The study of the Potential of Nano-Scale Biopolymer Extracted from Coconut Husk as Drag Reducing Agent (DRA) in Water Injection Well

by

Muhamad Hibri Hafiz bin Hazli

15366

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the

Bachelor of Engineering (Hons)

(Petroleum)

FYP II - MAY 2015

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Seri Iskandar, 31750 Tronoh, Perak Darul Ridzuan

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

The study of the Potential of Nano-Scale Biopolymer Extracted from Coconut Husk as Drag Reducing Agent (DRA) in Water Injection Well

by

Muhamad Hibri Hafiz bin Hazli

15366

A project dissertation submitted to the

Petroleum Engineering Programme

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS

in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons)

(PETROLEUM)

Approved by,

(Siti Sarah Bt Salehudin)

(Asif Zamir)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

TRONOH, PERAK

MAY 2015

i

Approved by,

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

Muhamad Hibri Hafiz B. Hazli

ABSTRACT

Drag reducing agent (DRA) is widely used as an additive to reduce the pressure drop in the pipeline system, thus will increase the fluid flow capacity. Whereas, water injection system is a secondary recovery method used to increase the reservoir pressure by injecting water into the reservoir through a number of injection wells. The DRA can be used to increase the performance of water injection system by reducing the pressure drop along injection wells. The synthetic polymer is widely used in the industry have raised an environment concern as it biodegrade very slowly, consequently it will bring harm if the usage is in excess. Hence, this project focuses on using environmentally friendly polymer DRA extracted from organic materials. In this study, grated coconut residue (CR) is used as biopolymer such as Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) to produce the DRA. The CMC will be extracted from CR by synthesizing the cellulose under the alkali-catalysed reaction with monochloroacetic acid. The CMC will be divides into original scale which is CMC powder produced from the synthesization and the nano scale CMC obtained from grinding the original scale CMC into nano scale using Pulverisette 5 planetary Mill Machine. The objective of this research is to compare performance of the extracted CMC as DRA at different particle scale (nano-scale and original-scale) based on drag reduction percentage (%) in a fluid friction experimental setup. Based on conducted experiment in this research, the nano-scale particle size has better performance than the original-scale on drag reduction percentage resulted from fluid friction experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, the author would like to express my gratitude to Almighty God, Allah for the guidance, strength and grace that have been given to help the author undergo and complete this Final Year Project with Allah blessing.

The author would also like to express gratitude and a lot of appreciation to supervisor Mdm Siti Sarah Bt Salehudin for her continuous effort, supervision, advice and guidance throughout completing this report and all the knowledge given.

Apart from that, the author would like to express sincere appreciation and thanks to all the lab technologist Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) that involve and guides the author to use and handle all of equipment that were used in this project. And also to all lecturers in Petroleum Engineering Department that have given the author knowledge and assistance in any kind throughout the author study in UTP.

Finally, deepest thanks to all those individuals who have involved directly or indirectly, lecturers, families, colleagues, friends for their kindness and advice throughout completing this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATIO	N OF AP	PROVAL	i
CERTIFICATIO	N OF OR	IGINALITY	ii
ABSTRACT			iii
TABLE OF CON	TENTS .		v
LIST OF FIGUR	ES		vii
LIST OF TABLE	ES		viii
CHAPTER 1:	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Project Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Objectives and Scope of Study	4
CHAPTER 2:	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1	Drag	5
	2.2	Drag Reducing Agent (DRA)	6
	2.3	Polymer as Drag Reducing Agent	7
	2.4	Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC)	8
	2.5	Nano-scale Drag Reducing Agent	8
	2.6	Coconut Residue	9
CHAPTER 3:	MET	HODOLOGY	10
	3.1	Project Methodology	10
	3.2	Project Experiments	11
	3.2.1	Biopolymer Synthesization	11
	3.2.2	CMC Grinding Process	17
	3.2.3	Fluid Friction Experiments	
	3.3	Experimental Designs	24
	3.4	Gantt Chart	
CHAPTER 4:	RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	27
	4.1	CMC Synthesization	27
	4.2	CMC Grinding Process	

CHAPTER5:	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
	5.1	Conclusion	34
	5.2	Recommendation	
REFERENCES			

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Water Injection System1
Figure 2:	Segments of Turbulent Flow in Straight Pipeline [13]5
Figure 3:	Project Workflow10
Figure 4:	Washed coconut residue was oven-dried for 24 hours12
Figure 5:	Cooked CR with 1M of NaOH solution at 100 °C for 1 hour12
Figure 6:	CR after being wash with water
Figure 7:	Solution of 100 ml of 60% NaOH, 15 g of cellulose powder and 900 ml of isopropanol
Figure 8:	Solution of 100 ml of 60% NaOH, 15 g of cellulose powder, and 900ml of isopropanol added with 36 g of choloroacetic acid
Figure 9:	The solution after heated for 4 hours at 60 $^{\circ}$ C15
Figure 10:	Washing process, left washed with ethanol and right washed with methanol
Figure 11:	CMC obtained
Figure 12:	The sample preparation according to the ratio
Figure 13:	The grinding jar tightened lock in the designated arms and the parameters were set in the control panel
Figure 14:	The grinding product after 30 hours20
Figure 15:	Particle Size Analyzer equipment set up20
Figure 16:	CMC powder inside the Particle Size Analyzer equipment set up21
Figure 17:	Fluid friction equipment set up23
Figure 18:	First test, particle size vs volume
Figure 19:	Second test, particle size vs volume

Figure 20:	Comparison of drag reduction performance with different particle size	Э
	and flow rate	;

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:		-		•	Reducing	•	agents
Table 2:	Planeta	ry Mill tes	t paramet	ters			17
Table 3:	Grindin	ng ball dian	neter size	e and wei	ght		18
Table 4:	Grindin	ng ball calc	ulation				18
Table 5:	Experir	nental Des	ign Parar	neters			24
Table 6:	FYP I C	Gantt Chart	t				25
Table 7:	FYP II	Gantt Chai	t				26
Table 8:	Mass of	f produced	СМС				28
Table 9:	Mass c	of CMC be	fore and	after the	grinding		29
Table 10:				1	cle to the big	0 1	
Table 11:					ticle to the big		
Table 12:	Summa	ry of both	test resul	t			31
Table 13:	Summa	ry of test r	esult from	n origina	l CMC synthe	esize	32
Table 14:	Summa	ry of fluid	friction r	esult			