#### **CRACK PREDICTION OF USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS**

by

Muhammad Shahrul Izzwan Bin Salim 22646

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering with Honours

Jan 2020

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS 32610 Seri Iskandar Perak Darul Ridzuan

## **CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL**

#### **CRACK PREDICTION OF USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS**

by

Muhammad Shahrul Izzwan bin Salim 22646

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS In partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering with Honours

Approved by

(Dr. Tuar Mohammad Yusoff Shah)

## UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS BANDAR SERI ISKANDAR, PERAK

Jan 2020

## **CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY**

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

4

MUHAMMAD SHAHRUL IZZWAN BIN SALIM

#### ABSTRACT

In current developing world, the use of simulation software has increased rapidly for this past few year. Many fields of study took advantage of simulation software including finance, medical and engineering. The development of simulation software is becoming more advance due to high demand from various industry. In fact, finite element analysis (FEA) are widely used in engineering industry to analyse the behaviour of designed structure element etc. This approximation method is promising a good and reliable results. Thus, the focus of this study is to establish a methodology for prediction of fatigue life (cycles) of a plate with hole in 3-dimensional structured model by using FEA where the data distribution from the FEA will be using for more advanced research. By using FEA, the specimen will be tested with different magnitude of uni-axial constant amplitude cyclic loadings. At the end of this project, the prediction of fatigue life analysis by using FEA model is obtained and compared with the experimental results. The relative percentage of error for these results are calculated and observed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, praises and thanks to the God, the Almighty, for His showers of blessings throughout my foundation and undergraduate year of studies. I would like to express my gratitude to my beloved Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS for the great past 5 years experiences. I would also like to thank everyone who had been helping me throughout this journey especially my lectures and friends.

My deep and sincere gratitude to my final year project supervisor, Dr. Tuan Mohammad Yusoff Shah for the great opportunity given to me to carry research under his supervision. I appreciate those time spent to guide me throughout this study period. Not to forget his assistances, Shaz and Ain who helped me a lot by providing some necessary information.

I am grateful for having parents who constantly loving, caring and pray for my success and tried their best to contribute to this project. Lastly, I would like to thank my friend, Najwa, who always gave her full commitment to help me in this study.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL	II
CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY	III
ABSTRACT	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1. BACKGROUND OF STUDY	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Scope of Study	4
CHAPTER 2	5
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 STRAIN LIFE APPROACH FOR FATIGUE LIFE ESTIMATION	5
2.1.1 Cyclic stress strain computation	5
2.1.2 Fatigue Model	6
2.1.3 Cumulative Damage Model	6
2.2 FATIGUE ANALYSIS USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD	7
2.2.1 Static stress analysis to determine max strain range under given cy	vclic
loading	7
2.2.2 Estimating the fatigue life	7
2.2.3 Establishing fatigue damage contours	8
2.3 RAINFLOW COUNTING METHOD	9
CHAPTER 3	11
METHODOLOGY	11
3.1 Problem Analysis	11
3.2 DATA COLLECTION	12
3.3 Develop Model Structure	13

3.4 FATIGUE ANALYSIS USING FE-SAFE	16
CHAPTER 4	24
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	24
4.1 STATIC STRESS ANALYSIS RESULTS	24
4.2 FATIGUE LIFE PREDICTIONS FOR CONSTANT AMPLITUDE LOADINGS	25
CHAPTER 5	29
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	29
5.1 Conclusions	29
5.2 Recommendations	29
REFERENCES	30
APPENDICES	31
APPENDIX A	32

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. Flowchart of Research Methodology	11
FIGURE 2. Geometrical Details of the Model	12
FIGURE 3. FEA Model Structure (a), Mesh Details (b)	14
FIGURE 4. Convergence Plot	16
FIGURE 5. Fatigue Phases	17
FIGURE 6. Load and Boundary Condition (BC) Details	18
FIGURE 7. Import *.odb FEA results	19
FIGURE 8. Fe-safe prompt user for Pre-Scan Check	19
FIGURE 9. Selecting the Datasets	20
FIGURE 10. Selecting proper Properties Units	20
FIGURE 11. Generate Loading Signal	21
FIGURE 12. Selecting material of the Model Structure	21
FIGURE 13. Algorithm Selection Tab	22
FIGURE 14. Analysis in Process	22
FIGURE 15. Worst Life-Repeats (no of cycles to crack initiation) for Load=20.92	2
kN	23
FIGURE 16. Stress Contours for load=53.89kN	24

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. Properties of Model Structure	13
TABLE 2. Load Cases	14
TABLE 3. Percentage error of Mesh Convergence Analysis	15
TABLE 4. No of cycle to crack initiation	25
TABLE 5. Fatigue life and Crack Initiation Location of this study	

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1. Background of Study

The world's rising energy demand is driving the pipeline industry's growth in all countries. Based on statistical information, it is the safest and most economical method to transport gas and oil through pipelines [1]. Nearly 90% of the pipelines are made of steel, mainly carbon steel, with the remaining 10% of aluminium, fiberglass, composite, polyethylene and other types [2]. Higher world oil and gas demand is increasing the pipeline's capacity and operating pressure. It is becoming more important to provide higher strength pipeline material, more development of welding techniques and reliable detection of defects.

The oil and gas pipelines are permanently subjected to vibration emanating from different sources. The most important vibration sources are listed as below [3]:

- 1. Pressure pulsations at discrete frequencies. This kind of vibration is generated when loading is induced at the rotational speed of compressor.
- 2. The vibration of the structure.
- 3. Pressure fluctuations which is caused by turbulence in the flow or passing of the flow over the narrow or complex path.

Since the compressor's operating point changes based on gas demand, it can cause vibration in the pipelines in particular. These continuous vibrations in the critical region of the pipelines can lead to fatigue crack initiation and propagation. These types of vibrations induce various faults such as the initiation and propagation of longitudinal and circumferential cracks in the pipeline's critical areas such as the welding area in small bore connections.

To reduce the likelihood of such fatigue crack failure, a reliable method is needed to evaluate the pipeline's critical region. Finite element analysis (FEA) is a numerical method to solve the problem of engineering. Javadi, Tan and Zhang said the method of finite elements was widely used as a powerful tool in engineering problem analysis [4]. This statement is supported by Levin and Lieven [5] as they said that the application of FEA is widely used in the industry to model the behaviour of physical structures due to its high accuracy in the solution. FEA can use numerical methods to identify important parameters such as stress, heat, propagation of cracks and displacement. This method gives the industry an advantage because, instead of making models and conducting experiments, FEA will reduce costs by doing simulation.

Present research illustrates the technique of finite elements followed to estimate the structural element's fatigue life up to the initiation of crack and the evaluation of fatigue damage at crack launch. Crack initiation approach was used for assessing fatigue life and damage. Estimation of the fatigue life was rendered dependent on the criterion of Strain-life. Morrow's equation was used to measure the life of fatigue under a constant cyclic loading amplitude. Life of fatigue so calculated was used to assess life of fatigue under variable amplitude load. Continuum risk law for predicting cumulative damage under variable amplitude loading has been applied.

#### **1.1 Problem Statement**

According to Vipin W and Rashmi H, fatigue analysis through numerical simulation has been proved to be an effective method for fatigue life and damage prediction [6]. In fact, accurate fatigue life estimation is the most important element to ensure the structural integrity of the component throughout its intended operational life. Therefore, this study is conducted to establish the methodology for fatigue life prediction using FEA called ABAQUS. This methodology will cover from modelling phase up to fatigue life prediction. As the validation process, the result obtained from FEA through fatigue analysis are compared against existing experimental results from

literature [6]. The purpose of validation is to demonstrate the effectiveness of this study to provide methodology for fatigue life prediction.

#### **1.2 Objectives**

There are several objectives that need to be achieved which are:

- a) To establish the methodology for fatigue life prediction
- b) To validate the results of maximum Von Mises Stress and fatigue life of FEA with experimental results in literature [6]

#### 1.3 Scope of Study

While conducting this project, there are several scopes of study that need to be fulfilled which are:

- a) This study will focus on Finite Element Analysis (FEA) modelling using ABAQUS and fe-safe
- b) Mesh convergence analysis of the model

## **CHAPTER 2**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Strain life approach for fatigue life estimation

For fatigue life estimation, strain based approach has been used for this study. Based on the experimental data on fatigue testing, fatigue behaviour of a material can be characterized by cyclic curves, plotted under constant amplitude, completely reversed straining with constant strain rate. Based on observation, failure initiates at local plastic zone, crack nucleates and grows to a critical size due to plastic straining in localized zones. Cyclic stress and stress data available in [6] conduct using Romberg Osgood relationship has been used for cyclic strain computation.

#### 2.1.1 Cyclic stress strain computation

A material's stress strain behaviour under inelastic cyclic reversals is different from the strain obtained under monotonic elastic cyclic pressure. Cyclic stress stain behaviour is therefore important for accurate strain range and, in effect, accurate prediction of fatigue life using a localized strain-based method. The cyclic stress strain data obtained in [6] utilizing Romberg Osgood relationship equation below:

$$\Delta \varepsilon eq = \Delta \varepsilon^e eq + \Delta \varepsilon^p eq = \frac{\Delta \sigma eq}{E} + 2\left(\frac{\Delta \sigma eq}{2K'}\right)^{\frac{1}{n'}}$$
(1)

Where,  $\Delta \varepsilon eq$  and  $\Delta \sigma eq$  are the equivalent range local stress and strain, E is Young's Modulus, K' is cyclic hardening coefficient, n' is cyclic hardening exponent, and  $\Delta \varepsilon^{e} eq$  and  $\Delta \varepsilon^{p} eq$  are mean equivalent elastic and plastic strain gauge.

#### 2.1.2 Fatigue Model

From the strain life curve, Morrow modified the baseline of the curve to account for the effect of mean stress is chosen for carrying out the fatigue analysis using FEA. Fatigue strength coefficient in the elastic component has been altered by Morrow for better accurate estimation. Morrow's fatigue model equation:

$$\frac{\Delta\varepsilon eq}{2} = \frac{\sigma' f - \sigma m}{E} (2Nf)^b + \varepsilon' f (2Nf)^c$$
(2)

Where,  $\Delta \epsilon eq$  is equivalent strain range, c is fatigue ductility exponent,  $\epsilon' f$  is fatigue ductility coefficient, b is fatigue strength exponent,  $\sigma' f$  is fatigue strength coefficient and  $\sigma m$  is local mean stress.

#### 2.1.3 Cumulative Damage Model

Fatigue Life estimated for constant amplitude loading have been further used to compute the fatigue life of same structural element under variable amplitude loading. Cumulative damage law established by M.A. Miner and known as Miner's Rule has been used to predict the fatigue life under variable amplitude cyclic loadings [6]. Miner's rule accurately predicts the cumulative fatigue damage up to crack initiation phase due to slip band formations, micro cracks and dislocation. This law states that the damage fraction (*D*) at given constant stress level is equal to the number of applied cycles ( $n_i$ ) at given stress level divided by the fatigue life (*Nf*) at that same stress level. The equation:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{n_i}{N_f} \tag{3}$$

Where,  $n_i$  is actual cycle count,  $N_f$  is average no of cycles to failure, K is stress level, D is the fraction of life consumed by exposure to various load cycles.

#### 2.2 Fatigue analysis using finite element method

Three phases of fatigue analysis have been carried out using

- 1. Static stress analysis to determine max strain range under given cyclic loading.
- 2. Estimating the fatigue life.
- 3. Establishing damage contours.

# **2.2.1 Static stress analysis to determine max strain range under given cyclic loading**

The full stress value is obtained through the use of commercially available ABAQUS tools to perform static analysis. The stress contours have defined region corresponding to the highest stress of where crack is likely to start. Elasto-plastic material model was used to carry out the static stress analysis to capture the stresses for load range. With the aid of Romberg-Osgood eq, the maximum stress value so obtained was used to find the strain range. (1)

#### 2.2.2 Estimating the fatigue life

This is the second step in the study of fatigue. Strain based approach was used to estimate the fatigue life. For an accurate estimate of the fatigue life, the criterion of tomorrow which deals with the mean stress effect was applied. Results of the strain range obtained from the first step using the Romberg-Osgood equation were used to estimate the cycles to crack initiation.

#### 2.2.3 Establishing fatigue damage contours

The accumulated damage from fatigue was estimated using a model of continuum damage. In the individual load cycle, continuum damage has been summed up in this damage model to measure the total damage at the end of the fatigue cycles. This continuum model considers the rate at which damage occurs not to be linear, but to be related to the damage already accumulated from the previous load cycles. An incremental damage procedure was used to measure the amount of loading block repetitions up to the initiation of a crack. An incremental damage procedure measures the block load no resulting in a damage fraction of 0.1. Following this damage parameters are modified as defined in eq. (4) the process for each increase of 0.1 damage fraction has been repeated until the Miners damage fraction is 1.AT at the end of the analysis a damage contour has been developed which can be be used for the crack growth analysis using suitable progressive damage models.

$$\Delta D = \frac{(1-D_i)^{P_i}}{(P_i+1)N_{fi}} \tag{4}$$

Where,  $\Delta D$  is the damage for the cycles in current damage increment,  $D_i$  is the damage current accumulated,  $P_i$  is the current damage rate parameter,  $N_{fi}$  is the endurance of cycle.  $P_i$ , for a cycle is defined by the relationship in eq. (5)

$$P_i = 2.55 (\sigma_{max} \varepsilon_a)^{-0.8} \tag{5}$$

#### 2.3 Rainflow Counting Method

Rainflow counting can be used for analysis of fatigue data. This method is able to reduce a spectrum of varying stress into an equivalent set of simple stress reversals. This method succeeds extracted the smaller interruption cycles from a sequence, which indicates the material memory effect seen with stress-strain hysteresis cycles. A case study that has been conducted by [7] was utilising rainflow counting method for its research. The rainflow counting of the stress-time history of the mentioned study is shown in Figure 1 is performed using the developed rainflow algorithm. The stress PSD data shown in Figure 2 are used to calculate fatigue life using other fatigue theories in the same study and expected to have similar fatigue life result because it used the same stress history. Result shown in Table 1 are taken from [7]. It is observed that Dirlik method gives the closest result to that Rainflow counting. Therefore, these approaches are proven to predict fatigue life with better accuracy.



FIGURE 1. Stress Data for 0.001g2/Hz White Noise PSD Input at the Critical Location



FIGURE 2. Stress PSD Data for 0.001g2/Hz White Noise PSD Input at the Critical Location

FATIGUE THEORIES	FATIGUE LIFE (s)	FATIGUE LIFE (h)
FREQUENCY DOMAIN	-	-
Narrow-band	4.14E+09	1.15E+06
Wirching	6.89E+09	1.91E+06
Tunna	9.95E+09	2.76E+06
Hancock	1.72E+07	4.78E+03
Kam and Dover	1.93E+07	5.37E+03
Steinberg	7.08E+06	1.97E+03
Dirlik	1.40E+10	3.89E+06
TIME DOMAIN	-	-
Rainflow Counting	1.43E+10	3.97E+06

TABLE 1. Fatigue Life Result Calculated in Time and Frequency Domains [7]

## **CHAPTER 3**

#### METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Problem Analysis

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of research methodology used in executing this project. Based on the flowchart, the first step is to analyse the problem. The main objective of this phase is to identify the importance parameter of this project. Since the targeted output is already identified, which is the fatigue life prediction, the input parameters need to be determined before proceeding to the next stages. The input parameters must have a relation with the output parameter to ensure the data generated is on the right path.



FIGURE 3. Flowchart of Research Methodology

Choosing the right dimension for the model structure is crucial for fatigue life analysis. Therefore, geometrical details of specimen from literature [6] is used to model the structure. Fatigue life analysis is conducted for medium strength steel 100 mm long x 25.6 mm wide x 7.68 mm thick plate with hole of diameter 12.8 mm at the centre of the plate. The plate geometry is shown in Figure 2.



FIGURE 4. Geometrical Details of the Model

#### 3.2 Data Collection

The second step in this project is to collect data regarding the model structure properties. A material used for the model structure is medium strength steel (SAE 130 – has quite similar properties available in fe-safe). Mechanical and cyclic properties of medium strength steel used during analysis have been tabulated in Table 1.

Properties	Notation	Values
Modulus of Elasticity (MPa)	Е	206900
Poisson's ratio	ν	0.32
Yield Stress (MPa)	$\sigma_y$	648.3
Ultimate Stress (MPa)	$\sigma_u$	786.2
Fatigue Ductility coefficient	$\varepsilon'_{f}$	1.142
Fatigue Ductility exponent	С	-0.67
Fatigue Strength coefficient (MPa)	$\sigma'_f$	1165.6
Fatigue Strength exponent	b	-0.081
Cyclic strength coefficient	k'	1062.1
Cyclic strain hardening exponent	<i>n'</i>	0.123

TABLE 2. Properties of Model Structure

#### **3.3 Develop Model Structure**

The plate with hole at the centre is modelled using three dimensional deformable solid elements. Several analyses have been conducted for various uniaxial constant amplitude cyclic loadings. The loads from literature [6] have been applied along the length direction of the model structure. Loads are shown in the Table 2. The detail of the model structure and mesh details are shown in Figure 3 (a) & (b).

S.N	Load (kN)
1	62.25
2	56.29
3	53.89
4	47.39
5	40.18
7	31.14
8	25.27
9	22.02
10	20.92

TABLE 3. Load Cases



FIGURE 5. FEA Model Structure (a), Mesh Details (b)

The complete model structure has been meshed with C3D8R (8-node linear brick) elements available in ABAQUS software. The mesh global size has been finalised based on the convergence analysis carried out before proceeding for the full analysis of the model structure. ABAQUS has been widely used in many fields such as scientific research and engineering applications. For instance, it has been used to study dynamic crack propagation and mechanical behaviours of composites [7]. However, convergence difficulties are familiar issues while carrying out damage and fracture analysis in ABAQUS/Standard [7]. There are several method of convergence analysis. Manually control global mesh seed approach has been conducted to choose proper mesh size for the model structure. The method basically is trial and error where reducing the mesh seed to increase the number of elements per area of the model structure.

The number of elements and max von mises stress of each mesh seed were recorded to create a convergence plot. The further increase in mesh density stops when the Max Von Mises Stress (Y-axis) showed significantly low in value increased when the number of element increased. This showed that the solution has been converged properly. Based on the Table 3 and Figure 4, the percentage error was 0.051% for the no of elements of 1492216. However, this study used mesh size of 0.3 mm with 861224 no of element to reduce computational time for the analysis with error should be between 0.08% to 0.05%.

	Num of	Max Von Mises Stress	
Mesh size (mm)	elements	(MPa)	Percentage Error (%)
5.5	105	728.6	-
5	224	708.6	-0.027
2	2884	947.5	0.337
1	23856	1139	0.202
0.5	178845	1236	0.085
0.25	1492216	1299	0.051

TABLE 4. Percentage error of Mesh Convergence Analysis



FIGURE 6. Convergence Plot

#### 3.4 Fatigue Analysis using fe-safe

Fatigue is most likely to occur with cyclic loading is induced. However, fatigue is difficult to predict, as it is not visible, and it happens abruptly. Typically, fatigue consists of three stages which are crack initiation, crack propagation and fracture as shown in the Figure 5 [8].



FIGURE 7. Fatigue Phases

For this study, a plate 100 mm x 25.6 mm x 7.68 mm with a hole at the centre (D=12.8) were put under several static uniaxial loads in Table 2. The respectful example loads, and BCs of the model structure can be seen in Figure 6.



FIGURE 8. Load and Boundary Condition (BC) Details

When the ABAQUS job for the linear elastic model solution is complete, the \*.odb file was used as input into fe-safe for further fatigue life prediction. In the fesafe, the load history applied in the FEA model need to be couple with a sinusoidal signal to produce a fully reversing load cycle. After loading signal is generated, the material SAE 130 was assigned and algorithm that used for fatigue life prediction was selected. According to [8], the Brown Miller strain based algorithm has the highest accuracy within fe-safe for assessing ductile metals. Therefore, Brown Miller: Morrow algorithm has been used in this study for assessing fatigue life (no of cycles to crack initiation). The details of calculation involved for the solutions are already included in the literature section of this paper. The procedures that were described above, are shown in the following figures:

fe-safe 2020 - C:\Users\shahr	ull/Desktop/desafe1			- a >
le View Amplitude Freque	ncy Weld Preparation Gauge Fatigue FEA Fatigue Material Generation Tools Window Help			
Project	<u> </u>			
FEA Solutions	Open Finite Element Model 8	X Londed Data Stee	Maturial Databases	
Materials	Append Finite Element Model Onen Finite Element Model Linn Rotational Summatry	Generated Results	Piter: Al V client fibre > Q	Launch external databas
Data Files	Open Finite Element Model For PSD Analysis		Property	Value
Loading	Open Finite Element Model For Modal Transient Analysis		* 🗎 local	C:\Users\shahruf\Documents\fe-safe.2020\Jocal.dbase
Save FEA Fatigue Results As	Open User Defined ASCII FE Group File N Scale Knock-Down		General Endurical Materials_writable     ADE Cost loss	C:\Users\shahruf\Documents\fe-safe.2020\EnduricaMaterials_writable.dbase C\SIMUUA\EntProducts\3020\wda_bility_consurred_dbtsbarel.dES_C
Exit	Archive FED Directory		Company and the second se	CristMULIA:ExtProducts/2020/win_b64.Durability_resources/database/Ficular CristMULIA:ExtProducts/2020/win_b64.Durability_resources/database/FICM_F
			<ul> <li>B Sensetie C, Julie C, Jul</li></ul>	C (SMALLE) de Versieler SUDIA von Arthur Leinder, sons ein derekken einer Constallution der Kanzel Sudia von Arthur Steiner C (SMALLE) de Versieler SUDIA von Arthur Steiner C (SMALLE) de Versieler SUDIA von Arthur Sudia von Versie C (SMALLE) de Versieler SUDIA von Arthur Steiner Steiner Sudia von Arthur Steiner Stein
			<ul> <li>✓ Datawiti (Imply)</li> <li>● Group (Imply)</li> <li>● Assembly (Imply)</li> </ul>	
Other Options				
Source File				
C: Users'shahrui/Desktop'abo	aqus_drectory\Testing\Testing.odb	Message Log		
Output File		NOTE : Storing current set	ssion macro commands to	
JUndefined		Loading project from C:\U	sers/shahrul/besktop/fesafei	
Pactor of Strength PO	JS disabled			
Probability Pro	obability of falures disabled			
Exports 60	Contour(s) enabled			
Gauges, Inf Coeffs Ga	suges disabled, Influence Coeffs disabled			
	Q Analyse			
п Р 🗆 🌔	🗯 📾 🔒 🛼			10 the 242.PM

FIGURE 9. Import \*.odb FEA results



FIGURE 10. Fe-safe prompt user for Pre-Scan Check

Image: Section 1.        Section 1. </th <th>new Amplitude Frequency werd Preparation Gauge Fabigue FEA Fabigue Material Generati-</th> <th>on Tools Window Help</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	new Amplitude Frequency werd Preparation Gauge Fabigue FEA Fabigue Material Generati-	on Tools Window Help			
artem TA teres forter teres					
<pre>voim line voim line v</pre>	from FEA	8 ×	Landed Data Elec	Material Databases	
base feases: Vere 6 costs: Vere 6	lysis Settings Loading Settings		- 🧐 Generated Results	Filter: Al	9. Lauch external data
Image: Sector Mit Manuel Alguent Image: Sector The Mit Manuel Alguent Image: Sector Mit Manuel Alguen	oup Parameters			Property	Mahaa Mahaa
Sages       Safest Find       Made       Appendent of Landon Control And Appendent of Landon Control Appendent of Landon Control And Appendent of Landon Control Appendent o	Manage Groups			Decal	C:\Users\shahrul\Documents\fe-safe.2020\local.dbase
before       Name       0 market       0 mar	Subgroup Surface Finish Material Algorithm In-plane residual stress SN Scal	e Knock-Down		BenduricaMaterials_writable	C:/Users\shahruf\Documents\fe-safe.2020\EnduricaMaterials_writable.dbar
We Game       Constrained	Default Whole Kt = 1 Undefined Material Default 1	Disabled		EnduricaMaterials	C:/SIMULIA/EstProducts/2020/win_b64/Durability_resources/database/End
Image: And Control of State Processing Processing State Proc		A Columburght Band		iii iii nea an	C\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\FKM
Analization () Security		<ul> <li>Select Datasets to Kead</li> </ul>		^	C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\PKM C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\PKM
Paragraphy for it could       Paragraphy for its could       Paragraphy for its could       Paragraphy for its could with the co		Available positions: Elemental	×	Quick select	C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\syst
We faging the factor of the		Read groups from first model		I Stresses	C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\veri
We best write     Prove the first       Provide the first     Provide the first sector pr		Read geometry from first model		Strains	
Image: Internet of the Control General State of the		P Detect surface		Temperatures	
We form-         Ones         We form-         Ones         Ones <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Forces</td> <td></td>				Forces	
Projektion         Stars file         Stars file         Projektion         Stars file         Stars file         Constrained Stars files data set a solate at the so				E Others	
We getes       We getes         Image: Sector (Sector)       Image: Sector (Sector)         Image: Sector (Sector)       Image: Sector)         Image: Sector (Sector)       Image: Sector)         Image: Sector)				E Last increment only	
Decretion         Web Road         Stars Fite         Note Road         Tever discognition         Operating Stars         Note Road         Tever discognition         Stars Fite         Note Road         Stars Fite         Stars Fite         Stars Fite     <				Apply to Dataset List	
Provide         Or down         Or down <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Image:			Description		
Image from the second of th		File (C:\Users\shahrul\)	Desktop\abaqus_directory\Testing\Testi	ng.odb]	
Image:		8- 🗋 👌 Increment 0 (Ti	me ()		
Image data     Image data <th></th> <th>- 🖸 🗸 (1.0) S: Str</th> <th>ess (derived)</th> <th></th> <th></th>		- 🖸 🗸 (1.0) S: Str	ess (derived)		
Big C (1.13. See: Binned       Det C (1.13. Se		8 😿 🤡 Increment 1 (Ti	me 1)		
		- 🗹 (1.1) S: Str	ess (derived)		
One Optim     Write Regarded dats on it websits of the subsci positive of all data from       Clear yield addes on it websits of the subsci positive of all data from     Clear yield data in the subsci positive of all data from       Clear yield addes on it websits of the subsci positive of all data from     Clear yield data in the subsci positive of all data from       Clear yield addes on it websits of the subsci positive of all data from     Clear yield data in the subsci positive of all data from       Factor data     Clear yield data in the subsci positive of all data from     Clear yield data in the subsci positive of all data from       Badder		- 🕑 🛍 (1.1) t: Stri	ain (derived)		
Stars Re         Note Reparent data into radde at the solect poter and wile densit         OC const           CS harm And/Packas/destury(restry(r	har Delivori				
Champing and analysis of the stand of the st	ource File	Note: Requested data is not available a	t the selected position and will be derived	OK Cancel	
ObserVie         Copyright 2028 Seashing Southers           Nambed         Copyright 2028 Seashing Southers           Feard Strengt	://Jisers/phahrul/Desktop/abagus_directory/(Testing/(Testing.odb		Message Log		
Junder	utput File		Copyright 2019 Dassault S	ystemes	
Producting         Product	Indefined		Reading : C:\Users\shahru	1\Desktop\abaqus_directory\Testing\Testing.	odb
Meddaty / Findadaty of Have datadi	Pactor of Strength POS disabled		writing : Pre-scan Strains : mead all (Pre-s	canning)	
Boyntam     // Convert/gl evalued       Gauges, ballow, for Gauges, ballow     // Version supported: 3200       Werston supported: 3200     // Version supported: 3200       Version supported: 3200     // Version supported: 3200	Probability Probability of failures disabled		Path is C:\SIMULIA\EstPro	ducts\2020\win_b64\code\bin;C:\SIMULIA\Comm	nands;C:\Program Files\Microsoft NPI\Bin\;C:\Program Files\NVIDIA GPU Computing '
Gages, br/Ceffs         [Cauges daublet, th/sera Ceff daublet	Exports 6 Contour(s) enabled		Version supported: 2020		
	Gauges, Inf Coeffs Gauges disabled, Influence Coeffs disabled		odb_io completed		
			1		
	Q Analyse				
2/19/					
					5.47 DM

FIGURE 11. Selecting the Datasets

	fe-safe 2020 - C:\Users\shahrul\Desktop	(fesafe1								- σ
	e View Amplitude Frequency Weld	Preparation Gauge Fatigu	e FEA Fatigue 1	Material Gene	ration Tools Window I	Help				
parter A begin by a fair a fai	) 🖬 ff 둘 🅀 🖄 💷	🕑 🖸								
Name Name         Land gating i         Data of Name         Data of Nam         Data of Name         Data of Name <th>gue from FEA</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>θ×</th> <th>Loaded Data Files</th> <th>Material Databases</th> <th></th> <th></th>	gue from FEA					θ×	Loaded Data Files	Material Databases		
Grade Neural Internet Inte	Analysis Settings Loading Settings						- 🤣 Generated Results	Nter: Al	<ul> <li>diew Filter &gt; 9</li> </ul>	Launch external data
Weige Star	Group Parameters							Property	-	Value
Starter for 10 Underland Starter for 10	Manage Groups							🖲 🛄 local		C:\Users\shahrul\Documents\fe-safe.2020\local.dbase
1 1    1 1   1 1    1 1    1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1   1 1 <th></th> <th>Subgroup Surface Finis</th> <th>h Material</th> <th>Algorithm</th> <th>In-plane residual stress</th> <th>IN Scale Knoc</th> <th></th> <th>Dia EnduricaMaterials</th> <th>writable</th> <th>C:\Users\shahrul\Documents\fe-safe.2020\EnduricaMaterials_writable.dba</th>		Subgroup Surface Finis	h Material	Algorithm	In-plane residual stress	IN Scale Knoc		Dia EnduricaMaterials	writable	C:\Users\shahrul\Documents\fe-safe.2020\EnduricaMaterials_writable.dba
Intellight in the light intellight intelligh	SET-1	Surface Kt = 1	Undefined Ma	aterial Default	1	Disab		B EnduricaMaterials		C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\Enc
Median magh had Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan 1 Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan 1 Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan 1 Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan 1 Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan 1 Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahah Ingeties   Beller AN2002W JMA11ST Safers Na - 1 Uaddred Maeria Phan Cadel Habahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha	PART-1-1_SET-1	Surface Kt = 1	Undefined Ma	aterial Default	1	Disab		E E FKM_AI		C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\FKI
Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Cutodation places Cutodation places   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 Surfare 1   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 1 1   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 1 1   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare 1 1 1   Internet Allower, 1.1 (201) Surfare <	Medium strength steel	Surface Kt = 1	Undefined Ma	sterial Default	1	0		II III III HKM_He	< mole	C:\SIMULA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\mu C:\SIMULA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\mu
beten Sufers R = 1 Uadread Menui Delua 1 Control and read the same made tabed   Clinical and read the same made tabed Clinical and read the same made tab	Section-ASSEMBLY_PART-1-1_SET-1	Surface Kt = 1	Undefined Ma	sterial Default	1	Losded FEA P	nobels Properties			C/\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\syst
Weige up         Of default	Default	Surface Kt = 1	Undefined Ma	sterial Default	1	Do not ask me	each time a new model is loaded			C:\SIMULIA\EstProducts\2020\win_b64\Durability_resources\database\veri
Image: Sector of Sector o						Stress Units				
Image: Unit of the state of						MPa		-		
Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined       Image: defined     Image: deside there defined     Image: deside there defined<						Strain Units				
Improved Autor       Improved Autor         Improved Autor       Improved A						strain		•		
Image: deside data         I									]	
Imple						Temperature Uni	5			
Version						degC		-	C, f=N, d=mm	
intervention     intervention       intervention						Force Units			1	
Interest line     Interest line       Interest line     I						N	wuh/Desitop\abaquz_directory\Testing\Testing.odb]			ig\Testing.odb]
Image: delation of the state of the sta						- Distance Linits			(1.1) S : Stress	
Image: Sector / Sector									(1.1) E : Strain	
Closed data de la						1 mm		-		
••     OK     Concel       Other Calitant     OK     Concel         Concel     State State         Concel     Massaccal         Concel     Concel         Concel     Massaccal         Concel     Concel         Concel     Concel         Concel     Concel         Concel     Concel         Concel     Concen         Concel     Conce						C:\Users\shahru	//Desktop\abaqus_directory\Testing, T	esting.odb		
Image: Sector of Se										
Other General Control (Second Second Seco	•					-				
Stora Frie         Comparison         Compari	Other Options							OK Cancel	1	
Construint opticitudidada, disclarity rederifiestig under disclarity r	Source File								·	
Objective         Texter time 1           Subderi	C:\Lisers\shahrul\Desktop\abaqus_directs	ory\Testing\Testing.odb					Message Log			
Jordenet	Output File						Iteration : 1			
Factor Streps-Im.         COL Andrée           Pabaldry         Filc Carliar (1) endrée           Bayeria         Filc Carliar (1) endrée	Undefined						Time : 1			
Pedadity	Factor of Strength FOS disabled						Direct Nin/Max 1 -0.00047	2104 0.00125167		
Boyers         Community mediated         No. 100ments 1 : 1919103           Gauges, bill Centing         Company dealedt, influence Centil daabed         Bolt, Science Centil daabed           Bolt         Community of mediate, influence Centil daabed         Bolt, Science Centil daabed           Bolt, Science Centil daabed         Destect ing. surface         Bolt, Science Centil daabed	Probability Probability of P	alures disabled					Shear Min/Wax : -0.00075	8633 0.000806839		
Gages, Jof Carfin	Exports 6 Contour(s) e	nabled					No. Elements : 1491503			
© Reduce         Surface detection finished (in 12.364 seconds)	Gauges, Inf Coeffs   Gauges disable	d, Influence Coeffs disabled					odb_10 completed			
<u>O</u> kolne			1				Surface detection finishe	d (in 12.306 seconds)		
			Analyse							
				_						

FIGURE 12. Selecting proper Properties Units



FIGURE 13. Generate Loading Signal

			Propert	ty		Value	•
			T B.	SA SA	E-1030		
σ×	Loaded Data Files	Material Databases		- 0	gen : Algorithm	BrownMiller:-Morrow	
1	Generated Results     Generated Results     Generated L.	Piter: Al chicu Filters 9		0	gen : Material Class	Steel (Ductile)	
	(Po sin[1*x+0]	Property Value		- 0	gen : Materials Units	Use system default	
SN Scale		* SAE-1006		- 0	gen : composition (%)	undefined	
		* % SAE-1008 * % SAE-1015		<i>O</i>	gen : Const Amp Endurance Limit (2nf)	2E7	
·· ·		* S SAE-102D		- 0	gen : Default Knock-down Curve	undefined	
		* SAE-1025		- 0	gen : Default MSC or FRF	undefined	
		* \$ 545-1000 * \$ 545-1035		🧷	gen : E (MPa)	206700	1
· · · ·		* SAE-1040 8 SAE-1045		🧷	gen : equivalent-specs	undefined	
		8 SAE-1045-2		- 0	gen : equivalent-specs-possibles	undefined	
		* S SAE-1045-4		- 0	gen : heat-treatment	undefined	
		* % SAE-1045-5 8 % SAE-1015-6		- 0	gen : Hours List (s)	undefined	
				- 0	gen : Poissons Ratio	0.33	
		SP scMPs, epitein todeoC fpN domm		- 0	gen : ref-code	undefined	
		<ul> <li>Z Detasets</li> <li>S De Million Advantation of Destandard strength and Testing and T</li></ul>		- 0	gen : specimen-type	undefined	
		E Step 1: Step 1		- 0	gen : Temperature List (degC)	0	
		<ul> <li>Dataset 1: (1.1) 5: Stress</li> <li>E Dataset 2: (1.1) E: Strain</li> </ul>		- 0	gen : UCS (MPa)	undefined	
		Groups     Assembly		- 0	gen : UTS (MPa)	455	
				- 0	text : Comment1	Carbon Steel AISI1030; BS970 GRADE 080A30 STEEL	
				- 0	text : Comment2	undefined	
				- 0	text : Data_Quality	undefined	
				🥜	text : Revision Date	undefined	
	Message Log			🥜	text : Revision History	undefined	
	Nedium strength steel 14	1915 03 129924 129924 0		🤌	text : Revision Number	0	
	Section-ASSEMBLY_PART-1-1_SET- Default 14	-1 1491503 129924 129924 191503 129924 129924 1		🤌	text : Source	SN curve derived from Sf' and b	
	Analysis group processing tool	k 1.5196.		🤌	css : K' (MPa)	1558	
	0 0:00:05 No dans	age 1280 of 1039392		🤌	css:n'	0.295	
	19 0:00:10 1 43 0:00:15 1	2089310[0]16291.1 192868 of 1039392 1391629[0]401196.1 442868 of 1039392		- 0	en : b	-0.136	
	•			- 0	en : b2	undefined	
				- 0	en : c	-0.435	
				🤌	en : Ff'	0.195	. ZI
							<u>-</u>

FIGURE 14. Selecting material of the Model Structure

e-sate 2020 - C-Dsen shahnut Desttop 5 View Amnitode Frequency Weld 9	estel Ivenantion Gauge Fit	tique FEA Estique Material Generation Tools	Window Help					- 0
	00							
			<i>d</i> ×	-				
accounter a				Loaded Data Files	Material Databases	Net		
Indyse Settings Loading Settings			1	E No Generated Results	riter: All	<li>Kiew Piter &gt;</li>	9	Launch external data
Group Hanametans				in, mi adu"taut ("x+oll"cuesceo	Property		Nature	-
Manage Groups					E SAE-1	30		1
	Subgroup Surface F	Finish Material Algorithm In-plan	residual stress SN Scale Knock-Down		- ) qe	n : Algorithm n : Material Class	Steel (Ductile)	
SET-1	Surface Rt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morrow			0 90	n : Materials Units	Use system default	
PART-1-1_SET-1	Surface Rt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morrow	in in		-0.84	n : composition (%) n : Censt Amn Endurance Limit (2	undefined W1 2F7	
Medium strength steel	Surface Rt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLifer-Morrow	4 4 1		- 0 9	n : Default Knock-down Curve	undefined	
Section-ASSEMBLY_PART-1-1_SET-1	Surface #t = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLifer-Morrow	+ +		-0 94	n : Default MSC or FRE	undefined	
Default	Surface Rt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLifer-Morrow	+ +		- 2 40	n : equivalent-specs	undefined	
					- 0 94	n : equivalent-specs-possibles	undefined	
1					- 0 ge	o : heat-treatment		
			C Group Algorithm Selection		×	: Poissom Ratio	0.33	
			General			v: ref-code	undefined	
			C De ant matters			: specimen-type	undefined 0	
			C Analyse with material's default	alouithe		: UCS (MPa)	undefined	
			<ul> <li>General an algorithm to be used</li> </ul>			: UTS (MPa)	455	
			iteration for the last		- N	: Comment2	Lation Stee Als(1000, 85970 082 unddined	DE DEDAGO STEEL
			user aguntim (Unice anule: work	28		- Data Dualey	undefined	
			<ul> <li>Analyse using a plug-in algorith</li> </ul>	19	BIAXIA	L STRAIN LIFE (HCF+LCF)		
			-		Nor	mal Strain (CP)	0	
						wn-Miller (CP)	SN curve derived from SF and b	
					Ma	domum Shear Strain (CP)	0.295	
					HIANTA	L STRESS LITE (HCP)	-0.136	
					No	mal Stress (CP)	undefined	
ther Options					855	400 Weld Finite Life (CP)	0.195	
iource file				<u>Lí</u> <u>2</u>	Stre	ss-based Brown-Miller (CP)		
Criuters/phahnul/Desktop/phasus_drector	v@esting@esting.odb			Messanelon	von	Mises 🔸		
Output File				NOTE : Storing current sess	on macr Ma	nson-McKnight Octahedral 🔹		
Ci Ween'shehru? Desktop Vesafe 2'gobr gob	_01Ve~eeu/ts%inStrom	LifeMorrow.odb		Ct\Users\shahrul\Document	s\fe-se Pris	ig Van Infinite Life matic Hull Infinite Life		
Factor of Strength				Loading project from Ci\Use	s\shahr FKA	I Guideline +		
Probability Probability of fai	lares deabled				UNDAX	AL HETHODS		
Esports (6 Contour (s) and	abled				Uni	axial Strain Life 🔹 🕨	Finite Life - Hean Stress Corrections	
Gauges, Inf Coeffs Gauges disabled	, Influence Coeffs disabi	led			Uni	axial Stress Life 🔹 🕨	Morrow	
					ADVAD	LED FATIGUE (HCF+LCP)	Smith-Watson-Topper	
		Q Analyse		1	TUR	RBOlife - Creep Fatigue	Walker	
							None	
					_			
D E A	Pa (0)							10 dil ENG 9.42 AM

FIGURE 15. Algorithm Selection Tab

a famou EEA		-				
trom PEA					0	Material Databases
alysis Settings Loading Settings	1					Filter: Al V diew Filter > 9, Launch extr
roup Parameters						Inted[ Property Value
Manage Groups						0 SAE-1005
	Subgroup	Surface Finish	Material Algorithm	In-plane residual stress	SN Scale	8 SAE-1006
SET-1	Surface	Kt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morro	N		B SAE-1015
PART-1-1_SET-1	Surface	Kt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morro	N		18 SAE-1020
Medium strength steel	Surface	Kt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morro	~		H SAE-1022
Section-ASSEMBLY_PART-1-1_S	T-1 Surface	Kt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morro	~		🖲 💊 SAE-1030
Default	Surface	Kt = 1	SAE-1030 UniStrainLife:-Morro	~		0 SAE-1035
						H SAE-1040
						# S 54E-1045-2
						(H) 54E-1045-3
						B • 5 54E-1045-4
						B \$ \$67105-6
						A CAP, INCO
						Current FE Models
						SI7 suMPa, eustrain, tudegC, fuN, dumm
						😌 🖉 Datasets
						File [C/Users/shahru/]/Desktop/abaqus_directory/Testing/Testing.odb]
						Step 1 Step -1     Constant -1 (1) S - Stress
						B € Dataset ≥ (1.1) E: Strain
						🐵 🚳 Groups
						🛞 🛂 Assembly
•					-	
ther Options						
ource File						
C: \Users\phahrul\Desktop\abaqus_	irectory\Testing\Te	esting.odb				
Autout File						1401202 120024 120024 0 0 0
C: Users'shahnul/Desktop'/fesafe1'	obs/uob 01Ve-res.	Its'UniStrainLifeMc	orrow.odb			1-1_SET-1 1491503 129924 129924 0 0
Factor of Strength	dari					1491503 129924 129924 1361579 0 0
the second s	v of failures deable	d			_	ing took 1.5195.
Prohability Prohability	y or range 65 USBOR				_	No damape 1280 of 1039392
Probability Probabili	r(a) enabled				_	2089319[0]16291.1 192868 of 1039392
Probability Probabili Exports 6 Conto		coeffs disabled				138162@[0]401195.1 442868 of 1039392
Probability Probabili Exports 6 Conto Gauges, Inf Coeffs Gauges	isabled, Influence					
Probability Probabil Exports 6 Conto Gauges, Inf Coeffs Gauges	isabled, Influence					

FIGURE 16. Analysis in Process

< fe-safe 2020 - Fi/Shahrufil.O.Glifel.load=20.92				– a ×
File View Amplitude Frequency Weld Preparation Gauge Fatigue FEA Fatigue Material Generation Tools Window Help				
Fatigue from FEA	8 × 1	Londed Data Elas	Reducial Particle search	
Analysis Settings Loading Settings		E & Generated Results	the: M Y Alex Alex Alex A	Launch external database
- Group Parameters		B B sgn_[sin[1_x+0]]_creat	han in han han han han han han han han han ha	
Manage Groups		Pg sin(1*x+0)	B & ASTM-A715-G80-2	
Subaroun Surface Einish Material Almoithm In-stane residual stress QU Scale Ke	pork-Down		8- SAE-0030	
SET-2 Surface Kt = 1 SAE-1030 BrownMiller-Morrow			E- SAE-0080 E- SAE-1005	
PART-1-1_SET-1 Surface Kt = 1 SAE-1030 BrownMiller:-Morrow			8: SAE-1006	
MATERIAL-1 Surface Kt = 1 SAE-1030 BrownMiller-Morrow			E- SAE-1008	
Section-ASSEMBLY_PART-1-1_SET-1 Surface Kt = 1 SAE-1030 BrownMiller-Morrow			8- SAE-1020	
Default Surface Kt = 1 SAE-1030 BrownMiller:-Morrow			B- SAE-1022	
			545-1030	
Analysis completed	1		X gen : Algorithm BrownMiller:-Morrow	
FEA Fatigue And	alysis and export of results completed with	no errors or warnings.	gen i Material CL Steel (Ductile)     gen : Materials U Use system default	
			gen : compositio undefined	-1
Worst Life-K	epeats	140.394 Element (0)391	8427.1 @ nen+Const &mn 367	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			odets	
			Pa, e=strain, t=degC, f=N, d=mm	
			isets	
			File [F:\Shahrul\abaqus_directory\F=20.92\Job-1.odb]	
			9 O Dataset 1: (1.1) S : Stress	
			Bi- € Dataset 2: (1.1) E : Strain	
			ups Impli	
	View log Open results fr	older Filter summary by group C	Jose	
		1		
Cither Options				
Source File		4 <u>&gt;</u>		
F:\{Shahrul\abaqus_directory\F=20.92\}ob-1.odb	··· //	lessage Log		8.1
Output File		Results File : F:\Shahrul\U	OGlife\load=20.92\jobs\job_01\fe-results\fesafe.fer	
P:\ShahnufOGilfe\load=20.92\yobs\job_01\fe+esults\Job-3Results.odb		contains : LOGLife-Repe	ats	
Pactor of Strength POS disabled		Data at : flement Noda	0G]1fe\load=20.92\jobs\job_01\fe-results\job-1Results.odb 1	
Probability Probability of failures disabled		Nax write : 100000 (All	keyword COB_MRITEMAXBUFF)	
Exports 6 Contour(s) enabled		Path 1s C:\SIMULIA\EstProdu	cts\2020\win_b64\code\bin;C:\SIMULIA\Commands;C:\Program Files\Microsoft MPI\8	lin\;C:\Program Files\NVI
Gauges, Inf Coeffs Gauges disabled, Influence Coeffs disabled		version supported: 2020		
				~
Q Analyse	-	<u> </u>		2
				Clear
🔳 🔎 🗆 🤌 🔚 🌘 🔚			へ 哲	💭 (11) ENG (22 PM
				40 M 20020

FIGURE 17. Worst Life-Repeats (no of cycles to crack initiation) for Load=20.92 kN

## **CHAPTER 4**

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### 4.1 Static Stress Analysis Results

Based on the constant amplitude loads in Table 2, several static stress analysis have been conducted and the maximum Von Mises stress for each load have been recorded through ABAQUS software. These stresses obtained are compared against values available in literature [7]. These values are observed and discussed. The model structure stress contour for load=53.89kN is shown in the Figure 16. The other load results value obtained from FEA are tabulated in the Table 4.



FIGURE 18. Stress Contours for load=53.89kN

#### 4.2 Fatigue Life Predictions for Constant Amplitude Loadings

Fatigue life (no of cycles to crack initiation) obtained through fatigue analysis using fe-safe and its comparison against previous experimental result from literature [7] has been tabulated in Table 4. From the stress contours for all the load cases, the location of crack initiation most likely to occur at the highest stress level in the vicinity of hole, shown in the Table 5. The red zone of the stress contour which showed the highest level of stress indicates the crack initiation location. As mention earlier, the data from fatigue life analysis related to crack initiation can be further used as a basis for more advanced research.

However, the results obtained from FEA were slightly different from literature [1]. From the methodology flowchart figure, the step 3 were repeat as there is error in validation process. All properties of the model structure have been validated again and step 3 were repeated several times to increase accuracy. However, the results were remained unchanged as there might be problems that need to be investigated due to differences in results.

Loads	Max Von	Max Von	Percentage of	Fatigue Life	Fatigue Life (no
(kN)	Mises Stress	Mises Stress	Error (%) for	(no of	of cycles) by
	(MPa)	(Mpa) FEA	Max Von	cycles) by	FEA
	Literature [7]		Mises Stress	Experiment	
				[7]	
62.25	736.7	1287	42.8	68	145
56.29	681.4	1164	41.5	190	213
53.89	661.8	1114	40.6	265	251
47.39	612.6	979.7	37.5	1250	411
40.18	563.9	830.7	32.1	2400	779

TABLE 5. No of cycle to crack initiation

31.14	502	643.8	22.0	11500	2134
25.27	448.7	522.4	14.1	55400	4984
22.02	409.2	455.2	10.1	160780	8836
20.92	394.6	432.5	8.8	188000	10969

TABLE 6. Fatigue life and Crack Initiation Location of this study

No	Load (kN)	Fatigue life	Location of Crack Initiate
1	62.25	145	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +1.287e+03 +1.184e+03 +1.080e+03 +9.772e+02 +8.740e+02 +7.708e+02 +6.675e+02 +4.611e+02 +3.579e+02 +2.546e+02 +1.514e+02 +4.818e+01
2	56.29	213	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +1.164e+03 +1.070e+03 +9.770e+02 +8.837e+02 +6.970e+02 +6.970e+02 +6.036e+02 +3.236e+02 +2.303e+02 +1.369e+02 +4.356e+01

3	53.89	251	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +1.114e+03 +1.025e+03 +9.354e+02 +8.460e+02 +7.566e+02 +6.673e+02 +5.779e+02 +3.092e+02 +3.098e+02 +2.204e+02 +1.311e+02 +4.171e+01
4	47.39	411	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +9.797e+02 +9.011e+02 +8.225e+02 +7.440e+02 +5.868e+02 +5.868e+02 +5.082e+02 +4.296e+02 +1.938e+02 +1.153e+02 +3.668e+01
5	40.18	779	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +8.307e+02 +7.640e+02 +6.974e+02 +6.308e+02 +5.641e+02 +4.975e+02 +4.309e+02 +3.642e+02 +2.976e+02 +1.644e+02 +9.773e+01 +3.110e+01
6	31.14	2134	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +6.438e+02 +5.921e+02 +5.405e+02 +4.372e+02 +3.339e+02 +2.823e+02 +2.823e+02 +1.790e+02 +1.790e+02 +7.574e+01 +2.410e+01

7	25.27	4984	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +5.224e+02 +4.805e+02 +4.386e+02 +3.548e+02 +3.129e+02 +2.710e+02 +2.291e+02 +1.872e+02 +1.453e+02 +1.034e+02 +6.146e+01 +1.956e+01
8	22.02	8836	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +4.552e+02 +4.187e+02 +3.822e+02 +3.457e+02 +2.727e+02 +2.361e+02 +1.996e+02 +1.266e+02 +1.266e+02 +9.007e+01 +5.356e+01 +1.704e+01
9	20.92	10969	S, Mises (Avg: 75%) +4.325e+02 +3.978e+02 +3.631e+02 +2.590e+02 +2.2937e+02 +2.290e+02 +2.243e+02 +1.896e+02 +1.203e+02 +1.203e+02 +1.557e+01 +5.088e+01 +1.619e+01

## **CHAPTER 5**

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **5.1 Conclusions**

As a conclusion, the main two objectives of this project are achievable. The first objective is to establish the methodology for fatigue life prediction. The model structure is constructed using an established dimension and model properties in the previous studies. Hence, the data is proven. Fatigue life analysis using strain based approach is used in this study for better accuracy of fatigue life prediction. As for the second objective, the obtained results from FEA is compared to the data from previous studies. The comparison of the data is unreliable because the percentage of error is not constant for each load's cases. Some of the error are exceeding 40 percent. The methodology has been repeated several times and still unable to solve. However, the error in the data obtained can be reduced with a further investigation by identifying the other approaches of fatigue analysis prediction through previous studies that available.

#### **5.2 Recommendations**

There are several recommendations to improve this project in near future. Fatigue analysis for the 3-dimentional model are too complex for the solver to compute because it involved more element in the structure which take longer time for the solution. Therefore, this study should focus more on finding suitable specimen for 2dimentional model with available experimental data provided by previous studies and thus the desired results could be improved.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Y.F. Cheng, Stress Corrosion Cracking of Pipelines, John Wiley & Sons, First Edition 2013.

[2] Canadian Energy Pipeline Association (2007) Stress Corrosion Cracking: Recommended Practices, 2nd ed., CEPA, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

[3] M. Wastling, M. Kroon, R. Andrews, T. Miles, Development of vibration assessment and screening methods for attachments to pipeline systems, The American Society of Mechanical Engineering, 2009.

[4] A. A. Javadi and T. P. Tan, 'Neural network for constitutive modelling in finite element analysis', p. 10.

[5] R. I. Levin and N. A. J. Lieven, 'DYNAMIC FINITE ELEMENT MODEL UPDATING USING NEURAL NETWORKS', Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 210, no. 5, pp. 593–607, Mar. 1998.

[6] V. Wagare and R. Hundekari, 'Fatigue life and damage prediction of plate with central hole using finite element method', vol. 3, p. 5, 2015.

[7] Y. Eldoğan and E. Cigeroglu, 'Vibration Fatigue Analysis of a Cantilever Beam Using Different Fatigue Theories', in Topics in Modal Analysis, Volume 7, R. Allemang, J. De Clerck, C. Niezrecki, and A. Wicks, Eds. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2014, pp. 471–478.

[8] H. W. Wang, H. W. Ji, Y. Sun, and H. Miao, 'Discussion on Convergence Issues in ABAQUS/Standard while Carrying Out Damage and Fracture Analysis', AMR, vol. 189–193, pp. 2247–2250, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.189-193.2247.

[9] N. Mavrodontis, 'Fatigue Analysis with Fe-safe', Simuleon FEA Blog, Jan. 2019, Available: <u>https://info.simuleon.com/blog/fatigue-analysis-with-fe-safe</u>

## APPENDICES

## **APPENDIX A: Gantt Chart**

FYP	Detail	Week	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Selection of project title															
	Writing literature review															
1	Familiarisation with FEA software															
	Identify input and output parameters															
	Analyse the data															
	Learning the fatigue analysis approach															
	Modelling the the specimen structure															
2	Conducting fatigue analysis with FEA															
	Analyse the output data															
	Compare the data															
	Conclusion															

FYP	Detail Week	-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Selection of Project Topic															
1	Preliminary research work															
	Submission of progress assessment 1 (SV)															
	Proposal defence															

	Submission of interim draft report								
	Submission of progress assessment 2 (SV)								
	Submission of interim report								
	Project work continues								
	Submission of progress assessment 1 (SV)								
	Submission of draft dissertation								
2	Submission of dissertation (soft bound)								
	Viva								
	Submission of progress assessment 2 (SV)								
	Submission of project dissertation (hard bound)								