

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF THESIS	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
ABSTRACT.....	viii
ABSTRAK.....	ix
COPYRIGHT.....	xi
 Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.1.1 Adverse Effects of Corrosion.....	1
1.1.2 Monitoring Techniques	2
1.2 Motivation	4
1.3 Objective	5
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Thesis Outline	5
 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	 8
2.0 Overview	8
2.1 Corrosion.....	8
2.1.1 Faraday's Law	13
2.1.2 Types of Corrosion	13
2.1.2.1 Uniform Corrosion	14
2.1.2.2 Localized Corrosion	14
2.2 Review of Corrosion Monitoring Techniques	15
2.2.1 Physical Monitoring Techniques	16
2.2.1.1 Weight Loss Method (Corrosion Coupon).....	16
2.2.1.2 Electrical Resistance (ER).....	17
2.2.2 Electrochemical Monitoring Techniques	18
2.2.2.1 Linear Polarization Resistance (LPR).....	19
2.2.2.2 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS).....	20
2.2.2.3 Electrochemical Noise (EN)	21
2.3 Overview of Multielectrode Array Corrosion Monitoring Technique.	22
2.4 Working Principle of CMAS	28
2.5 Maximum Localized Corrosion Rate Equation and Parameters	29
2.6 Introduction to Planar PCB-based MAS	32
2.7 Summary	33
 3. METHODOLOGY	 34
3.0 Overview	34
3.1 Designing the Planar PCB-Based MAS.....	34
3.1.1 Design Criteria.....	34

3.1.2	Software Tool	37
3.1.3	Schematic Layout of PCB-Based MAS.....	39
3.1.4	Board Layout of PCB-Based MAS	41
3.2	Fabrication of Planar PCB-Based MAS.....	44
3.3	Characterization of PCB-Based MAS.....	47
3.3.1	Experimental Setup	47
3.3.1.1	First Experimental Setup.....	48
3.3.1.2	Second Experimental Setup	50
3.3.1.2.1	EIS Setup for Results Validation	52
3.3.1.3	Third Experimental Setup	54
3.3.2	Data Acquisition and Analysis.....	54
3.3.3	Switching Circuit	58
3.4	Summary	60
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
4.0	Overview	61
4.1	Corrosion Detection Capabilities of PCB-Based MAS	61
4.1.1	Bare PCB-based MAS in Sea Salt Solution.....	61
4.1.2	Acrylic Coated PCB-based MAS in Sea Salt Solution	64
4.2	Effects of Conformal Coatings on PCB-Based MAS Performance.....	69
4.2.1	Effects Observed in NaCl Solution.....	69
4.2.1.1	Acrylic Conformal Coating.....	69
4.2.1.2	Cyanoacrylate Conformal Coating.....	71
4.2.1.3	Epoxy Conformal Coating	73
4.2.1.4	Comparison of Three Conformal Coatings	75
4.2.2	Effects Observed in HCl Solution.....	76
4.2.2.1	Acrylic Conformal Coating.....	76
4.2.2.2	Cyanoacrylate Conformal Coating.....	78
4.2.2.3	Epoxy Conformal Coating	80
4.2.2.4	Comparison of Three Conformal Coatings	82
4.2.3	Comparison with EIS results.....	83
4.3	Reliability and Repeatability of PCB-based MAS.....	85
4.3.1	Sample 1	85
4.3.2	Sample 2.....	86
4.3.3	Sample 3.....	88
4.3.4	Reliability.....	89
4.3.5	Repeatability	91
5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	93
5.1	Conclusion	93
5.2	Recommendations	94
APPENDIX		
A.	Graphs	95
REFERENCES		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: HCl and NaCl corrosive solutions were used in second experiment. The highlighted boxes represent the number of days for a particular concentration.....	50
Table 4.1: Comparison of PCB-based MAS and EIS corrosion rates.....	84
Table 4.2: Correlation among three samples of PCB-based MAS is calculated by finding the correlation coefficient to check reliability of PCB-based MAS.....	90
Table 4.3: Correlation of three samples of PCB-based MAS with a reference sample is calculated by finding the correlation coefficient to check repeatability of PCB-based MAS.....	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Corrosion phenomenon is shown. Electrons produced at the anodic sites move towards the less corroded electrode. This flow of electrons produces corrosion current	9
Figure 2.2: A typical galvanic cell is shown along with the chemical reactions. The battery works on the same principle. When one electrode (anode) corrodes its sends current in the conductive path keeping the other electrode (cathode) intact.....	11
Figure 2.3: Galvanic cell formed with corrosive electrolyte and electrodes of a single metal.....	12
Figure 2.4:(a) Corrosion of a metal piece is shown with respect to a galvanic cell. (b) the anode and cathode appear on the single metal piece. (c) the corroding metal in a corrosive environment with anode and cathode and the metal acting as the conductive path itself.....	12
Figure 2.5:Corrosion of a ferritic stainless steel door in a sulphur-containing atmosphere.....	14
Figure 2.6: Pitting corrosion along the seam-weld, induced by chloride ions, caused failure of this stainless steel.....	15
Figure 2.7: Corrosion coupons. Source: [9].....	17
Figure 2.8: Typical ER probes. Source: [12]	18
Figure 2.9: Schematic of LPR measurement. Source: [16].....	20
Figure 2.10: Schematic of the impedance measured by EIS	20
Figure 2.11: Multielectrode system used by Schiessl to monitor corrosion of steel in concrete. This system consisted of multiple steel anodes all coupled separately to a corrosion resistant cathode. Source: Ref [27].....	23
Figure 2.12: Coupled multielectrode array used by Fei, et al. to study the spatiotemporal behavior of iron metal in sulphuric acid. The current of each electrode was measured independently using a zero resistance ammeter box. Source: [27].....	25
Figure 2.13: Coupled multielectrode array system used by Tan (a) shows the measurement of open circuit potential of each electrode. (b) The current measurement of each electrode. (c) measurement of tafel slopes of each electrode. Source: [27].....	26
Figure 2.14: Typical CMAS Probes. Source [27]	27
Figure2.15:(a)Electrodes of CMAS isolated from each other and connected externally through resistors (b) Schematic of a CMAS probe. Source: [31]	27
Figure 2.16:The localized corrosion of metal is shown where the current between anode and cathode flows within the metal surface.	

CMAS consist of electrodes isolated from each other but connected externally through resistors. Source: [27].....	29
Figure 2.17:(a) Partially corroded electrode with local cathodic sites (b) ...	
Slightly corroded electrode with local anodic sites.....	31
Figure 3.1: The conversion from cylindrical to planar design is shown. The electrodes in a CMAS probe are connected directly to the resistors. However, in planar design a conductive path is required between the electrodes and the current measurement device.....	37
Figure 3.2: The schematic layout editor window of Eagle software has the action tool bar on the upper side while the command tool bar on the left side. Schematics can be easily drawn by using different tabs in the tool bars. A simple relay is shown as an example.....	38
Figure 3.3: The board layout editor window in Eagle software is similar to the schematic layout editor window with almost similar tool bars. The exception is that in the board layout only the foot prints of the components appear in the circuit. As the five pins of the relay can be seen in this board layout.....	39
Figure 3.4: The schematic of Design 1 of PCB-based MAS. Pin heads are used to schematize the electrodes and the contact pads	40
Figure 3.5: Schematic layout of Design 2 of PCB-based MAS	40
Figure 3.6: Schematic layout of Design 3 of PCB-based MAS	41
Figure 3.7: Board layout of Design 1. JP10-JP18 represents the electrodes of the sensor while JP1-JP9 represents the contact pads.....	42
Figure 3.8: Board layout of Design 2. JP1-JP10 represents the electrodes of the sensor while JP10-JP12 represents the contact pads....	43
Figure 3.9: Board layout of Design 3. JP1-JP10 represents the electrodes of the sensor while JP11-JP20 represents the contact pads	43
Figure 3.10: Flow chart for PCB fabrication.....	44
Figure 3.11: Fabricated PCB of Design 1	46
Figure 3.12: Fabricated PCB of Design 2	46
Figure 3.13: Fabricated PCB of Design 3	47
Figure 3.14: Schematic of the measurement setup. The Cu electrodes were immersed in sea salt solution and allowed to corrode. Corrosion current is then measured using Keithley 6487 Picoammeter attached to contact pads and common coupling point.....	49
Figure 3.15: Three samples of PCB-based MAS each coated with acrylic, cyanoacrylate and epoxy conformal coatings respectively.....	51

Figure 3.16: (a) Experimental setup for testing the effects of conformal coatings on PCB-based MAS performance in HCl and NaCl solutions.....	51
(b) Keithley 6487 Picoammeter interfaced with computer	51
(c) Six samples of PCB-based MAS immersed in corrosive solution	51
Figure 3.17: (a) EIS cell containing the working electrode, the PCB board, reference and counter electrode. (b) Data acquisition unit with four channels interfaced with a PC. (c) connections made for reference electrode, counter electrode and working electrode with the data acquisition unit.....	53
Figure 3.18: (a) Experimental setup to check the repeatability of PCB-based (b) Picoammeter probes connected to one of the sensor for current measurement	54
Figure 3.19: Keithley 6487 Picoammeter interface with the computer through ExceLinx program. All the control parameters for picoammeter measurements are selected through computer.....	55
Figure 3.20: Connection of picoammeter probes is shown. The black probe is connected to the common coupling point and the red probe is connected to the electrode.....	56
Figure 3.21: The current measured from picoammeter is recorded in Excel sheet. To make data more reliable 10 readings are taken for each electrode current measurement. Mean of these 10 readings is highlighted in bold.....	56
Figure 3.22: Switching circuit for electrode current measurement was designed and simulated in Crocodile software. The electrodes are simulated as current sources, while the LEDs indicates the current flowing to the picoammeter.....	59
Figure 3.23: The fabricated switching circuit. 10 relays and a 10 on off dip switch package was used to achieve easy switching for electrode current measurement. Each electrode is selected for current measurement by just turning on the switch.....	60
Figure 4.1: Corrosion currents obtained from PCB-based MAS with Cu traces exposed to the corrosive environment along with the electrodes	63
Figure 4.2: Real time corrosion rate calculated from the maximum anodic current is plotted against time	63
Figure 4.3: CMAS probe monitored Cu corrosion rate taken from [45].....	64
Figure 4.4: Corrosion currents obtained using PCB-based MAS in sea salt solution. The Cu traces are covered with acrylic conformal coating.....	65

Figure 4.5: Anodic currents of acrylic coated PCB-based MAS in sea salt solution.....	65
Figure 4.6: CR_{max} of Cu in sea salt solution obtained by using maximum anodic current.....	66
Figure 4.7: CR_{max} of Cu in sea salt solution obtained by using three times standard deviation of all the anodic currents at that instant.....	67
Figure 4.8: Comparison of CR_{max} obtained from maximum anodic currents versus the CR_{max} obtained from three times standard deviation of anodic currents.....	68
Figure 4.9: Anodic currents of acrylic coated PCB-based MAS tested in NaCl solution	70
Figure 4.10: CR_{max} of Cu in NaCl solution obtained using acrylic coated PCB-based MAS	70
Figure 4.11: Anodic currents of cyanoacrylate coated PCB-based MAS tested in NaCl solution	72
Figure 4.12: CR_{max} of Cu in NaCl solution obtained using cyanoacrylate coated PCB-based MAS	72
Figure 4.13: Anodic currents of epoxy coated PCB-based MAS tested in NaCl solution	73
Figure 4.14: CR_{max} of Cu in NaCl solution obtained using epoxy coated PCB-based MAS	74
Figure 4.15: Comparison of all three conformal coated PCB-based MAS results in NaCl solution.....	75
Figure 4.16: Anodic currents of acrylic coated PCB-based MAS tested in HCl solution	76
Figure 4.17: CR_{max} of Cu in HCl solution obtained using acrylic coated PCB-based MAS	77
Figure 4.18: Acrylic coated PCB-based MAS after 10 days exposure to HCl solution.....	78
Figure 4.19: Anodic currents of cyanoacrylate coated PCB-based MAS tested in HCl solution.....	78
Figure 4.20: CR_{max} of Cu in HCl solution obtained using cyanoacrylate coated PCB-based MAS.....	79
Figure 4.21: Cyanoacrylate coated PCB-based MAS after 13 days exposure to HCl solution	80
Figure 4.22: Anodic currents of epoxy coated PCB-based MAS tested in HCl solution	80
Figure 4.23: CR_{max} of Cu in HCl solution obtained using epoxy coated PCB-based MAS	81
Figure 4.24: Epoxy chipping off on Day 9 exposing the Cu traces	82
Figure 4.25: Comparison of all three conformal coated PCB-based MAS results in HCl solution	82
Figure 4.26: Anodic currents of sample 1 of PCB-based MAS tested in sea salt solution	85
Figure 4.27: CR_{max} obtained from sample 1	86

Figure 4.28: Anodic currents of sample 2 of PCB-based MAS tested in sea salt solution	87
Figure 4.29: CR_{max} obtained from sample 2	87
Figure 4.30: Anodic currents of sample 3 of PCB-based MAS tested in sea salt solution	88
Figure 4.31: CR_{max} obtained from sample 3	89
Figure 4.32: CR_{max} comparison of three samples to verify reliability	90
Figure 4.33: CR_{max} comparison of three samples with a reference graph to verify repeatability	91