

STATUS OF THESIS

Title of thesis

THE DEVELOPMENT OF 'ECO-FRIENDLY' CONCRETE
USING SILICA FUME WITH HIGH ECONOMIC VALUES

I, FOONG KAH YEN

hereby allow my thesis to be placed at the Information Resource Centre (IRC) of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) with the following conditions:

1. The thesis becomes the property of UTP
2. The IRC of UTP may make copies of the thesis for academic purposes only.
3. This thesis is classified as

Confidential

Non-confidential

If this thesis is confidential, please state the reason:

The contents of the thesis will remain confidential for _____ years.

Remarks on disclosure:

Endorsed by:

Signature of Author
NO. 44 JALAN USJ 4/1B,
47600, SUBANG JAYA,
SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN.
MALAYSIA.

Date: _____

Signature of Supervisor
ASSOC.PROF.DR. NASIR SHAFIQ

Date: _____

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS
THE DEVELOPMENT OF 'ECO-FRIENDLY' CONCRETE USING SILICA
FUME WITH HIGH ECONOMIC VALUES

by

FOONG KAH YEN

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Postgraduate Studies Programme for acceptance this thesis for the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree stated.

Signature: _____

Main Supervisor: _____

Signature: _____

Co-Supervisor: _____

Signature: _____

Head of Department: _____

Date: _____

THE DEVELOPMENT OF 'ECO-FRIENDLY' CONCRETE
USING SILICA FUME WITH HIGH
ECONOMIC VALUES

by

FOONG KAH YEN

A Thesis

Submitted to the Postgraduate Studies Programme
as a Requirement for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS
BANDAR SERI ISKANDAR,
PERAK

January 2011

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Title of thesis

THE DEVELOPMENT OF 'ECO-FRIENDLY' CONCRETE
USING SILICA FUME WITH HIGH ECONOMIC VALUES

I, FOONG KAH YEN

hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UTP or other institutions.

Witnessed by

Signature of Author

NO. 44 JALAN USJ 4/1B,
47600, SUBANG JAYA,
SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN.
MALAYSIA.

Date: _____

Signature of Supervisor

ASSOC.PROF.DR. NASIR SHAFIQ

Date: _____

DEDICATIONS

This Thesis is Dedicated

To

My Beloved Family, My Supervisor and Dear Friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, the author is very grateful to ‘GOD’, who bestowed the opportunity and courage in successfully completing this important research work within the given time. The author would like to take the opportunity to express her sincere thanks to her supervisor, Associate Professor, Dr. Nasir Shafiq for his outstanding guidance and support throughout the duration of study. It is a great honour to work and learn under his supervision. Deepest thanks to Dato Haji Zainal Abidin bin Haji Kassim, Rector of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) and Dr. Mohd.Noh Karsiti for the strong motivation and financial support given.

The author would like to acknowledge her family namely her beloved parents; Foong Weng Choong and Lim Ley Ching for their never ending love and motivation, siblings Foong Kah Mun, Foong Kah Mei and Foong Kah Khin for their moral support, and her mentors, Ir. Shim Woon Choon, Arch. Laurent Lim and Ir. Shimizu for their kind advice.

Special thanks are extended to the staffs in Civil Engineering Department, UTP, for their moral support, Concrete Laboratory Technologists, Mr. Johan Ariff and Mr. Muhammad Hafiz Baharun for their technical advice and materials procurement, Mechanical Laboratory Technologists, Mr. Shahrul, Mr. Bob and Mr. Najib for their assistance in preparing of concrete specimens, Mr. Shairul Harun, who have given his technical assistance in SEM, XRF and XRD analysis, SIKA Kimia for their kind sponsorship of Silica Fume (SF), and colleagues; Andri Kusbiantoro, Sobia Anwar Qazi, Nur Hanani Sulong, Chin Siew Choo, Ng Cheng Yee, Salmia Beddu, Sadaf Qasim, Dr. Suwardo, Dr. Agus, Belinda, Retno, and Mirza, for their advices.

Finally, the author’s deepest gratitude to her dear UTP friends, Ena, Jasmin Saw, Chee Kai Ling, Nadrah Mohd. Jamaludin, Ho Wei Ni, Ong Shiou Ting, Preetpal Kaur and Duong Vannak for the moral support given.

ABSTRACT

The world's yearly cement production of 2 billion tons emits about 7% of carbon dioxide (CO_2) into the atmosphere. Producing a ton of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) produces a ton of CO_2 . In concrete production, OPC should not only be considered as the main high strength contributor. Aggregate gradings and types of admixtures should be taken into serious consideration. Major concrete issues such as pre-structural deterioration, durability in marine environment and natural resources depletion should be concerned. Silica Fume (SF), the by-products of metal industries attracts the attention of many parties. SF abundance availability has become an environmental issue but being a potential high strength contributor has attracted many parties into applying its application into the concrete technology and construction industry. This research is to produce concrete that is high performance and high strength from 50MPa-80MPa having the 'Eco-Green' characteristics. The research was conducted using the Trial Laboratory Test method. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyses were conducted to analyze the chemical compositions of OPC and SF. SF is then added 5% and 10% into 2 types of aggregate mixes namely 'Designed' and 'As-supplied' with fixed 0.5w/c. Excel worksheets were produced to determine the cement efficiency and cost effectiveness. It is concluded that by adding SF, the strength of concrete has increased by 15%-20%. With comparisons from other research, cement consumption is reduced by 15%-20%. CO_2 emissions were reduced by 15%-20%, energy efficient during production and have enhanced durability. This can be justified from Excel worksheets produced. OPC content is not the main contributor to the high strength of concrete. This is part of an effort to produce the ideal building material that delivers many positive traits.

Keywords: Carbon Dioxide (CO_2); 'eco-green'; Ordinary Portland cement (OPC); Silica Fume (SF); Trial Laboratory Test; X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

ABSTRAK

Produksi tahunan simen sebanyak 2 billion ton dibuktikan membebaskan 7% gas karbon dioksida ke atmosfera. Satu ton simen yang dihasilkan akan membebaskan satu ton gas karbon dioksida. Dalam produksi konkrit, simen tidak harus dijadikan tumpuan utama untuk mencapai kekuatan tinggi. Keseimbangan kandungan aggregate dan jenis bahan kimia harus dititikberatkan. Isu-isu utama konkrit misalnya kerosakan pra-struktur, daya tahanan dalam kawasan pesisir dan kemerosotan sumber alam juga harus diutamakan. Silica Fume (SF) hasil sampingan industry pengilangan aloi telah menarik perhatian pelbagai pihak. Hasilnya yang banyak telah menjadi tumpuan isu persekitaran tetapi jika dipandang dari ciri-cirinya untuk menghasilkan konkrit berkekuatan tinggi telah menarik minat pelbagai pihak untuk diaplikasikan dalam teknologi konkrit dan pembinaan. Objektif utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menghasilkan konkrit yang berprestasi dan berkekuatan tinggi dalam lingkungan kuatan 50MPa-80MPa dengan ciri-ciri ‘Eco-Green’. Kaedah ujian ‘Trial Laboratory’ telah digunakan. Ujian belauan sinar-x dan analisis pendarkilau sinar-x dijalankan untuk menganalisa komposisi kimia simen dan SF yang telah ditambahkan 5% dan 10% dalam dua jenis campuran konkrit iaitu ‘Designed’ dan ‘As-supplied’ dengan nisbah air simen tetap 0.5. Program computer asas telah dihasilkan dan digunakan untuk menentukan jumlah kepenggunaan simen dan kos. Kesimpulannya, dengan penambahan SF, kekuatan konkrit telah meningkat sebanyak 15%-20%. Dengan perbandingan penyelidikan, kandungan guna simen telah dikurangkan sebanyak 15%-20% selaras dengan kadar pembebasan gas karbon dioksida. Konkrit yang dihasilkan adalah berpatutan dan menjimatkan tenaga semasa produksi dengan adanya daya tahan yang baik dalam keadaan pedalaman dan persisir. Oleh itu kandungan simen dalam konkrit bukan fokus utama kekuatan tinggi dalam konkrit berkualiti tinggi. Penyelidikan ini adalah sebahagian usaha untuk menghasilkan bahan binaan yang ideal dan berpotensi.

Kata kunci: karbon dioksida; daya tahanan; ‘eko-hijau’; beton prestasi tinggi; simen; Silica Fume (SF)

In compliance with the terms of the Copyright Act 1987 and the IP Policy of the university, the copyright of this thesis has been reassigned by the author to the legal entity of the university,

Institute of Technology PETRONAS Sdn. Bhd.

Due acknowledgement shall always be made of the use of any material contained in, or derived from, this thesis.

© Foong Kah Yen, 2011
Institute of Technology PETRONAS Sdn Bhd
All rights reserved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATUS OF THESIS.....	i
APPROVAL PAGE.....	ii
TITLE PAGE.....	iii
DECLARATION OF THESIS.....	iv
DEDICATION.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
ABSTRACT.....	vii
ABSTRAK.....	viii
COPYRIGHT PAGE.....	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	x
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xvii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxii

CHAPTER

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Statements	4
1.3 Objectives.....	5
1.4 Scope of Work.....	6

2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1 Introduction and Background.....	7
2.1.1 Building ‘Green’ and Preservations.....	8
2.2 Problems and Issues with Concrete.....	9
2.2.1 Towards Sustainable Concrete.....	9
2.2.2 Cement Consumption in Concrete Production.....	10
2.2.3 Cement Efficiency.....	10
2.2.4 Environmental Impact of Concrete.....	13
2.2.5 Natural Resources and Pre-Structural Deterioration.....	14
2.2.6 Silica Fume Application – World and Malaysia.....	15
2.2.7 Energy Consumption in Concrete.....	16
2.2.8 Durability, Lifespan and Cost Consideration of Concrete.....	18
2.3 Important Engineering Properties.....	20
2.3.1 Ideal Concrete Mix Designs.....	20
2.3.2 Strength Consideration of Concrete in Construction Industry.....	21
2.3.3 Mechanical Properties and Enhanced Durability of Concrete.....	22
2.4 Concrete Properties and Material Performances.....	23
2.4.1 Fresh Concrete Condition.....	23
2.4.1.1 Slump.....	23
2.4.2 Hardened Concrete Condition.....	24
2.4.2.1 X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test	24
2.4.2.2 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Test.....	25
2.4.2.3 Compressive Strength.....	27
2.4.2.4 Total Porosity.....	27
2.4.2.5 Split Tensile Strength.....	28
2.4.2.6 Modulus of Elasticity (Flexural Tensile Strength)	29
2.4.3 Durability of Concrete in Marine Condition.....	30
2.5 Modernization of Concrete.....	33
2.5.1 Modern Concrete Technology.....	33
2.5.2 Innovation in Concrete Technology.....	34
2.5.3 Improvements in Concrete Technology.....	35

2.6	Introduction to High Performance Concrete (HPC).....	36
2.6.1	Background.....	36
2.6.2	Global Development.....	37
2.6.3	Working with Silica-Fume Concrete.....	38
2.6.4	Silica Fume Concrete in Aggressive Environments.....	39
2.6.5	HPC in Marine Environment.....	41
2.6.6	Service Life and Cost Consideration.....	42
2.7	Effects of HPC on Concrete.....	42
2.7.1	Admixtures.....	42
2.7.2	Cement Replacing Materials.....	43
2.7.3	Silica Fume (SF) in HPC.....	44
2.7.3.1	Background.....	45
2.7.3.2	Environmental Benefits of SF.....	48
2.7.4	Superplasticizer in HPC.....	49
2.8	Marine Coastal Environment.....	52
2.8.1	Silica Fume (SF) in HPC.....	55
2.8.2	Temperature of Seawater.....	56
2.9	Concrete for the 21 st Century.....	57
2.9.1	Concrete's Carbon Footprint.....	57
2.9.2	Admixtures of Tomorrow.....	58
2.9.3	Binders of Tomorrow.....	58
2.10	Summary.....	59
2.11	Design Development.....	60
3.	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION.....	61
3.1	Overview of Chapter.....	61
3.2	Concrete Mix Designs.....	62
3.2.1	Material Properties and Selections.....	63
3.2.2	Trial Mix Proportions.....	64
3.3	Materials Preparations.....	65
3.3.1	Aggregates (Fine Aggregates and Coarse Aggregates).....	66
3.3.1.1	Sieve Analysis Test.....	66
3.3.2	Chemical Compositions of OPC and SF.....	66

3.3.2.1	XRF Test.....	66
3.3.2.2	XRD Test.....	67
3.3.3	OPC Type 1	68
3.3.4	Silica Fume (SF).....	68
3.3.5	Water.....	69
3.3.6	Superplasticizer.....	69
3.4	Concrete Mixing and Sampling.....	70
3.5	Fresh Concrete Testing.....	70
3.6	Hardened Concrete Properties.....	71
3.6.1	Compressive Strength Test.....	71
3.6.1.1	Compressive Strength Test Procedure.....	72
3.6.2	Porosity Test.....	73
3.6.2.1	Porosity Test Procedure.....	73
3.6.2.2	Calculations of Porosity Test.....	75
3.6.3	Split Cylinder Test (Tensile Strength).....	76
3.6.3.1	Split-Cylinder Test Procedure.....	76
3.6.4	Modulus of Elasticity (Flexural Tensile Strength).....	77
3.6.4.1	Flexural Strength Test.....	77
3.6.4.2	Modulus of Elasticity Calculations.....	75
3.6.5	Chloride Migration Test (Durability in Marine Environment) . . .	78
3.6.5.1	Chloride Migration Test Procedure.....	79
3.7	Worksheets and Applications.....	80
4.	RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS.....	81
4.1	Overview of Chapter.....	81
4.2	Quality Control.....	81
4.2.1	Sieve Analysis of Sand.....	81
4.2.1.1	‘Designed’ Grading.....	83
4.2.1.2	‘As-supplied’ Aggregates.....	84
4.2.2	XRF Test Results.....	86
4.2.3	XRD Test Results.....	87
4.3	Properties of Concrete.....	88
4.3.1	Properties of Fresh Concrete using Slump Test.....	88

4.3.2 Hardened Concrete Properties.....	91
4.3.2.1 Compressive Strength Test.....	91
4.3.2.2 High Early Compressive Strength Analyses.....	97
4.3.2.3 Total Porosity Test.....	102
4.3.2.4 Split Cylinder Test.....	106
4.3.2.5 Chloride Migration Test.....	110
4.3.2.6 Durability Efficiency.....	113
4.3.2.7 Modulus of Elasticity.....	114
4.4 Efficiency Analysis.....	118
4.4.1 Cement Consumption in Mixes.....	118
4.4.1.1 Cement Efficiency in Mix Series.....	124
4.4.2 Economic Considerations (Cost Analysis).....	125
4.4.3 Energy Consumption.....	129
4.4.5 Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Emissio.....	131
4.4.5.1 Compressive Strength Development – Cube Test.....	131
4.4.5.2 Potential Durability Performances.....	132
4.4.5.3 Modulus of Elasticity.....	133
4.4.5.4 Overall Discussion.....	133
4.5 Overall Chapter Discussion.....	134
5. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS.....	136
REFERENCES.....	141

APPENDIX A

AMOUNT OF OPC AND CO ₂ EMISSION SAVED FROM RESEARCH (COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH).....	152
R1: M.G. Alexander and B.J. Magee (1999).....	152
R2: G.C. Isaia <i>et.al</i> (2003).....	153
R3: J. Lindgard and S. Smepllass (1992).....	154
R4: F. de-Larrard and R. LeRoy (1992).....	155
R5: G.G. Carette and V.M. Malhotra (1992).....	156

APPENDIX B

AMOUNT OF OPC AND CO ₂ EMISSION SAVED FROM RESEARCH (POTENTIAL DURABILITY PERFORMANCES).....	157
R1: M.G. Alexander and B.J. Magee (1999).....	157
R2: G.C. Isaia <i>et.al</i> (2003).....	158
R3: J. Lindgard and S. Smepllass (1992).....	159
R4: F. de-Larrard and R. LeRoy (1992).....	160
R5: G.G. Carette and V.M. Malhotra (1992).....	161

APPENDIX C

AMOUNT OF OPC AND CO ₂ EMISSION SAVED FROM RESEARCH (MODULUS OF ELASTICITY).....	162
R1: M.G. Alexander and B.J. Magee (1999).....	162
R2: G.C. Isaia <i>et.al</i> (2003).....	163
R3: J. Lindgard and S. Smepllass (1992).....	164
R4: F. de-Larrard and R. LeRoy (1992).....	165
R5: G.G. Carette and V.M. Malhotra (1992).....	176

APPENDIX D

SAMPLE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY WORKSHEET.....	167
--	-----

APPENDIX E

STANDARD ENERGY CONSUMPTION TABLE FOR CONCRETE DURING PRODUCTION.....	168
--	-----

APPENDIX F

LIST OF EXHIBITIONS, AWARDS, PAPERS AND PUBLICATIONS.....	169
---	-----

APPENDIX G

DETAILS OF RESEARCH WORKS.....	170
--------------------------------	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Representation of Superplasticizer Molecule and Mode of Adsorption on Cement Grains (M.L. Gambhir, 1997).....	11
Figure 2.2: Comparison of OPC (left) and SF (right) Particles (ACI, 2005).....	12
Figure 2.3: Geometry of X-Ray Reflection.....	26
Figure 2.4: Physical causes of Deterioration of Concrete (P.F. McGraft, 1996)....	31
Figure 2.5: Corrosion of Concrete by Chemical Reactions (P.F. McGraft, 1996)....	31
Figure 2.6: Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel (T. Mays <i>et.al</i> , 1992).....	33
Figure 2.7: Mechanism of Bleeding Reduction in Cement Paste by Silica Fume Addition (V.M. Malhotra and K.P. Mehta, 1996).....	46
Figure 2.8: Electron Micrograph of Silica Fume (SF) Microsphere (A. Dunster, 2009).....	47
Figure 2.9: Schematic of Corrosion Process in Reinforced Concrete (SFA, 2005).....	53
Figure 2.10: Various Local Marine Environments (W.D. Callister Jr., 2003).....	54
Figure 2.11: Design Development (HPC).....	60
Figure 3.1: Project Flowchart.....	61
Figure 3.2: Coarse Aggregates and Fine Aggregates.....	65
Figure 3.3: XRF Machine (BRUKER AXS S-Pioneer).....	67
Figure 3.4: BRUKER AXS D8 Advance Diffractometer.....	67
Figure 3.5: Superplasticizer.....	69
Figure 3.6: Measurement of Slump.....	71
Figure 3.7: Compressive Strength Test.....	72

Figure 3.8: Apparatus for Total Porosity Test	
1: Vacuum Saturation Tank.....	74
2: Pump.....	74
3: Specimens (Fully Submerged Condition).....	74
Figure 3.8: Specimens weighed in air and water.....	75
Figure 3.9: Split-cylinder Test.....	76
Figure 3.10: Universal Hydraulic Testing Machine.....	77
Figure 3.11: Chloride Diffusion in Concrete.....	79
 Figure 4.1: Sieve Analysis Test-Fine Aggregates-'Designed' Mixes.....	83
Figure 4.2: Sieve Analysis Test-Coarse Aggregates-'Designed' Mixes.....	83
Figure 4.3: Sieve Analysis Test-Fine Aggregates-'As-supplied' Mixes.....	84
Figure 4.4: Sieve Analysis Test-Coarse Aggregates-'As-supplied' Mixes.....	85
Figure 4.5: XRD Graph of SF.....	87
Figure 4.6: Workability Performances-Slump Test on fresh Concrete.....	89
Figure 4.7: Compressive Strength Development of Series 1 (250 kg/m ³).....	92
Figure 4.8: Compressive Strength Development of Series 2 (275 kg/m ³).....	93
Figure 4.9: Compressive Strength Development of Series 3 (350 kg/m ³).....	94
Figure 4.10: Compressive Strength Development of Series 4 (400 kg/m ³).....	95
Figure 4.11: High Early Compressive Strength – Series 1 (250 kg/m ³).....	98
Figure 4.12: High Early Compressive Strength – Series 2 (275 kg/m ³).....	99
Figure 4.13: High Early Compressive Strength – Series 3 (350 kg/m ³).....	99
Figure 4.14: High Early Compressive Strength – Series 4 (400 kg/m ³).....	100
Figure 4.15: Total Porosity Development – Series 1 (250 kg/m ³).....	103
Figure 4.16: Total Porosity Development – Series 2 (275 kg/m ³).....	103
Figure 4.17: Total Porosity Development – Series 3 (350 kg/m ³).....	104
Figure 4.18: Total Porosity Development – Series 4 (400 kg/m.....	104
Figure 4.19: Overall Total Porosity Development – 'Designed' and 'As-supplied' Mixes.....	105

Figure 4.20: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa) – Series 1 (250 kg/m ³)...107	
Figure 4.21: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa) – Series 2 (275 kg/m ³)...107	
Figure 4.22: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa) – Series 3 (350 kg/m ³)...108	
Figure 4.23: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa) – Series 4 (400 kg/m ³)...109	
Figure 4.24: Chloride Penetration Efficiency-‘Designed’ and ‘As-supplied’ Mixes.....	113
Figure 4.25: Modulus of Elasticity-‘Designed’ and ‘As-supplied’ Mixes.....	117
Figure 4.26: Overall cement Consumption Comparisons (100% OPC).....	121
Figure 4.27: Cement Consumption Comparisons-100% OPC, 5% SF & 10% SF...123	
Figure 4.28: Cement Efficiency (kg/m ³ /MPa) Comparisons.....	124
Figure 4.29: Cost Effectiveness (RM/MPa) Comparisons in Mix Series.....	127
Figure 4.30: Overall Cost Effectiveness (RM/MPa) Comparisons in Mix Series...128	
Figure 4.31: Energy Consumption Efficiency.....	130

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Canadian Specifications for Silica Fume (CSA Standard A23-5, 1986).....	44
Table 2.2: Average Composition of Seawater (H. Keijin <i>et.al</i> , 1997).....	55
Table 3.1: Details of Trial mix Proportions.....	64
Table 3.2: Physical and Chemical Properties of OPC Type 1 (Cement Industries of Malaysia Berhad, CIMA).....	68
Table 4.1: Sieve Analysis Test Proportional Chart ('Designed' Mixes).....	82
Table 4.2: Sieve Analysis of 'As-supplied' Aggregates.....	82
Table 4.3: Chemical Composition of OPC and SF.....	86
Table 4.4: Measured Slump of Concrete ('Designed' Graded Aggregates).....	88
Table 4.5: Measured Slump of Concrete ('As-supplied' Aggregates).....	89
Table 4.6: Compressive Strength Developments-'Designed' Mixes.....	91
Table 4.7: Compressive Strength Developments-'As-supplied' Mixes.....	92
Table 4.8: Early Compressive Strength for 'Designed' Mixes.....	97
Table 4.9: Early Compressive Strength for 'As-supplied' Mixes.....	98
Table 4.10: Total Porosity for 'Designed' Mixes.....	102
Table 4.11: Total Porosity for 'As-supplied' Mixes.....	102
Table 4.12: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa)-'Designed' Mixes.....	106
Table 4.13: Split Tensile Strength Development (MPa)-'As-supplied' Mixes....	106
Table 4.14: Chloride Penetration Development-'Designed' Mixes.....	110
Table 4.15: Chloride Penetration Development-'As-supplied' Mixes.....	111
Table 4.16: Modulus of Elasticity-'Designed' Mixes.....	114
Table 4.17: Modulus of Elasticity-'As-supplied' Mixes.....	115

Table 4.18: Matrix Efficiency- 100% OPC.....	118
Table 4.19: Matrix Efficiency- 100% OPC, 5% SF and 10% SF.....	119
Table 4.20: 100% OPC Comparisons.....	120
Table 4.21: 100% OPC, 5% SF and 10% SF Comparisons.....	121
Table 4.22: Cement Efficiency (kg/m ³ /MPa) Comparisons.....	124
Table 4.23: Cost Effectiveness between Cost and Compressive Strength (RM/MPa).....	126
Table 4.24: Energy Consumption Efficiency (kwh/tonne).....	129
Table 4.25: Amount of CO ₂ saved (%) – LT with Other Research (Compressive Strength : Cube Test).....	132
Table 4.26: Amount of CO ₂ saved (%) – LT with Other Research (Potential Durability).....	132
Table 4.27: Amount of CO ₂ saved (%) – LT with Other Research (Modulus of Elasticity, E- Modulus).....	133

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

OPC	Ordinary Portland cement
HPC	High Performance Concrete
HCP	Hydrated Cement Paste
SF	Silica Fume
w/c	Water to cement ratio
w/b	Water to binder ratio
CA	Coarse Aggregates
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
FA	Fine Aggregates
SP	Superplasticizer
XRF	X-Ray Florescence
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
etc	Et cetera
i.e.	In example
PCC	Portland Cement Concrete
SFC	Silica Fume Concrete
UD	‘Undesigned’/ ‘As-supplied’ Mixes
CRM	Cement Replacing Material

