. D., Plumeré, N., Bartolucci, C., Antonacci, A., Buonasera, K., & Rea, G. (2014). Photosynthesis at the forefront of a sustainable life. *Front Chem*, 2.
- Kahn Ribeiro et al., (2007). Transport and its infrastructure, In Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

- LAB CENTER XRF-1800 Wavelength Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer|Top : SHIMADZU (Shimadzu Corporaon).2015. Retrieved from: http://www.shimadzu.com/an/elemental/wdxrf/xrf1800/xrf.html
- Li, G., et al. (2006). Comparison of reducibility and stability of alumina-supported Ni catalysts prepared by impregnation and co-precipitation, *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 301(1), 16-24.
- Lin et al., (2013). Food waste as a valuable resource for the production of chemicals, materials and fuels. Current situation and perspective. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 6.
- Lucideon. (2015). Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) Surface Area Analysis & Narrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) Pore Size & Volume Analysis| *Testing and Analysis*. Retrieved from: http://www.lucideon.com/testing-analysis/techniques/brunaueremmett-teller-surface-area-analysis-barrett-joyner-halenda-pore-size-andvolume-analysis/
- McKendry, (2002). Energy production from biomass (part 1), *Overview of biomass*. *Bioresource Technology*. 83(1), p. 37-46.
- Meta V, (2009). Department of Metallurgy, Electrochemistry and Materials Science.
- Navarro, R. M., M. A. Pena, a., & Fierro (2007). Hydrogen Production Reactions from Carbon Feedstocks, *Fossil Fuels and Biomass*.
- Ooms R, (2015). Conversion of sugars to ethylene glycol with nickel tungsten carbide in a fed-batch reactor: high productivity and reaction network elucidation, *Green Chemistry*. 16(2), p. 695-707.
- Quantachrome. (2015). Chemisorption Physisorption Analyzers ChemBET PULSAR TPR/TPD, 2007-2015.

- SECO/ Biomass Overview. 2015; Retrieved from: <u>http://seco.cpa.state.tx.us/energy-sources/biomass/</u>.
- Thompson, J. R. H., Thomas, D. F., Reed, H., & David. (2003). Roadmap for Agriculture Biomass Feedstock Supply in the United States.
- Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM), (2015). Joint Institute for Advanced Material. Retrieved from: <u>http://jiam.utk.edu/facilities/microscopy/tem/index.php</u>
- Vilcocq, L., et al, (2013). Transformation of Sorbitol to Biofuels by Heterogeneous Catalysis: Chemical and Industrial Considerations, *Oil and Gas Science Technology*. 68(5), p. 841-860.
- Wei Y, (2004). Renewable Hydrogen Produced from Different Renewable Feedstock by Aqueous-Phase Reforming Process. Journal of Sustainable Bioenergy Systems. 4(2), 15.
- Wettstein, S. G., Alonso, D. M., Gürbüz, E. I., & Dumesic, J. A. (2012). A roadmap for conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to chemicals and fuels, *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 1(3), 218-224.
- Zhang, Q., Wang, T., Xu, Y., Zhang, Q., & Ma, L. (2014). Production of liquid alkanes by controlling reactivity of sorbitol hydrogenation with a Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst in water, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 77, 262-268.
- Zhang, Q., Qiu, K., Li, B., Jiang, T., Zhang, X., Ma, L., & Wang, T., (2011). Isoparaffin production by aqueous phase processing of sorbitol over the Ni/HZSM-5 catalysts: Effect of the calcination temperature of the catalyst. *Fuel*, 90(11), 3468-3472.

- Zhang, Q., Wang, T., Xu, Y., Zhang, Q., & Ma, L., (2014). Production of liquid alkanes by controlling reactivity of sorbitol hydrogenation with a Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst in water, *Energy Conversion and Management*. 77, 262-268.
- Zhang, Q., Wang, T., Li, B., Jiang, T., Ma, L., Zhang, X., & Liu, Q., (2012). Aqueous phase reforming of sorbitol to bio-gasoline over Ni/HZSM-5 catalysts, *Applied Energy*. 97, 509-513.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Graph the effect of calcination temperatures of the Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst on the catalytic performance for APR of sorbitol(Left to right: 6 intervals corresponding to C1-C6) (Q. Zhang et.al, 2012).



Graph A.1 the effect of calcination temperatures of the Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst on the catalytic performance for APR of sorbitol

Appendix B: Catalyst Formulation

Mass of catalyst: 25 gram

Mass of catalyst = mass of metal + mass of support

#	Sample	Composition of Al ₂ O ₃ :Ni:Ca:Mg
		1 112 0 311 (11 0 001 / 19
1.	10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	90:10:0:0
2.	0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	89.5 : 10 : 0.5 : 0
3.	3% Ca + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	87:10:3:0
4.	5% Ca + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	85:10:5:0
5.	0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	89.5 : 10 : 0 : 0.5
6.	3% Mg + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	87:10:0:3
7.	5% Mg + 10% Ni/γ-Al2O3	85:10:0:5
8.	0.5% Ca + 0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	89 : 10 : 0.5 : 0.5
9.	5% Ca + 5% Mg + 10% Ni/ γ-Al2O3	80:10:5:5

TABLE B.1

Composition of catalysts

Compound	Molecular weight
Nickel Nitrate Hexahydrate	290.81 g/mol
Calcium Chloride Dehydrate	147.02 g/mol
Magnesium Nitrate Hexahydrate	256.41 g/mol

TABLE B.2 Compound and its molecular weight

TABLE B.3Metal and its atomic mass

Element/Metal	Atomic mass
Nickel	58.7 g/mol
Calcium	40.1 g/mol
Magnesium	24.3 g/mol

General formula used:

Mass of metal loading

$$= \frac{Percentage (\%)of metal loading}{100\%} \times Mass of catalyst$$

 $Mass of salt needed = \frac{Molecular mass of salt}{Atomic mass of metal} \times Mass of metal loading$

Calculation for 10% Nickel loading:

Mass of Nickel loading $=\frac{10}{100} \times 25$ g of catalyst = 2.5g of Nickel

Mass of Nickel Nitrate Hexahydrate needed = $\frac{290.81 \frac{g}{mol} \text{ Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2.6\text{H}_2\text{O}}{58.7 \frac{g}{mol} \text{ Ni}} \times 2.5\text{g Ni}$ $= 12.39\text{g Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2.6\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Calculation for $\gamma - Al_2O_3$ needed in 10% Ni/ $\gamma - Al_2O_3$ Mass $\gamma - Al_2O_3$ needed = $\frac{90}{100} \times 25g$ of catalyst

$$= 22.5 \mathrm{g} \, \gamma - \mathrm{Al}_2 \mathrm{O}_3$$

or

Mass γ - Al_2O_3 needed = 25g-2.5g of Nickel

$$= 22.5 \text{ g} \gamma - \text{Al}_2 \text{O}_3$$

TABLE B.4 Calculated mass of metals in catalysts for different composition

#	Sample	γ -Al ₂ O ₃ (g)	Ni(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O required (g)	CaCl ₂ .2H ₂ O required (g)	Mg(NO ₃) ₂ .6 H ₂ O required (g)
1	γ -Al ₂ O ₃	25.0	-	-	-
2	10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	22.5	12.38	-	-
3	0.5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	22.38	12.38	0.46	-
4	3% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	21.75	12.38	2.75	-
5	5% Ca + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	21.25	12.38	4.58	-
6	0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	22.38	12.38	-	1.32
7	3% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	21.75	12.38	-	7.91
8	5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	21.25	12.38	-	13.19
9	0.5% Ca + 0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	22.25	12.38	0.46	1.32
10	0.5% Ca + 0.5% Mg + 10% Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	20	12.38	4.58	13.19

Appendix C: TGA Results











 $FIGURE\ C.4\quad TGA\ Profiles\ for\ 5\%\ Mg\ +\ 10\%\ Ni\ Al_2O_3$



FIGURE C.5 TGA Profiles for $0.5\%\,Ca+0.5\%\,Mg+10\%\,Ni/Al_2O_3$

Appendix D: H2-TPR Profiles



FIGURE D.1 H₂-TPR Profiles for different Ca loading on impregnated 10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyts calcined at 500°C: (a) 0.5%Ca; (b) 3% Ca; (c) 5%Ca.



Figure D.2 H₂-TPR Profiles for different Mg loading onimpregnated10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalystscalcined at 500°C: (a) 0.5%Mg; (b) 3% Mg; (c) 5% Mg.



 $\label{eq:FIGURE D.3} H_2\mbox{-}TPR \mbox{ Profiles for different 0.5\%Ca and Mg loading} on impregnated 10\% \mbox{ Ni/Al}_2O_3 \mbox{ catalysts calcined at 500°C}$



FIGURE D.5 H_2 -TPR Profiles for 5% Ca and Mg loading onimpregnated10% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts calcined at 500°C

Appendix E HPLC Results for Standard Solution



FIGURE E.2 HPLC Result for Ethanol







FIGURE E.4 HPLC Result for Propanol







FIGURE E.6 HPLC Result for Propylene Glycol



FIGURE E.7 HPLC Result for 0.05 mol sorbitol



FIGURE E.8 : HPLC Result of 1 wt. % Sorbitol Solution



FIGURE E.9 : HPLC Result of 5 wt. % Sorbitol Solution



FIGURE E.10 HPLC Result of 10 wt. % Sorbitol Solution


Appendix F: Calibration Curve of Sorbitol (Area vs Concentration)

FIGURE F.1: Calibration curve of sorbitol at different concentrations. (a) 1 wt.%; (b)5wt.%; (c)10wt.%