CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

This chapter concentrates on procedures of SYSID, where, a fully instrumented natural gas test facility was designed and constructed. The test rig is designed that allows actual data of mass flowrate could be collected from actual coriolis flowmeter manufactured by Micro Motion based on three pressures of CNG filling banks: low pressure bank, medium pressure bank and high pressure bank. From these experimental data, the significant algorithm in this research would be clarified which is the inferential coriolis design.

4.1 Introduction

In general terms, an identification experiment is performed by exciting the Micro Motion coriolis flowmeter (using some sort of step signal such as valve opening) and observing its mass flowrate output over a time interval. These signals are recorded in a mass storage device such as FieldPoint for subsequent 'information processing'. The first step in parametric estimation method is to determine an appropriate discrete model of the coriolis (typically a linear difference equation) based on three approaches: non-recursive, recursive and state-space. As a second step, some statistically based method is used to estimate the unknown parameters of the coriolis model (the coefficients of the difference equation) by using three analyses: optimal order, prediction error and singular values. In practice, the estimation for structures and parameters of coriolis model are often done iteratively which cover three steps: determining coefficient, stability analysis and validation of power series expansion. This means that a tentative structure is chosen and the corresponding parameters are estimated. The coriolis model obtained is then tested to see whether it is an appropriate representation of the actual coriolis. If this is not the case, some more complex order of model structure would be considered i.e., a new structure and coefficient would be estimated and validated, until the estimated model corresponds to the actual coriolis. The SYSID procedure could be summarized as Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1: Flow chart of SYSID procedures

4.2 Design of experimental hardware

The following section discusses the first steps of SYSID methodology i.e., design of experimental hardware. Figure 4.2 shows the actual CNG test rig that has been designed for CNG metering analysis and refueling control system purposes. There are three main sections such as natural gas test rig, data acquisition & controller system using FieldPoint and graphical programming using LabVIEW, in which, the test rig itself consists of five sub systems such as cascaded storage system, flow metering system, receiver system, recycle system and sequencing system. These main components that are required in the test rig will be clearly explained to facilitate research work.



Figure 4.2: Natural gas test rig

4.2.1 Cascaded storage system

Figure 4.3 shows a three stage cascade storage system comprising of nine 5000 psig rated cylinders arranged in a 4-3-2 arrangement representing the low, medium and high pressure configurations. Each cylinder has a capacity of 55 liters at 5000 psig rated pressure. The cylinder cascade banks were assembled with motorized ball valves which were remotely activated during the testing from the laboratory computer control system.



Figure 4.3: Cascaded storage system

CHAPTER 4

4.2.2 Flow metering system

Figure 4.4 shows a coriolis flowmeter installed with three types of volumetric flowmeter: turbine, vortex and differential flowmeter to measure the flow of gas in the natural gas cylinder during the relatively high flow, rapid charging tests. The purpose is to compare coriolis metering performance and analyze the various types of natural gas flowmeters.



Figure 4.4: Flow metering system

4.2.3 Receiver system

Figure 4.5 shows a receiver system that replicates the actual car storage tank or receiver tank. It has one 55 liters cylinder tank at the 5000 psig rated pressure equipped with an embedded pressure sensor and a load cell to measure the actual filling weight.



Figure 4.5: Receiver system

4.2.4 Recycle system

Figure 4.6 shows a recycle system consists of a recycling piping and two compressors which are used to recover and recycle the natural gas from the receiver to the cascaded storage system. Since a small amount of natural gas from the receiver system is expected to be lost during each experiment, the system is equipped with three 55 liters 'make-up' cylinders, see Figure 4.3, to compensate for the losses.

<text>

Figure 4.6: Recycle system

4.2.5 Sequencing system

The sequencing system sets the low, medium and high pressure at cascaded storage system using ball valves. A ball valve is a valve that opens by turning a handle attached to a ball inside the valve. The ball has a hole, through the middle, thus when the hole is in line with both ends of the valve, the gas flow will take place. When the valve is closed, the hole is perpendicular to the ends of the valve, and the gas flow is blocked. If the natural gas at the cascaded storage system has reached the set pressure, the balance is restored in the temporary cylinders. These arrangements are shown in Figure 4.7.



Figure 4.7: Sequencing system

4.2.6 DAQ & Control System

The data acquisition and control system for the test rig is designed using a hardware known as FieldPoint manufactured by National Instrument [249]. All the signals data sent by the sensors and other instrumentations in the test rig are monitored and collected remotely from a computer located in a control room via an ethernet connection to the FieldPoint. The control system to monitor and control the test rig has been programmed using software known as LabVIEW [249]. The program also has been embedded in the FieldPoint for reliable distribution and stand-alone deployment. As shown by Figure 4.8, there are seven modules used to monitor and control the test rig such as controller module, thermocouple module, counter module, digital input module, relay module, analog input module and analog output module.



Figure 4.8: FieldPoint system

To simplify each module installation to the computer, FieldPoint uses automatically plug-and-play mechanism to detect and identify via ethernet and TCP/IP connection. Further references such as technical specifications, loop diagrams, operating instructions and safety guidelines for each module could be reviewed from [249]. Also, Figure 4.9 in following section shows the single line diagram to describe connections from sensors to channels of input and output (I/O) of the FieldPoint modules.

CHAPTER 4					EXPERIN	MENTAL	DESIGN				9	<u>1</u>
ETHERNET 10/100BaseT Ethernet CFP-2020 CFP-TC-120 CFP-CTR-500			4	PC WITH L PROGRAM TO AND CONTRO RIG AND DI	ABVIEW O MONITOR L CNG TEST SPENSER	٦		FABRI HOU FIELD SUPPLY VEN	ICATED N SING FOF POINTS, I AND FITT FILATION	METAL & THE POWER ED WITH I FAN		
	Ether	net	-	COMPUT	EK							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				CFP-B	P-8 (backplane							
CFP-2020 CONTROLLER MODULE	CFP-2020 CONTROLLER MODULE COUNTE MODULE CCP-CTR-5 COUNTE MODULE COUNTE MODULE				CFP-DI-301 DIGITAL INPUT MODULE CFP-RLY-421 RELAY MODULE			CFP-AI-111 ANALOG INPUT MODULE	J-111 LOG PUT ULE	CFP-A0-20 ANALOO OUTPUT MODULI	00 3 5 E	
Note: CH = channel	CH0-TCYLN CH1-spare CH2-spare CH3-spare CH4-spare CH5-spare CH6-spare CH7-spare	(spare)	CH0-ESD CH1-COM CH2-LAB CH3-DISP CH4-FAN CH5-FAN CH6-spare CH7-spare	APRESSOR VIEW PENSER 1 2 2 2	CH0-LB VALV CH1-MB VALV CH2-HB VALV CH3COMPRES CH4SQN-LB CH5SQN-MB CH6SQN-HB CH7SQN-empty	E /E SOR	(spare)	CH0-LOW BAN CH1-MEDIUM CH2-HIGH BAI CH3-PCOMP CH4-PRECYL CH5-PT1 CH6-PT2 CH7-PCYLN CH8-TT1 CH9-TT2 CH10- <i>spare</i> CH11-ORIFICE CH12-VORTEX CH13-TURBIN CH14-PSQN CH15-PNOZZL	NK BANK NK E E	CH0-COR CH1-DEN CH2-LOA CH3-spare CH5-spare CH6-spare CH7-spare CH9-spare CH10-spare CH10-spare CH11-spare CH12-spare CH13-spare CH13-spare CH13-spare	IOLIS SITY DCELL	CH0- DISPLAY CH1-spare CH2-spare CH3-spare CH4-spare CH5-spare CH6-spare CH7-spare

4.3 Design of LabVIEW program

The following section discusses the second steps of SYSID procedure i.e., design of LabVIEW program. Figure 4.3-Figure 4.7 in previous section has shown five sub systems for the test rig such as cascaded storage system, flow metering system, receiver system, recycle system and sequencing system, whilst Figure 4.10 in the following section shows LabVIEW front panel to monitor and control the natural gas test rig systems.

Since the test rig comprises of five sub systems, the program for LabVIEW front panel also would comprise with five other sub programs such as cascaded storage sub program, flow metering sub program, receiver sub program, recycle sub program and sequencing sub program. The following section provides detail descriptions for each of the sub programs.

4.3.1 Cascaded storage subprogram

As shown by Figure 4.10, the low, medium and high pressure configuration in the cascaded storage system is indicated by 'LOW BANK', 'MEDIUM BANK' and 'HIGH BANK', respectively. The flow of natural gas to the receiver system is activated by 'LB', 'MB' and 'HB' toggle switch buttons depending on the requirements. The indicators 'LB VALVE', 'MB VALVE' and 'HB VALVE' would change to green color indicating the respective valve is activated to allow flow. Please refer Figure 4.11 for LabVIEW program of cascaded storage system.

4.3.2 Flow metering subprogram

Based on Figure 4.10, the flowrate produced by flowmeters in the flow metering system is specified by 'TURBINE', 'ORIFICE', 'VORTEX' and 'CORIOLIS'. The density from the actual coriolis flowmeter is shown by 'DENSITY', whilst pressure and temperature before and after the flow metering system are indicated by 'PT1', 'TT1' and 'PT2', 'TT2', respectively. Please refer Figure 4.12 for LabVIEW program of flow metering system.

4.3.3 Receiver subprogram

From Figure 4.10, the actual pressure, temperature, pressure inside the nozzle and actual filling weight of the receiver system are indicated by 'PCYLN', 'TCLYN', 'PNOZZLE' and 'LOAD CELL', respectively.

Whilst, values set at 'OFFSET-PNOZZLE' and 'OFFSET-LOADCELL' are used to compensate with the actual values of pressure inside nozzle and the filling weight of receiver cylinder, respectively. Please refer Figure 4.12 for LabVIEW program of receiver system.

4.3.4 Recycle subprogram

As shown by Figure 4.10, the compressors in the recycle system are specified by 'FMQ-8-36' and 'FMQ-2-36' which is activated by 'COMPRESSOR' toggle switch button, whilst the pressure during recycling process and pressure inside the 'make-up' cylinders is shown by 'PCOMP' and 'PRECYL', respectively. Notably, the recycling process would stop if the pressure inside the receiver tank reaches the 'PCYLN SETPOINT' value. Please refer Figure 4.13 for LabVIEW program of recycle system.

4.3.5 Sequencing subprogram

Based on Figure 4.10, the 'temporary' cylinders in the sequencing system are specified by 'PSQN'. It is activated by ball valve represented by 'SQN-EMPTY TANK' toggle switch button. The toggle switch button i.e., 'MODE' would determine two types of recycling processes i.e., 'MANUAL' and 'AUTO' recycling.

If 'MANUAL' is chosen, pressure values at 'LOW BANK', 'MEDIUM BANK' and 'HIGH BANK' would be set manually using 'SQN-LB', 'SQN-MB' and 'SQN-HB' toggle switch buttons, respectively. Whilst, if 'AUTO' is chosen, pressure at 'LOW BANK', 'MEDIUM BANK' and 'HIGH BANK' would be activated automatically using reference values from 'Low Bank Setpoint', 'Medium Bank Setpoint' and 'High Bank Setpoint', respectively. Please refer Figure 4.14 for LabVIEW program of sequencing system.



EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN



Figure 4.10: LabVIEW front panel to monitor and control the test rig





Figure 4.12: LabVIEW sub program for flow metering and receiver system



Figure 4.13: LabVIEW sub program for recycle system



Figure 4.14: LabVIEW sub program for sequencing system

4.4 Perform and collect experimental data

Sections 4.1 to 4.3 have been to describing three main hardwares that are required for initiating the SYSID work: natural gas test rig, data acquisition & control system (DAQ) using FieldPoint and LabVIEW programs, respectively. The following section discusses the third steps of SYSID methodology i.e., perform and collect experimental using refueling and recycling process of the test rig.

4.4.1 Process flow of natural gas test rig

The P&ID diagram shown in Figure 4.15 comprises of storage cylinders horizontally stacked in metal frame, panel mounted dispenser, slow-filled compressor, gas piping, electrical control and data acquisition system. It has been equipped with adequate instrumentation system for purpose of analyzing flow measurement of natural gas and sufficient capacity to weigh the NGV cylinder and gas. As can be observed from the figure, materials or equipments are presented by tag numbers. These tag numbers will be defined individually in the process explanation and can be referred from Table 4.1. There are 4 types of flowmeters installed in the dispensing system which are coriolis **B310**, turbine **B320**, differential pressure **B330** and vortex **B340** with coriolis flowmeter acting as the reference flowmeter. At any time, only coriolis and any one of the three flowmeters could be used. Data from all flowmeters are electronically retrieved and stored in a data acquisition system **YC01**. The recycle system is to recover and recycle the natural gas from car storage into the supply storage system. The system consists of two timed-filled compressors **B470** and **B480**. It is expected a small amount of natural gas from car storage will be lost during each experiment trial. Thus, the system is equipped with make-up cylinders **B270** to compensate for the losses. The supply storage system consists of nine 55 liters cylindrical tanks altogether with maximum pressure at 3600 psig (at 70 °C) and they are all placed in one rack. These tanks are sub-divided into three different bank systems, low bank (LB) **B280**, medium bank (MB) **B290** and high bank (HB) **B300**. LB, MB and HB systems have 4, 3 and 2 cylindrical tanks respectively. All of these tanks have the same pressure of 3600 psig when they are fully occupied by natural gas. What differentiate these tanks are their functions and number of tanks. From the P&ID diagram, the refueling and recycling process is described in following section.



Figure 4.15 Process and Instrumentation Diagram (P&ID) of CNG test rig

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	MATERIAL/SPECIFICATION							
B010	VALVE, BALL	3	PARKER P/N: HPB6S8A							
B020	VALVE, BALL	8	PARKER P/N: HPB6S8FF							
B030	VALVE, BALL	2	KITZ BRASS 150PSIG MAWP							
B040	VALVE, BALL	1	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-63TS8							
B050	VALVE, BALL	3	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-33VF4							
B060	VALVE, BALL	1	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-83KS8							
B070	VALVE BALL	1	OASIS P/N: BV506-NT							
B075	VALVE BALL	4	PARKER P/N: 4A-B2LJ2-SSP							
B080	VALVE BALL PNEUMATIC	3	PARKER P/N: 8A-B8LJ2-SSP-62AC-3							
B090	VALVE BALL PNEUMATIC	2	PARKER P/N: 8F-B8LJ2-SSP-62AC-3							
B100	VALVE CHECK	3	PARKER P/N: 8A-C8L-1-SS							
B110	VALVE CHECK	3	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-CHS16-1							
B120	VALVE, CHECK	2	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-CHS4-1							
B120	VALVE, CHECK	4	PARKER P/N: AA_C/I1_SS							
B130	VALVE, CHECK	1	AGCO-P/N: H5RIC-22							
B140 B150	VALVE, NEEDLE	1	SWAGELOK P/N: SS-1RS/							
B150	VALVE, NEEDLE	3	DADKED D/N: AA V/I N SS							
B100	VALVE, NEEDLE	1	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$							
B170 B180	VALVE, RELIEF	3	SWAGELOK D/N: SS 4D3A SET @ 3050DSIG							
B185	VALVE, RELIEF	1	SWAGELOK D/N: SS DI 4M8E8 SET @ 100DSIG							
B100	VALVE, RECHTATOR	1	SWADELOK 1/N. SS-RL4M618 SET @ 1001 SIG							
B190	VALVE, RECULATOR	1	JORDAN I/N. JHR CV=0.0 SET @ 1501 SIG							
D200	VALVE, REGULATOR	1	JORDAN P/N. JHK CV=0.0 SET @ 50 FSIO							
B210 B220	VALVE, REGULATOR	1	JORDAN P/N. MARK608 5/16 OK SET @ 7 H20							
D220	HOSE ELEVIDLE	1	SWAGELOV D/N: SS NGS6 NN 120V							
D230	HOSE, FLEXIBLE	1	DADKED D/N: 5CNC0101 16 16 16 120							
D240 D250	NOZZI E	1	SWACELOV D/N: SS 82VVE4							
B250	CVLINDER NGV	1	EKC 1v551 WC 2600DSIC							
B200 B270	CVLINDER, NOV	1	EKC 3x55LWC 3600PSIG							
B270 B280	CYLINDER NGV	1	EKC 4x55LWC 3600PSIG LOW BANK							
B200	CYLINDER NGV	1	EKC 3x55LWC 3600PSIG MEDIUM BANK							
B200	CVLINDER NGV	1	EKC 2x55LWC 3600PSIG HIGH BANK							
B310	ELOW SENSOR CORIOLIS	1	MICROMOTION D/N· CNG050\$230NCA7E777							
B310 B320	FLOW, SENSOR CORIOLIS	1	HOEEED D/N: $2/4_{\rm W}2/4_{\rm V}2$ CP 1DDD MS CE							
B320 B320	FLOW, SENSOR TORBINE	1	ENDRESS HAUSER D/N; DN25 DN250							
B340	FLOW, SENSOR ORIFICE	1	ENDRESS + HAUSER I/N. DN25 I N250 ENDRESS + HAUSER P/N: 70HS25 D0D20B100							
B340 B350	FLOW, SENSOR VORTEX	1	MICROMOTION D/N: 270011188EE777							
B360	FLOW, TRANSMITTER CORIOLIS	1	HOEFED D/N: HIT2A 3 B C Y EY							
B300	FLOW, TRANSMITTER OPIEICE	1	ENDRESS + HAUSER D/N· DMD225 MR588EM2C							
B380	FLOW, TRANSMITTER VORTEX	1	ENDRESS + HAUSER P/N: $70HS25-D0D20B1B100$							
B300	DESSURE TRANSMITTER	3	ENDRESS + HAUSER I/N. 70H325-D0D20B1B100							
B390 B400	DESSURE, TRANSMITTER	2	MUDDHV D/N: DVMS 6000							
B400 B410	DESSURE, TRANSMITTER	5	MUDDHV D/N: DVMS 5000							
D410 D420	DESSURE GALICE	1	SWAGELOK							
B420 B/30	PRESSURE GALICE	1	SWAGELOK							
B430	DESSURE, GAUGE	2	ASHCROFT 2 1/2" DIAL 0.60" H2O							
B440 B450	TEMDED ATUDE TDANGMITTED	2	ENDRESS HAUSED D/N; TMT162 E21221AAA							
D450	LOAD CELL	5	ENDRESS + HAUSER F/N. 1WI 102-E21251AAA METTI ED TOI EDO EV ADDOMED & 150KC							
D400	COMPRESSOR TIME EILLED	1	WEITLER TOLEDO EA AFROVED, 0-130KO							
D470 B480	COMPRESSOR, TIME FILLED	1	FUEL MAKED D/N: EMO & 26							
D400 VC 01	DISDENSED DECISTED	1	FUEL WAREN F/N. FWQ-0-30							
VC 02	DISI ENSER REUISTER	1	NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS EIELDROINT							
10-02	DATA ACQUISITION STSTEM	1	INATIONAL INSTRUMENTS FIELDPUINT							

Table 4.1: Tag numbers of natural gas test rig

4.4.2 Refueling and recycling process of natural gas test rig

To dispense natural gas to vehicle tank **B260**, nozzle **B250** is connected to receptacle that is located at the cylinder's neck. After that, the switch on display panel is turned to 'ON' position. Next, the nozzle valve **B250** is turned to 180 degree to 'ON' position. When the dispensing occurs, the **B240** hose should not be connected to the vehicle tank. The hose is only connected to **B070** and **B110** valves when recycling system is operated. The pressure, temperature and mass of natural gas are measured using transmitters **B390**, **B450**, and **B460** as shown in the diagram. These transmitters will then send input signal to the data acquisition system **YC01**. Figure 4.16 shows procedures for refueling natural gas using the test rig.



(a) Nozzle is pushed into receptacle located at cylinder neck. A 'click' sound should be heard.



(b) Switch located on display panel is turned to 'ON' position.



(c) Nozzle valve is turned 180 degree to 'ON' position. Gas will then flow to cylinder.



(d) When filling has completed, turn nozzle valve is turned back to 'OFF' position. Nozzle is uncoupled from receptacle by pulling blue collar.

Figure 4.16: Procedure of refueling CNG using test rig

CHAPTER 4

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

After the refueling process, recycling system is used to empty the vehicle cylinder **B260** by transferring the gas to the storage cylinders **B280**, **B290** and **B300**. This is done via timed-filled compressor that has inlet and discharge pressure of 1.25 psig and 3600 psig respectively. Once empty, the compressor **B470** or **B480** will stop before the next sampling process could take place. To operate the recycling system, flexible hose **B240** is connected to temporary storage tank **B270**. One end of high pressure flexible hose is coupled to ball valve **B070** located at bottom neck of the vehicle tank **B260** while the other end is connected to inlet of check valve **B110**. After that, both valves **B070** and **B060** are turned to 'ON' position. Natural gas will flow to the temporary storage tanks **B270** before it is stored back to low bank **B280**, medium bank **B290** and high bank **B300** until all pressures approach the pressure value set at control system**YC01**. Figure 4.17 shows procedures for recycling the natural gas using the test rig.



(a) One end of high pressure flexible hose is coupled to car cylinder bottom neck.



(b) The other end of flexible hose is coupled to inlet of regulator.



(c) The valve located at car cylinder bottom neck is turned to 'ON' position.



(d) The valve on regulator inlet is turned to 'ON' position. The gas would flow to recycling tank until the flow is stabilized.

4.4.3 Collecting experimental data from natural gas test rig

From the refueling and recycling process of CNG, the experimental procedures to collect the input and output data from actual coriolis would be described. As shown by Figure 4.15, the natural gas will flow through coriolis flowmeter **B310** and send the measured value to data acquisition system **YC01** using pressure transmitter **B350**. These filling processes are controlled by solenoid values which get input signal from **YC01**.

Figure 4.18 in following section shows results of LabVIEW user interface application at the initial condition before collecting experimental data. Initially, low bank **B280**, medium bank **B290** and high bank **B300** are set at 3600 psig; whilst the receiver tank **B260** is set at empty where ≈ 20 psig.

Next, Figure 4.19 shows when ball valve **B080** of low bank **B280** is opened, gas would flow from low bank **B280**, through flexible hose **B230** and nozzle **B250**, into the receiver tank **B260** to develop lower pressure difference. The gas flows due to differential pressure between the tanks and will continue to flow until pressure in the receiver tank **B260** approach the pressure in the low bank **B280**.

Then, Figure 4.20 shows when ball valve **B080** of medium bank **B290** is opened, the flow will switch to medium bank **B290** to continue refueling until pressure in the receiver tank **B260** approach the pressure in the medium bank **B290**.

Lastly, Figure 4.21 shows when ball valve **B080** of high bank **B300** is opened, the flow will switch to high bank **B300** to perform the same task until the vehicle tank **B260** reaches its maximum capacity \approx 3000 psig. Coriolis flowmeter is generally regarded as a master flowmeter because it offers greatest accuracy and reliability under the exacting measurement conditions for determining mass of Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) compared to turbine **B320**, differential pressure **B330** and vortex **B340**. Since the flowmeters are installed parallel to each other, the natural gas flows only through any one of these flowmeters. By following all these procedures, data from coriolis **B350**, receiver pressure **B390** and load cells **B460** are sent to FieldPoint for SYSID analysis. The next section shows the analysis of experimental data stored in the FieldPoint.



CHAPTER 4

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN



Figure 4.19: Low bank refueling



Figure 4.20: Medium bank refueling



Figure 4.21: High bank refueling

4.4.4 Analyzing experimental data using LabVIEW

Figure 4.22 shows a sample of data taken from the FieldPoint. Please refer Table 4.2 for type of data in each column.

Column	Signal	Unit	Types of data							
1	date	month / day / year	Date of acquisition							
2	time	hour / minute /second	Time of acquisition							
3	PB1	psi	Pressure at low bank							
4	PB2	psi	Pressure at medium bank							
5	PB3	psi	Pressure at high bank							
6	COMP	psi	Pressure at compressor							
7	RECYL	psi	Pressure at recycle tank –(make-up cylinder)							
8	PT1	psi	Pressure before flowmeter metering system							
9	PT2	psi	Pressure after flowmeter metering system							
10	PCYLN	psi	Pressure at receiver tank							
11	TT1	°C	Temperature before flowmeter metering system							
12	TT2	°C	Temperature after flowmeter metering system							
13	TCYLND	°C	Temperature at receiver tank							
14	Orifice	m ³ /hr	Volumetric flowrate from orifice flowmeter							
15	Vortex	m ³ /hr	Volumetric flowrate from vortex flowmeter							
16	Turbine	m ³ /day	Volumetric flowrate from turbine flowmeter							
17	PSQN	psi	Pressure at sequential tank							
18	PNOZZLE	psi	Pressure at nozzle							
19	Coriolis	kg/minute	Mass flowrate of CNG from coriolis flowmeter							
20	Density	kg/m ³	Density of CNG from coriolis flowmeter							
21	Load Cell	kg	Mass of CNG from load cell							

 Table 4.2:
 Types of data taken from FieldPoint

Microsoft Excel - test13	0905-1																		1	
Ele Edt Yew Insert	Fgrmat	Tools Date	Window	Help Ado	be PDP												7)	pe a questio	startelp 3	- 0 ×
	5 X 8	b 18 - <	\$ =7 = 5	0. 3	- 41 31	10.21	00% - 3	Arial		- 10 -	BIU	EE	= 日	\$ % .	12 23 100 1	E	· A · .			
et artmatiah n	eterately out	nately available	wine .																	
	occupier dec	HOUL CTUD																		
11 Y /*	0	0			0	ч			12			81	0		0	0	6	Ŧ		11.000
	DD1(ex)	000000	DD2(as)	COMPANY	DECVICAN	DTI(ex)	DT2(and)	DOVINU	TT1(dasC)	TTO(day(C)	TOVI NOVI ON	Finder 2	Varau/m2	Tublesfer	OCON(4+2)	DMOTTI E (ea	Carlatingua	Deservice	Land Call	× *
acelinary time(nmvs)	2000 200	2575 GE3	2507 217	2402 226	1 200220	24 41 4E 4	76 £7100	20.02616	20 66200	112(degu)	10 TENEDIA UN	aceliu?	vonex(ma.	Turpine(m;	Poun(psi)	22 040E474	Condusting	Densay(kg	O1 DC1AE	92
9/13/2005 4:06:05 PM	3500.700	3575.952 357E.0E3	3007.217	3403.325	1.250520	24,41404	25.5/100	20.07515	20.00202	20.30041	25.05300	0	0	0	056.3053202	23.54504/4	0	0	01 20140	
0/13/2005 4:06:05 PM	3500.700	3575.952	3597 217	2402.323	1 259920	24.41454	25.57100	20.07515	20.50202	20.30041	25.05300	0	0	0	055.5055262	24.2034694	0	0	01.25044	
9/13/2005 4:06:00 PM	3500.541	3575 701	3507.217	3402.323	1 250920	24.0013	25.57108	20.07515	20.00202	20.30075	25.05300	0	0	0	955 3997107	24 2034004	0	0	91.20044	
0/13/2005 4:00:07 PM	3500.341	3575.701	2507 217	2200 410	1 200020	24.41404	25.57100	20.07515	20.00000	20.30075	25.05222	0	0	0	OSC 2007107	24.5557015	0	0	01 20044	
0/13/2005 4:00:00 PM	2000.700	3575 053	3697 217	2200 117	1.460721	24 5012	26 57109	20 40007	20.00000	20.00010	20.00222	0	0		000.0007107	24.0000200	0		01.20044	Dou
9/13/2005 4:06:09 PM	3580,450	3575.952	3507.217	3390.117	1.169695	24.0013	25.57100	20.40097	26 3333 90	20.30075	25.05222	0	0	0	955 3997107	24 7040342	0	0	91,20044	Raw
9/13/2005 4:06:10 PM	3580.458	3575.000	3587 134	3395 414	1 169695	24.00405	25,00331	20.40057	28,555555	20.35675	25.000007	0	0.668119	0	857 3901735	23.0330235	0	0	01 26145	
0/13/2005 4:00:11 PM	207.4.476	3575 959	3697 134	2204 112	1.460221	1007.000	1016 624	64 05200	20.00000	20.35041	25.07258	0	2 94549	E 7000.49	955 9959735	24 1000404	3.746424	0.200200	01 20145	-
1 9/13/2005 A 06 12 PM	2434 062	3675 701	3597 134	3390 71	1 269929	2284 092	7261 892	165 6091	28 56526	28 358.41	26 07058	0	3 699127	5.787696	956 2219467	24 2834694	17 06819	39 90749	91 29914	
0 0/13/2005 4:06:15 PM	22270.065	2676 610	2697.134	2201 609	1.460221	2204.007	2201.002	367 221	20.00000	20.30041	25.07030	0	3.0001.07	2.06049	050.2215457	24.2034004	17.00010	04 4007E	01 67703	_
2 3/13/2005 4:00:14 PM	23/0.300	3575,610	3007.134	3391.000	1.459221	2166 363	2115.052	366 3007	20.57.371	20.33041	25.07224	0	4.340503	2.95040	955.0045300	23.0406474	16.6990.4	104.43075	91.0/702	
DH32005 4.00 15 PW	2251.025	3575.010	3000.307	3390 307 2200 00E	1.4000221	2100.302	2074 305	300 2307 AED 2010	20.00202	20.3/343	25.00225	0	£ 100001	2.30040	000 0000000	23.5456474	10.00904	104.7303	91,93233 02,2004E	_
9/13/2005 # 05 15 Pm	2212.415	3575.701	3007 134	3300 909	1.459221	2030.421	20/1 200	4537610	20.04007	20.30011	25.06225	0	5.130001	0	000.0045900	23.702737	15.33314	100.0136	92.30043 02.64071	_
0/13/2005 4:00:17 PM	2140.017	3575.010	3007.134	22007-003	1.2500320	1070 323	1000.30	COT 000	20.00000	20.3/511	25.00393	0	5.130001	0	056.0550355	24.0330233	15.23/10	103.0135	02.04071	_
9/13/2005 4:00 10 PM	20/5/501	3575 701	3006.003	3300.002	1 250920	19/0.333	1950.383	701.0545	20.5203	20.3/0/7	25.00393	0	5.523700	0	000.470/709	24.0330239	14.6/191	103.9905	92.00300	
3/13/2005 + 05 19 Pm	2019.15	3575.610	3006.003	3305.3	1.250320	1918.512	1902 395	721,3040	20.49193	20.30075	25.09095	0	5720723	0	000.63/6063	23,3430474	14 10021	06.20034	33.10147	_
9/13/2005 4:06:20 PM	1004.003	35/5.534	3500.907	3304.230	1.250920	1901.322	1000.00/	002.0153	20.44354	20.32304	25.12231	0	5.000000	0	056.300/10/	24.2034004	13.0425	35.20341 00.40C0C	93.30004	
9/13/2005 4:06:21 PM	1964.609	3575.610	3000.907	3302.097	1.200920	10/9.125	1009.223	0/0.0302	20.21322	20.09000	25.13399	0	5.300310	0	050.2219457	24,1999404	13.0/422	30.43636	93.04/22	_
9/13/2005 + 05/22 PM	1944 631	3575.610	3000.003	3301,495	1.459221	1004 000	1050.030	352.771	20.19152	20.00133	20.13399	0	0.303000	0	000.2219407	24.0330299	12.01300	90.39204	33.02397	
9/13/2005 4:06:23 PM	1925.009	35/5.534	3000.907	3300.533	1.250320	1052.000	1050.030	1000.007	20.19152	20.05133	25.15569	0	5.330.300	0	000.0000000	24.0330233	12.13142	31,50040	94.0/531	_
2 9/13/2005 4:06:24 PM	1913.005	35/5.534	3506.967	3379.091	1.206920	1843.993	1047.342	1022.067	28.1548	27.99959	25.15569	0	5.000447	0	056.2219457	1725.4498	11.66231	03.90616	94.24554	
9/13/2005 4 06 25 PM	1904 91	35/5.534	3566.663	33/7.99	1 256928	1841.323	1841.944	1069 625	28.1564/	27.99959	25 18573	0	5./642/5	0	056.2219457	1839 85666	11.25622	93 0/044	94.4/085	
4 3/13/2005 4.06.26 PM	1099,009	30/5.204	3300.0	33/0.000	1.555920	1040.405	1040.276	1152.3/0	27.90779	27.70500	25.22/40	0	5.050230	0	050.3053202	1000.71950	10.03004	34.72770	94.00003	
5 9/13/2005 4:06:27 PM	1097.65	35/5.11/	3506.003	3375.306	1.200920	1840.989	1840.669	1212.544	27.87776	27.64744	25.25083	0	5.498493	0	056.3053282	1748.98199	10.37702	95.57894	94.83635	
9/13/2005 4 06 28 PM	1897.065	35/5 284	3586.967	3374 185	1.459221	1845.412	1845.281	1,269,455	27.80/66	27.5/901	25.30.89	0	5.383335	0	855 8045968	1702/08437	9.938916	99.31406	95.0166	
9/13/2005 4:06:29 PM	1896.231	35/5.534	3586.967	33/4.185	1.459221	1845.412	1852.543	1324,781	27.55565	27.2318/	25.34929	0	5.204131	0	856.3053282	980.094297	9.495308	101.2968	35.11172	
3 9/13/2005 4:06:30 PM	1899.569	3575.534	3586.8	3373.003	1.158695	1850.001	1059.02	1377.437	27.49557	27.14675	25.39601	0	5.016165	0	854.8032795	1000.64876	9.033674	103.62	95.11172	_
9 9/13/2005 4 06:31 PM	1902.573	35/5.534	3586,883	3372.082	1.258928	1857.178	1868.735	1427.338	27.44383	27.08834	25.40102	U	4.809006	0	856.5556211	1105.51624	8.642138	103.62	95.34204	
9/13/2005 4.06:32 PM	1902.573	3575.117	3586.967	3370.78	1.258928	1865.523	1878.057	1474,485	27.44383	27.08834	25.45109	0	4.633765	0	856.2219457	1126.21124	8.642138	106.2436	95.47223	
9/13/2005 4:06:33 PM	1900.738	3575.117	3586.8	3369,478	1,256928	1069.528	1003.749	1520.049	27.16344	26.72117	25.51952	0	4,422643	0	856.3887107	1133.47119	8.17049	108.5868	95.6024	
2 9/13/2005 4:06:34 PM	1905.327	3575.284	3586,883	3368.677	1.459221	1875.453	1892.287	1561.606	27.11671	26.66443	25.58294	0	4.200463	0	855.7212143	1159.59043	7.284776	112.6273	95.7476	
3 9/13/2005 4.06:35 PM	1905.327	3575.284	3586.633	3366.875	1.459221	1881.211	1898.666	1601.577	27.07165	26.41742	25.79991	0	4.004361	0	855.9715073	1186.46054	7.284776	112.6273	95.84023	
4 9/13/2005 4:06:36 PM	1905.911	3575.117	3586.633	3365.873	1.258928	1885.55	1904.161	1638.879	26.00962	26.41742	25.83162	0	3.802417	0	856.8060596	1207.9901	6.858191	114.2746	95.97291	
5 9/13/2005 4:06:37 PM	1912.671	3575.117	3586.8	3364,772	1.459221	1894 145	1913.877	1674.01	26.74788	26.31394	25.83829	0	3.61466	0	856.0550353	1232.27346	6.458142	115.9168	96.06804	
9/13/2005 4.06.38 PM	1919.68	3575.117	3586.633	3363.57	1.55928	1903.992	1925.653	1709.392	26.69947	26.08029	25.86833	0	3.425442	0	856.3053282	1262.89884	6.095645	117.6142	96.21324	
9/13/2005 4:06:39 PM	1929.444	3574.95	3586.8	3362.569	1.258928	1915.925	1937.723	1739.934	26.69947	26.00852	25.86833	0	3.425442	0	856.0550353	1291.60482	5.765693	119.0111	96 29585	
9/13/2005 4:06:40 PM	1945.883	3574.784	3586,633	3361.167	1.55928	1934.284	1956.467	1771.644	26.48417	25.74483	25.9718	0	3.239145	0	856.0550353	1291.60482	5.475796	120.5882	96.39599	
9/13/2005 4:06:41 PM	1959.401	3574.95	3586.633	3360.065	1.459221	1949.304	1972.365	1800.016	26.43578	25.67306	25.9718	0	3.073501	0	855.9715073	1346.09621	5.273017	122.2505	96.48361	
9/13/2005 4:06:42 PM	1970.166	3575.034	3586.633	3359.264	1.459221	1959.652	1986.3	1828.305	26.38737	25.67306	26.18544	0	2.903893	0	856.3887107	1346.09621	5.042702	124.043	96.57123	
9/13/2005 4:06:43 PM	1982.35	3575.034	3586.633	3357.962	1.258928	1974.088	1999.352	1853.172	26.354	25.61465	26.18376	0	2.753687	0	856.3887107	1372 71603	4,794361	125.535	96,66636	
2 9/13/2005 4:06:44 PM	1993.448	3575.034	3586.55	3356.66	1.459221	1974.088	1999.352	1853.172	26.364	25.61298	26.24384	0	2.608905	0	856.0550353	1729.12154	4.66363	127.0321	96.71142	
A DITATIONE AND AL DIA	7004 714	3676.024	33.3936	DAN AAPP	1 460701	1000 672	2026.063	1003 335	DR 16030	20005 30	76 78774		7 474127	n	REE REENTED	1710 11164	1 271717	170 /70	OF RUNNE	
1 P H \test130905-1	13										1	•								211

Figure 4.22: Sample of data

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

However, not all the data in Table 4.2 would be used to analyze the refueling process described from Figure 4.18-4.21, respectively. The only data that would be used are 'Coriolis', 'PCYLN' and 'Load Cell' data which represent mass flowrate measured by coriolis flowmeter, pressure inside receiver and total mass measured by load cell, respectively. Based on sample data shown in Figure 4.22, the data are modified as shown in Figure 4.23.



Figure 4.23: Modification of data

Notably, sequence 0 to 1 at column 0 is added manually to simulate the step input signal which represents closing and opening condition of low bank (LB), medium bank (MB) and high bank valves, respectively. However, no modifications are made to Column 1 and 2 which are data for 'Coriolis' and 'PCYLN', whilst, column 3 is new set of data to accumulate mass measured by load cell at each sampling time. The accumulated mass is developed by accumulating difference of 'Load Cell' at each row.

In following section, Figure 4.24 and Figure 4.25 are the LabVIEW front panel and LabVIEW program to convert modified data in Figure 4.23 to readable graphs such as valve opening, coriolis mass flowrate (CMF), receiver pressure and load cell, respectively.

CHAPTER 4



Figure 4.24: Sample of data is converted to readable graphs



Figure 4.25: LabVIEW program to convert sample of data to readable graphs

Based on Figure 4.24, there are four types of graphs such as valve opening graph, coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) graph, receiver pressure graph and load cell graph for describing three process of refueling CNG: low bank refueling, medium bank refueling and high bank refueling which is separated using dashed line, respectively.

At first, when the low bank valve is opened, the valve opening graph is represented by a step function (which increases from 0 to 1), whilst the coriolis mass flowrate has increased from 0 kg/min to approximately 17 kg/min and dropped back to 0 kg/min back after 150 seconds. During this time range, the receiver pressure and load cell have increased approximately from 0 to 2500 psig and 0 to 7 kg, respectively.

Then, when the medium bank valve is opened, the valve opening graph is represented by a second step function, whilst the coriolis mass flowrate has increased again from 0 kg/min to approximately 13 kg/min and dropped back to 0 kg/min back after 350 seconds. During this time range, the receiver pressure and load cell have increased approximately from 2500 to 3000 psig and 7 to 9 kg, respectively.

Lastly, when the high bank valve is opened, the valve opening graph could be represented by the third step function, whilst the coriolis mass flowrate has increased again from 0 kg/min to approximately 5 kg/min and dropped back to 0 kg/min back after 400 seconds. During this time range, the receiver pressure and load cell have increased approximately from 3000 to 3300 psig and 9 to 9.6 kg, respectively.

This section has shown LabVIEW program to convert experimental data from FieldPoint to informative and representable graphs such as valve opening, coriolis mass flowrate (CMF), receiver pressure and load cell graphs, respectively. As shown by Figure 4.24, there are three types of refueling regions that have been developed such as low bank, medium bank and high bank refueling region. The suitable region to be selected as input and output data for SYSID would be described in the following section.

4.4.5 Selecting input and output data for SYSID

As mentioned in the introduction section, the input and output signals for identifying coriolis flowmeter are the step function and output signals from the system itself, in which, it is the valve opening and the coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) signals as shown in Figure 4.26 and Figure 4.27, respectively. Notably, at the low bank region, mass flowrate values are greater than zero if compared to mass flowrate at medium and high bank region. Therefore, only CMF data at low bank region could be selected for identification work (which is represented in red dashed line).



equivalent to step function.

Notably, the signal of

valve opening is



Figure 4.27: Output data for SYSID

From the selected input and output data at low bank region, the discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) would be determined based on three SYSID approaches such as non recursive, recursive and state space approach. The respective LabVIEW programs for each approach are shown in following section by Figure 4.28 to 4.30.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN



Figure 4.28: LabVIEW program to determine discrete model of CMF using non-recursive approach



EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.29: LabVIEW program to determine discrete model of CMF using recursive approach



Figure 4.30: LabVIEW program to determine discrete model of CMF using state space approach

CHAPTER 4

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

4.5 Determine discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF)

The following section discusses the forth steps of SYSID methodology i.e., determine discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) using LabVIEW. Figure 4.28-4.30 shown in previous section are the LabVIEW programs to determine discrete model of CMF, whilst Figure 4.31-4.33 shown in following section are the LabVIEW front panels for non recursive, recursive and state space approach, respectively.

Based on Figure 4.31-4.33, the front panels for non recursive, recursive and state space are differentiated by three parts such as 'optimal structure' part, 'prediction error' part and 'optimal order' part, respectively. In following section, LabVIEW front panel of non recursive is shown for describing the 'optimal order' part.



Figure 4.31: LabVIEW front panel to determine discrete model of CMF using non-recursive approach
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Based on Figure 4.31, the non recursive approach would determine discrete model for CMF based on optimal structure analysis. The optimal structure analysis would determine suitable number of delays and suitable number of orders for A(q), B(q), C(q), D(q), F(q) equations. As mentioned in Chapter 2, number of delays and number of order are chosen based on the minimum percentage of prediction error shown by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), Akaike's Final Prediction Error Criterion (FPE), and Minimum Data Length Criterion (MDL). In following section, LabVIEW front panel of recursive approach is shown for describing the 'prediction error' part.



Figure 4.32: LabVIEW front panel to determine discrete model of CMF using recursive approach

Based on Figure 4.32, the recursive approach would determine discrete model for CMF based on prediction error analysis. The prediction error analysis would determine the amplitude of difference error and the average of difference error between the predicted model and the actual coriolis which is displayed on 'prediction error' part.

If the error is higher, the recursive program would decrease the prediction error until it is minimized to zero error, or any minimum error specified by the user. In the following section, the LabVIEW front panel of state space approach is shown for describing the 'optimal order' part.



Figure 4.33: LabVIEW front panel to determine discrete model of CMF using state space approach

Based on Figure 4.33, the state space approach would determine discrete model for CMF based on optimal order analysis. The optimal order analysis would determine a suitable order for dimension of state vector x(n) based on minimum number of singular values. As mentioned in Chapter 3, the dimension of state vector x(n) is important for determining the system matrix *A* i.e., in which, the only setting that needs to be analyzed for the state space model.

Notably, from Figure 4.31-4.33, there are three similar parts in each front panels such as 'coefficients' part, 'stability' part and 'difference' part which are discussed in following section.

The 'coefficients' part shown in Figure 4.31 and Figure 4.32 display the unknown coefficients in polynomial form which are the unknown coefficients in A(q), B(q), C(q), D(q), F(q) functions, whilst the 'coefficients' part shown in Figure 4.33 displays the unknown coefficients in state space form which is in A, B, C, D matrices. Since, the number of delays and the number of orders predicted by non recursive approach are already optimal, the same number of delays and the same number of orders would be used in the recursive approach. The number of delays would be highlighted by value '0'. Delays are indication for a lapse of time before results from model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) equals results from the actual coriolis. Notably, the number of orders in non recursive and recursive approach is equivalent to number of unknown coefficients in A(q), B(q), C(q), D(q), F(q) functions.

From the coefficients values, polynomial functions would be developed and represented in two equations: zeroes-poles equation and difference equation. Since, FieldPoint uses sampling time of 1 second, both equations would be displayed in discrete form in which, Z-transformation with sampling period of 1 second. Based on discrete Z-transformation, the zeroes-poles equation would provide stability for the predicted models of CMF which is shown in the 'stability' part, whilst the difference equation would provide the predicted values of CMF which is calculated using power series expansion method. As described in Chapter 2, the power series expansion method is an infinite series of predicted values of CMF but in the discrete form. Please refer Chapter 2 for details explanations on power series expansion method. The series of predicted values would be accumulated and would be compared with the final mass measured by actual coriolis. Results of comparison would be displayed in 'difference' part of LabVIEW front panels.

This section has discussed LabVIEW program and LabVIEW front panel to develop discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) using non-recursive, recursive and state space approach. As shown in Figure 4.31-4.33, there are five SYSID parametric models and one state space model that need to be tested such as GL, ARX, ARMAX, OE and BJ. Figure 4.34-4.38 in following section shows the analyses of SYSID parametric models after tested using the first approach i.e., non recursive approach.

4.5.1 Analyses of non recursive approach

Figure 4.34 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using General Linear (GL) model. From the 'optimal structure' part, criterions FPE, AIC and MDL have shown that model dimension '9' has the smallest prediction error compared to other model dimensions. In this section, the FPE, AIC and MDL are represented by red, blue and green color, respectively.

The model dimension '9' is given by total sum of each order, A + B + C + D + F, (1+3+1+2+2 = 9) which equals to number of order and number of unknown coefficients in A(q), B(q), C(q), D(q), F(q) equations.



The delays shown is '1' which is highlighted by one '0' in the 'coefficient' part.

Figure 4.34: Discrete model of CMF using GL model

Based on Figure 4.34, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9582q^{-1} \tag{4.1}$$

$$B(q) = 14.0453q^{-1} - 10.9006q^{-2} - 3.1474q^{-3}$$
(4.2)

$$C(q) = 1 - 0.7299q^{-1} \tag{4.3}$$

$$D(q) = 1 - 0.5903q^{-1} - 0.1017q^{-2}$$
(4.4)

$$F(q) = 1 + 0.1544q^{-1} - 0.0033q^{-2}$$
(4.5)

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{14.0453(z-1.0002)(z+0.2241)}{(z-0.0192)(z-0.9583)(z+0.1735)}$$
(4.6)

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is boundedly stable. In this section, the zeroes and poles are represented by red and green color, respectively.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{14.0453z^2 - 10.9006z - 3.1474}{z^3 - 0.8339z^2 - 0.1513z + 0.0032}$$
(4.7)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and GL models are 7.2897 kg and 5.8051 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 1.4846 kg. Since, the GL model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by GL model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

In this section, all developed models and actual coriolis are represented using red and white color, respectively. The following section discusses the second analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Autoregressive Exogeneous Input (ARX) model.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.35 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using Autoregressive Exogeneous Input (ARX) model. From the 'optimal structure' part, criterions FPE and MDL have shown that model dimension '4' has the smallest prediction error, whilst criterion AIC has shown that model dimension '5' has the smallest prediction error compared to other model dimensions. Since model dimension '4' is smaller than model dimension '5', it is chosen to be the most optimal structure for ARX.

The model dimension '4' is given by total sum of each order, A+B, (1+3 = 4) which equals to number of order and number of unknown coefficients in A(q), B(q) equations.



The delays shown is '1' which is highlighted by one '0' in the 'coefficient' part.

Figure 4.35: Discrete model of CMF using ARX model

Based on Figure 4.35, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9584q^{-1} \tag{4.8}$$

$$B(q) = 13.4677q^{-1} - 12.3851q^{-2} - 1.0834q^{-3}$$
(4.9)

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{13.4677(z-1)(z+0.0804)}{z^2(z-0.9584)} \tag{4.10}$$

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is boundedly stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{13.4677z^2 - 12.3851z - 1.0834}{z^3 - 0.9584z^2} \tag{4.11}$$

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and ARX models are 7.2897 kg and 5.7760 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 1.5137 kg. Since, the ARX model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by ARX model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

The following section discusses the third analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogeneous Input (ARMAX) model.

CHAPTER 4

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.36 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate using Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogeneous Input (ARMAX) model. From the 'optimal structure' part, criterions FPE, AIC and MDL have shown that model dimension '5' has the smallest prediction error compared to other model dimensions.

The model dimension '5' is given by total sum of each order, A + B + C, (1+3+1 = 5) which equals to number of order and number of unknown coefficients in A(q), B(q), C(q) equations.

The delays shown is '3' which is highlighted by three '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.36: Discrete model of CMF using ARMAX model

Based on Figure 4.36, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9581q^{-1} \tag{4.12}$$

$$B(q) = -0.1120q^{-3} - 0.1532q^{-4} - 0.0405q^{-5}$$
(4.13)

$$C(q) = 1 - 0.0236q^{-1} \tag{4.14}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{-0.1120(z-1.0101)(z-0.3583)}{z^4(z-0.9581)}$$
(4.15)

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{14.0453z^2 - 10.9006z - 3.1474}{z^3 - 0.8339z^2 - 0.1513z + 0.0032}$$
(4.16)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and ARMAX models are 7.2897 kg and 0.0196 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 7.2702 kg. Since, the ARMAX model produces bigger difference, the graph developed by ARMAX model doesn't overlap the graph developed by actual coriolis.

The following section discusses the fourth analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Output Error (OE) model.

Figure 4.37 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate using Output Error (OE) model. From the 'optimal structure' part, criterions FPE, AIC and MDL have shown that model dimension '7' has the smallest prediction error compared to other model dimensions.

The model dimension '7' is given by total sum of each order, B + F, (6+1 = 7) which equals to number of order and number of unknown coefficients in B(q), F(q) equations.

The delays shown is '5' which is highlighted by five '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.37: Discrete model of CMF using OE model

Based on Figure 4.37, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$B(q) = -0.0350q^{-5} + 0.1036q^{-6} + 0.0301q^{-7} - 0.0273q^{-8} - 0.0721q^{-9} - 0.0012q^{-10}$$
(4.17)

$$F(q) = 1 - 0.9584q^{-1} \tag{4.18}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{-0.035(z-1.0094)(z+0.0165)(z-3.0829)(z^2+1.1185z+0.6569)}{z^9(z-0.9584)} \quad (4.19)$$

As shown from the 'stability' part, one of the zeroes is located outside from the unit circle which is at 3.0829. This indicates that the developed model is not stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{-0.035z^5 + 0.1036z^4 + 0.0301z^3 - 0.0273z^2 - 0.0721z - 0.0012}{z^{10} - 0.9584z^9}$$
(4.20)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and OE models are 7.2897 kg and -0.0423 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 7.3321 kg. Since, the OE model produces bigger difference, the graph developed by OE model doesn't overlap the graph developed by actual coriolis.

The following section discusses the fifth analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Box-Jenkins (BJ) model.

Figure 4.38 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate using Box-Jenkins (BJ) model. From the 'optimal structure' part, criterions FPE, AIC and MDL have shown that model dimension '9' has the smallest prediction error compared to other model dimensions.

The model dimension '9' is given by total sum of each order, B + C + D + F, (4+3+1+1 = 9) which equals to number of order and number of unknown coefficients in B(q), C(q), D(q), F(q) equations.



The delays shown is '4' which is highlighted by four '0' in the 'coefficient' part.

Figure 4.38: Discrete model of CMF using BJ model

Based on Figure 4.38, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$B(q) = 0.0409q^{-4} - 0.0726q^{-5} + 0.1033q^{-6} - 0.0711q^{-7}$$
(4.21)

$$C(q) = 1 + 0.0689q^{-1} - 0.0139q^{-2} - 0.0239q^{-3}$$
(4.22)

$$D(q) = 1 - 0.9741q^{-1} \tag{4.23}$$

$$F(q) = 1 - 0.9436q^{-1} \tag{4.24}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{0.0409(z-0.9948)(z^2-0.7816z+1.7473)}{z^6(z-0.9436)}$$
(4.25)

As shown from the 'stability' part, two of the zeroes are located outside from the unit circle which is $0.48 \pm j1.25$. This indicates that the developed model is not stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{0.0409z^3 - 0.0726z^2 + 0.1033z - 0.0711}{z^7 - 0.9436z^6}$$
(4.26)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and BJ models are 7.2897 kg and 0.0449 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 7.2449 kg. Since, the BJ model produces bigger difference, the graph developed by BJ model doesn't overlap the graph developed by actual coriolis.

Figure 4.34-4.38 in this section has shown the LabVIEW front panels to develop the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) based on the first approach which is non-recursive approach. Figure 4.39-4.43 in following section shows the analyses of SYSID parametric models after tested using the second approach i.e., recursive approach.

4.5.2 Analyses of recursive approach

Figure 4.39 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using General Linear (GL) model. The model order used for A, B, C, D, F polynomials are 1, 3, 1, 2, 2, whilst the delay order used is 1, which are equal to model order and delay order predicted by GL model in non recursive section.

From the 'prediction error' part, the maximum error achieved is equal to 7, whilst the minimum error achieved is equal to 0. The average prediction error is equal to 0.0407.

The delay order '1' is highlighted by one '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.39: Discrete model of CMF using GL model

Based on Figure 4.39, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9570q^{-1} \tag{4.27}$$

$$B(q) = 5.5204q^{-1} - 3.4700q^{-2} - 1.9991q^{-3}$$
(4.28)

$$C(q) = 1 + 0.6405q^{-1} \tag{4.29}$$

$$D(q) = 1 + 0.2827q^{-1} - 0.1191q^{-2}$$
(4.30)

$$F(q) = 1 + 0.3362q^{-1} + 0.0263q^{-2}$$
(4.31)

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{5.5204(z-0.9932)(z+0.3646)}{(z+0.2118)(z-0.957)(z+0.1244)}$$
(4.32)

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is stable. In this section, the zeroes and poles are represented by red and green color, respectively.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{5.5204z^2 - 3.47z - 1.9991}{z^3 - 0.6208z^2 - 0.2954z - 0.0252}$$
(4.33)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and GL models are 7.2897 kg and 7.1534 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 0.1364 kg. Since, the GL model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by GL model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

In this section, all developed models and actual coriolis are represented using red and white color, respectively. The following section discusses the second analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Autoregressive Exogeneous Input (ARX) model.

CHAPTER 4

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.40 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using Autoregressive Exogeneous Input (ARX) model. The model order used for A, B polynomials are 1, 3 whilst the delay order used is 1, which are equal to model order and delay order predicted by ARX model in non recursive section.

From the 'prediction error' part, the maximum error achieved is equal to 7, whilst the minimum error achieved is equal to 0. The average prediction error is equal to 0.0546.

The delay order '1' is highlighted by one '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.40: Discrete model of CMF using ARX model

Based on Figure 4.40, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9645q^{-1} \tag{4.34}$$

$$B(q) = 6.7675q^{-1} - 6.2646q^{-2} - 0.4794q^{-3}$$
(4.35)

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{6.7675(z-0.9968)(z+0.0711)}{z^2(z-0.9645)}$$
(4.36)

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{6.7675z^2 - 6.2646z - 0.4794}{z^3 - 0.9645z^2}$$
(4.37)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and ARX models are 7.2897 kg and 7.1069 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 0.1829 kg. Since, the ARX models produces smaller difference, the graph developed by ARX model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

The following section discusses the third analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogeneous Input (ARMAX) model.

Figure 4.41 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using Autoregressive Moving Average with Exogeneous Input (ARMAX) model. The model order used for A, B, C polynomials are 1, 3, 1 whilst the delay order used is 3, which are equal to model order and delay order predicted by ARMAX model in non recursive section.

From the 'prediction error' part, the maximum error achieved is equal to 12, whilst the minimum error achieved is equal to 0. The average prediction error is equal to 0.0614.

The delay order '3' is highlighted by three '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.41: Discrete model of CMF using ARMAX model

Based on Figure 4.41, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$A(q) = 1 - 0.9522q^{-1} \tag{4.38}$$

$$B(q) = 0.6698q^{-3} - 0.5486q^{-4} - 0.1019q^{-5}$$
(4.39)

$$C(q) = 1 - 0.3873q^{-1} \tag{4.40}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{0.6698(z-0.975)(z+0.156)}{z^4(z-0.9522)}$$
(4.41)

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is boundedly stable.

~

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{0.6698z^2 - 0.5486z - 0.1019}{z^5 - 0.9522z^4} \tag{4.42}$$

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and ARMAX models are 7.2897 kg and 7.0842 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 0.2056 kg. Since, the ARMAX model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by ARMAX model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

The following section discusses the fourth analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Output Error (OE) model.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.42 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using Output Error (OE) model. The model order used for B, F polynomials are 6, 1 whilst the delay order used is 5, which are equal to model order and delay order predicted by OE model in non recursive section.

From the 'prediction error' part, the maximum error achieved is equal to 14, whilst the minimum error achieved is equal to 0. The average prediction error is equal to 1.3033.

The delay order '5' is highlighted by five '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.42: Discrete model of CMF using OE model

138

Based on Figure 4.42, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$B(q) = 7.3899q^{-5} - 8.3420q^{-6} + 0.3376q^{-7} - 1.7156q^{-8} - 4.6230^{-9} + 6.9480q^{-10}$$
(4.43)

$$F(q) = 1 - 0.9999q^{-1} \tag{4.44}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{7.3899(z-0.9985)(z+0.8923)(z-1.1225)(z^2+0.0999z+0.9401)}{z^9(z-1)}$$
(4.45)

As shown from the 'stability' part, one of the zeroes is located outside from the unit circle which is at 1.1225. This indicates that the developed model is not stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{7.3899z^5 - 8.342z^4 + 0.3376z^3 - 1.7155z^2 - 4.623z + 6.948}{z^{10} - 1z^9} \tag{4.46}$$

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and OE models are 7.2897 kg and 2.9238 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 4.3659 kg. Since, the OE model produces bigger difference, the graph developed by OE model doesn't overlap the graph developed by actual coriolis.

The following section discusses the fifth analysis of SYSID parametric models i.e., the Box Jenkins (BJ) model.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.43 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using Box Jenkins (BJ) model. The model order used for B, C, D, F polynomials are 4, 3, 1, 1 whilst the delay order used is 4, which are equal to model order and delay order predicted by OE model in non recursive section.

From the 'prediction error' part, the maximum error achieved is equal to 12, whilst the minimum error achieved is equal to 0. The average prediction error is equal to 0.0691.

The delay order '4' is highlighted by four '0' in the 'coefficient' part.



Figure 4.43: Discrete model of CMF using BJ model

Based on Figure 4.43, the order and the unknown coefficients in polynomial function are

$$B(q) = 0.7302q^{-4} + 2.1228q^{-5} - 6.4813q^{-6} + 3.6287q^{-7}$$
(4.47)

$$C(q) = 1 + 0.1900q^{-1} + 0.0747q^{-2} - 0.0628q^{-3}$$
(4.48)

$$D(q) = 1 - 0.9602q^{-1} \tag{4.49}$$

$$F(q) = 1 - 0.9962q^{-1} \tag{4.50}$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{0.7302(z+4.9175)(z^2-2.0105z+1.0106)}{z^6(z-0.9962)}$$
(4.51)

As shown from the 'stability' part, one of the zeroes is located outside from the unit circle which is at -4.9175. This indicates that the developed model is not stable.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{0.7302z^3 + 2.1228z^2 - 6.4813z + 3.6287}{z^7 - 0.9962z^6}$$
(4.52)

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and BJ models are 7.2897 kg and 7.0584 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 0.2313 kg. Since, the BJ model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by BJ model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference.

Figure 4.39-4.43 in this section has shown the LabVIEW front panels to develop the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) based on the second approach which is non-recursive approach. Figure 4.44 in following section shows the analysis of SYSID model after tested using the third approach i.e., state space approach.

4.5.3 Analysis of state space approach

Figure 4.44 shows the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate developed using the state space (SS) model. From the 'optimal order' part, the maximum singular value is equal to 180 which is located at order of 0, whilst the minimum singular values is equal to 0 which is located at order of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

The order would determine the minimum dimension of state vector x(n) which would determine the number of unknown coefficients in matrix, *A*. As shown by the optimal order graph, order 0 and order 1 would be the impossible dimension, whilst order 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 would increase the unknown coefficients to be determined in matrix, *A*.

Therefore, the possible option is order of 2 which is indicated by the first minimum singular values. This is also known as optimal order for dimension of state vector, x(n) which is mentioned in Chapter 3.



Figure 4.44: Discrete model of CMF using state space model

Based on Figure 4.44, the order and the unknown coefficients in system matrices are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9579 & 0\\ 0.6939 & 0.0798 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1141\\ -2.0039 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 7.0503 & -8.7755 \end{bmatrix} \qquad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (4.53)$$

Whilst, the zeroes-poles function could be represented as

$$\frac{16.7805(z-1)}{(z-0.9579)(z-0.0798)} \tag{4.54}$$

As shown from the 'stability' part, all zeroes and poles are inside the unit circle which indicates the developed model is stable. In this section, the zeroes and poles are represented by red and green color, respectively.

The difference equation for the model could be represented as

$$\frac{16.7805z - 16.7804}{z^2 - 1.0377z + 0.0764} \tag{4.55}$$

From the 'difference' part, the total mass measured by actual coriolis and state space models are 7.2897 kg and 7.2232 kg, respectively, whilst the difference is 0.0665 kg. Since, the state space model produces smaller difference, the graph developed by state space model overlaps the graph developed by actual coriolis, with a minor difference. The state space model and actual coriolis are represented using red and white color, respectively.

This section has shown the LabVIEW front panels to develop the discrete model for coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) based on third approach which is the state space approach. Table 4.3 in following section shows table of comparison for all discrete models of CMF that have been developed using non recursive, recursive and state space approach. The purpose of the comparison is to choose a stable discrete model of CMF that has minimum difference of error compared to actual coriolis.

Table 4.3: Table of comparison for discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) developed by non-recursive, recursive and state-space approach

Туре	Model	Zeroes-poles equation	Difference equation	Stability	Difference of total mass between discrete model of CMF and actual coriolis (kg)
	GL	$\frac{14.0453(z-1.0002)(z+0.2241)}{(z-0.0192)(z-0.9583)(z+0.1735)}$	$\frac{14.0453z^2 - 10.9006z - 3.1474}{z^3 - 0.8339z^2 - 0.1513z + 0.0032}$	Stable	1.48461
	ARX	$\frac{13.4677(z-1)(z+0.0804)}{z^2(z-0.9584)}$	$\frac{13.4677z^2 - 12.3851z - 1.0834}{z^3 - 0.9584z^2}$	Stable	1.51374
Non- recursive	ARMAX	$\frac{-0.112(z-1.0101)(z-0.3583)}{z^4(z-0.9581)}$	$\frac{-0.112z^2+0.1532z-0.0405}{z^5-0.9581z^4}$	Stable	7.27015
	OE	$\frac{-0.035(z-1.0094)(z+0.0165)(z-3.0829)(z^2+1.1185z+0.6569)}{z^9(z-0.9584)}$	$\frac{-0.035z^5 + 0.1036z^4 + 0.0301z^3 - 0.0273z^2 - 0.0721z - 0.0012}{z^{10} - 0.9584z^9}$	Not Stable	7.33206
	BJ	$\frac{0.0409(z-0.9948)(z^2-0.7816z+1.7473)}{z^6(z-0.9436)}$	$\frac{0.0409 z^3 - 0.0726 z^2 + 0.1033 z - 0.0711}{z^7 - 0.9436 z^6}$	Not stable	7.24487
	GL	$\frac{5.5204(z-0.9932)(z+0.3646)}{(z+0.2118)(z-0.957)(z+01244)}$	$\frac{5.5204z^2 - 3.47z - 1.9991}{z^3 - 0.6208z^2 - 0.2954z - 0.0252}$	Stable	0.13635
	ARX	$\frac{6.7675(z-0.9968)(z+0.0711)}{z^2(z-0.9645)}$	$\frac{6.7675z^2 - 6.2646z - 0.4794}{z^3 - 0.9645z^2}$	Stable	0.18287
Recursive	ARMAX	$\frac{0.6698(z-0.975)(z+0.156)}{z^4(z-0.9522)}$	$\frac{0.6698z^2 - 0.5486z - 0.1019}{z^5 - 0.9522z^4}$	Stable	0.20557
	OE	$\frac{7.3899(z-0.9985)(z+0.8923)(z-1.1225)(z^2+0.0999z+0.9401)}{z^9(z-1)}$	$\frac{7.3899z^5 - 8.342z^4 + 0.3376z^3 - 1.7155z^2 - 4.623z + 6.948}{z^{10} - 1z^9}$	Not stable	4.36590
	BJ	$\frac{0.7302(z+4.9175)(z^2-2.0105z+1.0106)}{z^6(z-0.9962)}$	$\frac{0.7302z^3 + 2.1228z^2 - 6.4813z + 3.6287}{z^7 - 0.9962z^6}$	Not stable	0.23134
State-space		$\frac{16.7805(z-1)}{(z-0.9579)(z-0.0798)}$	$\frac{16.7805z - 16.7804}{z^2 - 1.0377z + 0.0764}$	Stable	0.06654

4.5.4 Analysis of comparison for discrete model of CMF

Based on Table 4.3, a comparison is made based on stability and difference of total mass between coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) models and actual coriolis. For the non recursive models, GL, ARX, ARMAX, look stable, but OE and BJ model are not. Whilst, from the difference point of view, only GL model could present the actual coriolis accurately in which the minimum difference produced by GL is 1.4846 kg, respectively. For the recursive models, GL, ARX, ARMAX are considered stable, but OE and BJ are not. Whilst, from the difference point of view, only GL model could present the actual coriolis in which the minimum difference is 0.1364 kg. However, if difference from GL model is compared with difference from state space model, the minimum difference is the state space model in which the minimum difference is 0.0665 kg, respectively.

Since the difference is compared at final mass of measurement, further analysis is needed to compare discrete values of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) models at each sampling time. For that reason, a method known as power series expansion is used to calculate the discrete values of CMF models which are non recursive, recursive and state space models, respectively. Please refer Chapter 3 for detail descriptions on power series expansion. The following calculation shows a typical example using state space model.

	16.7805 z-1	+17.4132 z-2	+16.7877 z-3	+16.0903 z-4	+	
z3 -2.0377 z2 +1.1141 z -0.0764	16.7805 z2	-16.7804 z				
	16.7805 z2	-34.1936 z	+18.6952	-1.2820 z-1		
		17.4132 z	-18.6952	+1.2820 z-1		
		17.4132 z	-35.4829	+19.4000 z-1	-1.3304 z-2	
			16.7877	-18.1180 z-1	+1.3304 z-2	
		_	16.7877	34.2083 z-1	+18.7032 z-2	-1.2826 z-3
				16.0903 z-1	-17.3728 z-2	+1.2826 z-3

Notably, an infinite series of discrete CMF values could be predicted at the quotient part or from the result of the division. In view of the fact that power series expansion is lengthy and consumes more time, a better option is to develop a computer program to generate the infinite series of discrete CMF values based on power series expansion algorithm. Figure 4.45-4.46 in following section shows a MATLAB Simulink program to calculate the power series expansion and sample of discrete values that have been generated for non recursive, recursive and state space models, respectively. Figure 4.45 shows a MATLAB Simulink program to calculate the power series expansion using difference equations of non recursive, recursive and state space models. Results of discrete coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) values are stored in 'simout1' variable which are shown in following section as Figure 4.46, respectively.



Figure 4.45: MATLAB Simulink program to calculate discrete CMF values

Figure 4.46 shows discrete coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) values which are generated by the 'simout1' variable from the MATLAB Simulink program, whilst, Table 4.4 shows type of discrete CMF values for non recursive, recursive and state space models at each column, respectively.

Column	Type of discrete values
1	Non recursive GL model
2	Non recursive ARX model
3	Non recursive ARMAX model
4	Non recursive OE model
5	Non recursive BJ model
6	Recursive GL model
7	Recursive ARX model
8	Recursive ARMAX model
8	Recursive OE model
10	Recursive BJ model
11	State space model

😹 👗 🛍 🛙	1 40 A 1 1 1	? Current	Directory	c.'program fi	ies'matiab71 binh	win32			3						
etcuts 🕑 How to	Add 💽 What's New														
rkspace		* ×	A	rray Editor -	simout1	- 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1									11
EI 🖉 🍋 🖑	🕈 🚻 🔽 + Stack	Base V	1à	X Ro IB	a 📰 •	III Stack In	tie M								8088
	Value	Class			2	1		6	6	7		0	10	44	12 12 14
ans	0	double		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 10 14
simout1	<201x11 double>	double	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
out	<203x1 double>	double	3	14.0453	13.4677	0	0	0	5.5204	6.767	0	0	0	16,7805	
			4	14.8571	13.99	0	0	0	5.4775	7.0264	0	0	0	17.4132	
			5	14.5117	13.4073	0.112	0	0	5.0824	6.797	0.6698	0	0	16.767	
			6	14.3015	12.8487	0.0661	0	0.0409	4.9636	6.5759	0.759	0	0.7302	16.0472	
			7	14.0714	12.3134	0.0626	0.0035	0.0069	4.7721	6.3628	0.742	7.3899	3.5804	15.3505	
			8	13.8488	11.8004	0.0593	0.0967	0.0781	4.6082	6.1573	0.7258	6.4378	0.0615	14.6834	
			9	13.6291	11.3087	0.0561	0 2229	0.0742	4.4468	5 9593	0.7104	5.8233	0.0608	14.0453	
			10	13.4129	10.8374	0.0531	0.3165	0.0705	4.2934	5.7684	0.6958	3.4933	0.0602	13.4349	
			11	13.2001	10.3858	0.0502	0.3342	0.067	4.1463	5.6843	0.6818	3.4597	0.0596	12.851	
			12	12.9906	9.9529	0.0474	0.3499	0.0638	4.0057	5.4069	0.6685	3.4647	0.059	12.2925	
			13	12.7844	9.5381	0.0447	0.3649	0.0607	3.871	5.2358	0.6559	3.4697	0.0583	11.7583	
			14	12.5814	9.1405	0.0421	0.3793	0.0577	3.7422	5.0709	0.6438	3.4747	0.0577	11.2472	
			15	12.3817	8.7595	0.0396	0.3932	0.055	3.6189	4.912	0.6324	3.4797	0.0571	10.7585	
			16	12.185	8.3943	0.0373	0.4064	0.0524	3.5009	4.7587	0.6214	3.4847	0.0565	10.2909	
			17	11.9915	8.0443	0.035	0.4191	0.0499	3.388	4.611	0.611	3.4897	0.0559	9.843/	- Discrete
			18	11.801	7,7088	0.0328	0.4313	0.04/6	3.2799	4.4000	0.6011	3,4947	0.0553	9.4159	Discicic
			19	11.0130	7.3073	0.0308	0.4429	0.0454	3.1700	4.3314	0.5917	3.4997	0.0546	9.0067	values
			20	11.4203	6 7920	0.0200	0.4041	0.0434	3.0776	4.103	0.5027	3,5047	0.054	0.0153	values
			21	11.2472	6.7039	0.0265	0.4040	0.0206	2 9029	2.0/05	0.5/41	3.503/	0.0534	7 0010	
			21	10.8923	6 2297	0.0233	0.4849	0.0379	2 8055	3,8299	0.6592	3 5197	0.0522	7.5403	
			24	10.7191	5 9697	0.0215	0.4040	0.0362	2 7725	3,7157	0.5502	3.5747	0.0516	7 2126	
			26	10.5495	5 7 206	0.02	0.5034	0.0347	2.643	3,6055	0.5439	3.5297	0.051	6.8992	
			26	10.3807	5 4818	0.0185	0.512	0.0332	2 567	3,4993	0.5371	3.5347	0.0504	6.5994	
			27	10 2154	5 253	0.017	0.5203	0.0318	2 4943	3,3969	0.5308	3 5397	0.0498	6 3127	
			28	10.0528	5.0336	0.0156	0.5283	0.0305	2.4246	3.2982	0.5247	3.6447	0.0493	6.0384	
			29	9.8927	4.8234	0.0142	0.5359	0.0293	2.358	3.2031	0.5189	3.5497	0.0487	5.776	
			30	9.7351	4.622	0.0129	0.5432	0.0282	2 2942	3.1114	0.5134	3.5547	0.0481	5.5251	
			31	9.58	4.4289	0.0117	0.5502	0.0271	2 2332	3.023	0.5082	3.5597	0.0475	5.285	
			32	9.4273	4.2439	0.0105	0.5569	0.0261	2.1748	2.9378	0.5032	3.5647	0.0469	5.0554	
			33	9.2771	4.0665	0.0094	0.5634	0.0251	2.1189	2.8556	0.4984	3.5697	0.0463	4.8357	
			34	9.1291	3.8966	0.0083	0.5695	0.0242	2.0665	2.7764	0.4939	3.5747	0.0458	4.6256	
			35	8.9835	3.7337	0.0072	0.5754	0.0233	2.0143	2.7	0.4896	3.5797	0.0452	4.4247	
			36	8.8402	3.5775	0.0062	0.5811	0.0225	1.9663	2.6264	0.4866	3.6847	0.0446	4.2325	
			37	8.6992	3.4279	0.0053	0.5865	0.0217	1.9184	2.5555	0.4816	3.5897	0.0441	4.0486	
			38	8.5603	3.2845	0.0043	0.5917	0.021	1.8736	2.4871	0.4779	3.5947	0.0435	3.8727	
			39	8.4236	3.1471	0.0035	0.5967	0.0203	1.8306	2.4212	0.4743	3.5997	0.0429	3.7045	
			40	0.2891	3.0154	0.0026	0.6015	0.0197	1.7896	2.3576	0.4709	3.6047	0.0424	3.5436	
			41	8.1567	2.8891	0.0018	0.6061	0.0191	1.7502	2.2963	0.46/7	3.6097	0.0418	3.3896	
			42	7 0004	2.7681	0.001	0.6147	0.0125	1.7120	2 23/3	0.404/	3.614/	0.0412	3 2424	
			43	7.8961	2.6522	0.0003	0.6197	0.0179	1.6/66	2.1803	0.4518	3.619/	0.0407	3 1016	
			44	7.7718	2.5411	0.0004	0.618/	0.01/4	1.6422	2.1254	0.459	3.624/	0.0401	2,9669	
			42	1.04/5	2.4345	0.0011	0.0225	0.0163	1.6092	2.0725	0.4304	3.023/	0.0396	2.030	

Figure 4.46: Discrete values generated from MATLAB Simulink program

Based on Figure 4.46, a comparison is made with coriolis data chosen at the low bank refueling region (similar data used in section 4.5.1-4.5.3) to determine discrete model of coriolis mass flowrate (CMF). Figure 4.47 shows a comparison of sample data between Figure 4.46 and coriolis data at low bank refueling region, respectively.

N 🔊	Aicrosoft E	kcel - Data	of CMF me	odels for n	on recursi	ve-recursiv	ve-state sp	ace							J
	<u>File E</u> dit	⊻iew Inse	ert F <u>o</u> rmat	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u>	ata <u>W</u> indov	v <u>H</u> elp A	do <u>b</u> e PDF						result of divi	sion 🔹 🗗 🗙	
n	🔗 🔲 🔗	n 🖷 🖪 I	a ഈ ∦	🖻 🛍 •	🛷 lo -	Ci + 🔍	$\Sigma - A \downarrow Z$	1 🛍 🚜	100% 🗸	? _ Arial		• 10 • U		- <u>A</u> - <u>A</u> - <u>×</u>	•
	-	ctartmatiah	puterstriv c	otrostriv ou	alstring										
• •	- 12 12	startmatiap	putmatrix g	eunaurix ev	aistring 🖕										
_	58	•	7x	D		г	0		1	1	IZ.	1 54	bl		5
1		B 11	П	U	E	F	G	H	- 1	J	К П	L IVI	0	P_▲	1
2		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		
3	14.0453	13.4677	0	0	Ő	5.5204	6,767	0	Ū	Ŭ	16.7805		Ū	•	
4	14.85708	13.99004	0	0	0	5.477464	7.026388	0	0	0	17.41322		0 D		
5	14.51167	13.40726	0.112	0	0	5.082436	6.797038	0.6698	0	0	16.76697		0 D	ata from	
6	14.30151	12.84872	0.066107	0	0.0409	4.963633	6.575945	0.758984	0	0.7302	16.04722		0 F	igure 4.46	
7	14.0714	12.31341	0.062637	0.0035	0.006893	4.772107	6.362811	0.742004	7.3899	3.580425	15.35051		0 -	Bare mo	
8	13.84882	11.80037	0.059313	0.096746	0.078104	4.608159	6.15/349	0.725836	6.4378 5 oppo	0.06148	14.68342	2.740	U 104		
9	13.62907	10.83744	0.056128	0.222921	0.074199	4.440009	5.959265	0.710441	3,4933	0.060047	13.43486	3.7464	+∠4 318		
11	13.20007	10.3858	0.050152	0.334179	0.067038	4.146347	5.58429	0.681824	3 4597	0.059587	12.85098	17.030	169		1
12	12,99059	9.952949	0.047351	0.349877	0.063757	4.005678	5,406856	0.668533	3,4647	0.05896	12.29247	16.589	904	Data for a	
13	12.7844	9.538106	0.044667	0.364922	0.060661	3.871049	5.235809	0.655877	3.4697	0.058336	11.75825	15.935	514	Data for ac	ual coriolis
14	12.58145	9.140521	0.042095	0.379342	0.057739	3.742213	5.07092	0.643826	3.4747	0.057715	11.24725	15.237	718 –	selected from	m low ban
15	12.38168	8.759475	0.039631	0.393161	0.054983	3.618917	4.911967	0.632351	3.4797	0.057095	10.75846	14.671	191	rofuoling r	aion
16	12.18505	8.394281	0.037271	0.406405	0.052382	3.500924	4.758736	0.621425	3.4847	0.056478	10.29092	14.160	021	reruening re	gion
17	11.9915	8.044279	0.035009	0.419099	0.049928	3.388005	4.611021	0.611021	3.4897	0.055864	9.843698	13.64	125		
18	11.80099	7.708837	0.032842	0.431264	0.04/612	3.279943	4.468624	0.601114	3.4947	0.055251	9.415919	13.074	122		
19	11.01340	7.387349	0.030766	0.442924	0.045426	3.176529	4.331354	0.591681	3.4997	0.054641	9.006734	12.613	508 M2		
20	11 2472	6 783939	0.020777	0.454050	0.043304	2 982852	4.155025	0.502050	3.5047	0.054034	8 240952	12.13	231		
22	11.06837	6.500927	0.025045	0.404000	0.039583	2.892215	3 948488	0.566001	3 5147	0.052825	7 882841	11.256	22		
23	10.89234	6.229689	0.023296	0.484909	0.03785	2.805476	3.829942	0.558246	3.5197	0.052225	7.540296	10.830)64		
24	10.71908	5.969734	0.02162	0.494337	0.036215	2.722467	3.715664	0.550862	3.5247	0.051626	7.212642	10.377	'02		
25	10.54853	5.720593	0.020014	0.503372	0.034673	2.643029	3.6055	0.543831	3.5297	0.05103	6.89923	9.9389	916		
26	10.38066	5.481816	0.018475	0.512032	0.033217	2.567007	3.499302	0.537136	3.5347	0.050436	6.599441	9.4953	308		
27	10.21542	5.252973	0.017001	0.520331	0.031844	2.494255	3.396927	0.530761	3.5397	0.049844	6.312684	9.0336	574		
28	10.05278	5.033649	0.015589	0.528286	0.030548	2.424632	3.298238	0.52469	3.5447	0.049255	6.038392	8.642	38		
29	9.892689	4.823449	0.012020	0.535909	0.029325	2.358003	3.203102	0.51891	3.5497	0.048668	5.776022	8.642	138		
30	9.73511	4.621994	0.012939	0.543215	0.020171	2.29424	3.11139	0.513406	3.5547	0.040063	5.020000	0.1/U 7 09/3	76		
32	9 42733	4 243876	0.010507	0.556928	0.026055	2 174822	2 937753	0.503175	3 5647	0.0470	5.055381	7 2847	76		
33	9.277053	4.066531	0.009367	0.56336	0.025085	2.118937	2.855593	0.498423	3.5697	0.046341	4.835741	6.858	191		
34	9.129133	3.896563	0.008274	0.569524	0.02417	2.065456	2.776392	0.493899	3.5747	0.045765	4.625649	6.4581	42		
35	8.983535	3.733666	0.007228	0.575432	0.023307	2.014275	2.700042	0.48959	3.5797	0.045191	4.424689	6.0958	645		
36	8.840221	3.577545	0.006225	0.581094	0.022493	1.965295	2.62644	0.485488	3.5847	0.04462	4.232464	5.7656	693		
37	8.699156	3.427919	0.005264	0.586521	0.021724	1.918421	2.555489	0.481582	3.5897	0.04405	4.048595	5.4757	796		
38	8.560304	3.284518	0.004343	0.591721	0.020999	1.873564	2.487091	0.477862	3.5947	0.043483	3.872719	5.2730	017		
39	8.423631	3.147082	0.003461	0.596706	0.020315	1.830635	2.421156	0.47432	3.5997	0.042918	3.704487	5.0427	/02		
40	8.289103 9.156695	3.015363	0.002616	0.601483	0.019669	1.789553	2.35/594	0.467726	3.6047	0.041704	3.543569 3.3900.45	4.7943	101 2020		
41	0.100005	2.009124	0.001007	0.6000061	0.019059	1.750238	2.290321	0.467736	3.6097	0.041794	3 242412	4.553	012		
43	7 898051	2.652182	0.001031	0.614654	0.017942	1.676608	2 180312	0.464075	3 6197	0.041233	3 101579	4.3212	306		
44	7.771769	2.541051	0.000424	0.618685	0.01743	1.64215	2.125421	0.458994	3.6247	0.040123	2.966867	3,9176	58		
45	7.647469	2.434544	0.001106	0.622547	0.016947	1.609175	2.072506	0.456355	3.6297	0.039571	2.838012	3.7133	378	_	4
14	► N\ Ch	art2 \ She	et1 / Sheet	2 / Sheet?	7		0.001.000	0.4500.44	0.0017		0.74.7777	0.500			1
Read	tv				,									1 2.0	
	-/														<u> </u>

Figure 4.47: Sample of data to compare CMF values and actual coriolis

Notably, there are sequences of zeroes at initial stage of the sample data which indicate delays of measurements. By removing such delays and plotting back the data in graph, a comparison could be made at each sampling time. Figure 4.48 in following section shows graph of comparison between all CMF models and actual coriolis at each sampling time, respectively.

Figure 4.48 shows graph of comparison between coriolis mass flowrate (CMF) models and actual coriolis, respectively. Notably, there are two models that follow actual coriolis which are non recursive ARX and state space model. However, at each sampling time, only state space model could follow actual coriolis with a minimum difference of error.



Figure 4.48: Graphs of comparison between all CMF models and actual coriolis

The analysis has shown that potential for state-space model to provide a more complete representation for actual coriolis as compared to other CMF models. As proposed by [249], this is due to its identification procedure i.e., N4SID algorithm does not involve in nonlinear optimization and hence the estimation reaches a solution regardless of the initial guess. By comparison, the parameter settings for the state-space model are simpler because it only involves selection of the appropriate order or the number of states model. The order can come from prior knowledge of the system or from the singular values of the information matrix, *A*. Since, the state space model is stable and produces minimum difference of error; it would represent the actual flowmeter system tested in this research, in which, the Micro Motion flowmeter. Based on the difference equation of state space model, the following section would discuss on developing inferential coriolis algorithm.

4.6 Develop algorithm for inferential coriolis model

The following section discusses the final steps of SYSID methodology i.e., develop algorithm for inferential coriolis model. Theoretically, the algorithm is made by finding a suitable trend line for non linear curve developed by discrete values of state space model and sensors values from the test rig, respectively. The trend line and non linear curve could be illustrated using a conceptual curve as shown in Figure 4.49, respectively.



Figure 4.49: Trend line and conceptual curve

From the trend line, a relationship function could be designed based on R-squared (\mathbb{R}^2) analysis. A trend line is actually a graphic representation of trends for data series, whilst the R-squared value is an indicator from 0 to 1 that reveals how closely the estimated values for the trend line correspond to the non linear curve. A trend line is most reliable when its R-squared value is equal or closer to 1 i.e., also known as coefficient of determination. Therefore, an assumption could be made that, if the trend line is 'completely' reliable, then the R-squared value would be equal to 1, which means the formula of the trend line is equal to a straight line curve i.e., y = mx + c. The value of y and x assumed here would present an equation or an inferential value for mass flowrate also known as inferential coriolis parameters. The following section would discuss on inferential coriolis parameters based on various types of trend lines.

CHAPTER 4

4.6.1 Designing trend line

In this research, equation of trend line, R-squared value and inferential coriolis parameters would be developed using a trend line program available in Microsoft Excel 2002 software. Figure 4.50 shows part of the trend line program which could be divided into two parts: option of trend lines and type of trend lines, respectively.

Add Trendline 🔹 🛛 🔀	Add Trendline
Type Options Trendline name Automatic: Linear (Series1) Gustom: Forecast Forecast Forward: Tunits Backward: Units Set intercept = 0 ✓ Display equation on chart ✓ Display R-squared value on chart Øisplay R-squared value on chart OK	Type Options Trend/Regression type Image: Constraint of the second s

Figure 4.50: Trend line

Based on Figure 4.50, the option of trend line is to display the equation of trend line and the R-squared value, whilst type of trend lines is to select type of trend analysis such as linear, logarithmic, polynomial, power, exponential or moving average analysis, respectively. Since, logarithmic, power and exponential could not analyze negative trends, only linear and polynomial would be used in designing the trend lines, whilst, moving average is not applied here because it only presents a sequence of averages computed from parts of data series.

The following section discusses on designing trend line based on data stored in the FieldPoint as shown by Figure 4.22 and Table 4.2, respectively. Based on Table 4.2, there are 19 sensors in the test rig, but only pressure and temperature sensors at receiver and flow metering systems (PCYLN, TCYLN, PT, TT) could be used in designing trend line since the sensors give direct measurement to CNG refueling process.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.51 shows an example of nonlinear curve for pressure sensor at receiver system (PCYLN) known as 'SS-PCYLN'. The curve is developed using pressure difference at receiver and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively. In this design, the linear and polynomial trend lines are represented in red and green color, respectively.



Figure 4.51: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-PCYLN

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = -0.0002x^2 + 0.1827x + 0.115$	0.9567
Linear	y = 0.1637x + 0.1902	0.9553

Table 4.5: R-squared value for SS-PCYLN

From Table 4.5, since both R-squared values are closed to 1, it shows that both trend lines have 'completely' reliable relationships with PCYLN sensor and state space model. Further analysis on trend line relationship is discussed using temperature sensor at receiver system (TCYLN).

Figure 4.52 shows an example of nonlinear curve for temperature sensor at receiver system (PCYLN) known as 'SS-TCYLN'. The curve is developed using temperature difference at receiver and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively.



Figure 4.52: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-TCYLN

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = -183.08x^2 + 58.257x + 1.6301$	0.0641
Linear	y = 23.32x + 2.1292	0.046

1 able 4.0. K-squared value for SS-TCTL	able 4.6: R-squared value for SS-10	JYL	N
---	-------------------------------------	-----	---

From Table 4.6, since both R-squared values are closed to zero, it shows that both trend lines have non reliable relationships with TCYLN sensor and state space model. Further analysis on trend line relationship is discussed using pressure sensor at inlet of the flow metering system (PT1).

Figure 4.53 shows an example of nonlinear curve for pressure sensor at inlet of flow metering system (PT1) known as 'SS-PT1'. The curve is developed using inlet pressure difference and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively.



Figure 4.53: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-PT1

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 7 \times 10^{-6} x^2 - 0.0049 x + 2.3217$	0.0805
Linear	y = 0.0076x + 2.2987	0.0735

Tabla	17.	D coursed	voluo	for	CC	DT1
I able 4	+./:	K-squareq	value	IOT	00-	PII

From Table 4.7, since both R-squared values are closed to zero, it shows that both trend lines have non reliable relationships with PT1 sensor and state space model. Further analysis on trend line relationship is discussed using temperature sensor at inlet of the flow metering system (TT1).
Figure 4.54 shows an example of nonlinear curve for temperature sensor at inlet of flow metering system (PT1) known as 'SS-TT1'. The curve is developed using inlet temperature difference and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively.



Figure 4.54: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-TT1

Table 4.8: R-squared value for SS-TT1			
Trend line	Equation	R-squared	
Polynomial	$y = -93.873x^2 - 49.536x + 1.305$	0.1356	
Linear	y = -27.361x + 1.6651	0.1272	

From Table 4.8, since both R-squared values are closed to zero, it shows that both trend lines have non reliable relationships with TT1 sensor and state space model. Further analysis on trend line equation is discussed using pressure sensor at outlet of the flow metering system (PT2).

Figure 4.55 shows an example of nonlinear curve for pressure sensor at outlet of flow metering system (PT2) known as 'SS-PT2'. The curve is developed using outlet pressure difference and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively.



Figure 4.55: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-PT2

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 7 \times 10^{-6} x^2 - 0.0052x + 2.3522$	0.0791
Linear	y = 0.0075x + 2.3251	0.0727

From Table 4.9, since both R-squared values are closed to zero, it shows that both trend lines have non reliable relationships with PT2 sensor and state space model. Further analysis on trend line equation is discussed using temperature sensor at outlet of the flow metering system (TT2).

Figure 4.56 shows an example of nonlinear curve for temperature sensor at outlet of flow metering system (TT2) known as 'SS-TT2'. The curve is developed using outlet temperature difference and discrete values from state space (SS) as x-axis and y-axis, respectively.



Figure 4.56: Non linear curve and trend lines for SS-TT2

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = -104.48x^2 - 55.753x + 1.346$	0.2342
Linear	y = -26.514x + 1.791	0.2058

Table 4 10: R-squared value for SS-TT2

From Table 4.10, since both R-squared values are closed to zero, it shows that both trend lines have non reliable relationships with TT2 sensor and state space model. From this section, a table of comparison is made in following section to determine a suitable trend line for inferential coriolis equation and respective sensor input.

4.6.2 Identifying trend line

Table 4.11 shows table of comparison for R-squared values based on Figure 4.51-4.56 which are 'SS-PCYLN', 'SS-TCYLN', 'SS-PT1', 'SS-TT1', 'SS-PT2' and 'SS-TT2' i.e., non linear curve and trend line for determining inferential coriolis equation, respectively.

		Sensor					
		PCYLN	TCYLN	PT1	TT1	PT2	TT2
le	Linear	0.9533	0.046	0.0735	0.1272	0.0727	0.2058
end lin	Polynomial	0.9567	0.0641	0.0805	0.1356	0.0791	0.2342
Tr	AVERAGE	0.9550	0.0551	0.0770	0.1314	0.0759	0.2200

Table 4.11: Table of comparison for R-squared value

Based on Table 4.11, the smallest R-squared value is 0.046 which is represented by linear trend line, whilst the highest R-squared value is 0.9567 which is represented by polynomial trend line. Since the highest R-squared value is produced by the polynomial trend line, the respective equation is chosen to represent inferential coriolis equation, whilst the suitable sensor to be used is PCYLN sensor. As mentioned earlier, the values of y, x and other constants are values for inferential value of mass flowrate, sensor input and inferential coriolis parameters, respectively. Notice that, the average of R-squared value developed by the PCYLN sensor is closer to 1, which is 0.9550. The value reveals how closely the sensor corresponds to inferential coriolis measurement.

In this section, a suitable trend line for inferential coriolis equation has been identified which is polynomial trend line. Further analysis is discussed in following section to identify suitable order of the polynomial trend line. Figure 4.57 shows a 2^{nd} order polynomial trend line for Figure 4.51. When, the order is increased to 2^{nd} order, the equation of polynomial trend line is changed to $y = -0.0002x^2 + 0.1827x + 0.115$, whilst the R-squared value is equal to 0.9567.



Figure 4.57: Non linear curve for SS-PCLYN using 2nd order polynomial trend line

	Table 4.12: R-squared value for 2 order polynomial trend line	
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = -0.0002x^2 + 0.1827x + 0.115$	0.9567

Table 4.12: R-squared value for 2nd order polynomial trend line

Based on Figure 4.57, both curves overlap when pressure difference is less than 20 psig, and separate when the pressure difference is between 20 to 40 psig, whilst, the major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 40 to 80 psig. The observation shows the polynomial trend line is accurate if pressure difference is less than 20 psig. Further analysis is made by increasing the polynomial trend line to 3^{rd} order.

Figure 4.58 shows a 3^{rd} order polynomial trend line for Figure 4.51. When, the order is increased to 3^{rd} order, the equation of polynomial trend line is changed to $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0019 x^2 + 0.2324 x + 0.0137$, whilst the R-squared value has increased to 0.9589.



Figure 4.58: Non linear curve for SS-PCLYN using 3rd order polynomial trend line

	Table 4.15. K-squared value for 5° order porynolinar trend line	
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0019 x^2 + 0.2324 x + 0.0137$	0.9589

Table 4.13: R-squared value for 3rd order polynomial trend line

Based on Figure 4.58, both curves overlap when pressure difference is less than 20 psig, and separate when the pressure difference is between 20 to 40 psig, whilst, no major break occurs when the pressure difference is greater than 40 psig. The observation shows the polynomial trend line is accurate at all range of pressure differences, except at pressure difference 20 to 40 psig. Further analysis is made by increasing the polynomial trend line to 4th order.

Figure 4.59 shows a 4th order polynomial trend line for Figure 4.51. When the order is increased to 4th order, the equation of polynomial trend line is changed to $y = 6 \times 10^{-8} x^4 + 2 \times 10^{-6} x^3 + 0.0013 x^2 + 0.2235 x + 0.0256$, whilst the R-squared value has maintained at 0.9589.



Figure 4.59: Non linear curve for SS-PCLYN using 4th order polynomial trend line

	Tuble 1.11. It squared value for 1 of del polynomial field file	
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 6 \times 10^{-8} x^4 + 2 \times 10^{-6} x^3 + 0.0013 x^2 + 0.2235 x + 0.0256$	0.9589

Table 4.14: R-squared value for 4th order polynomial trend line

Based on Figure 4.59, a similar description as shown in Figure 4.58 could be observed. Since the R-squared has maintained at similar value, the accuracy is similar to 3^{rd} order polynomial trend line. Further observation is made by investigating the polynomial trend line using 5^{th} and 6^{th} order.

Figure 4.60 shows a 5th order polynomial trend line for Figure 4.51. When the order is increased to 5th order, the equation of polynomial trend line is changed to $y = -1 \times 10^{-9} x^5 + 3 \times 10^{-7} x^4 - 2 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0007 x^2 + 0.2181x + 0.0303$, whilst the R-squared value has maintained to 0.9589. It shows the performance is similar as Figure 4.58 although higher order is tested.



Figure 4.60: Non linear curve for SS-PCLYN using 5th order polynomial trend line

Tuble 1.15. It squared value for 5° order porjholinar trend line				
Trend line	Equation	R-squared		
Polynomial	$y = -1 \times 10^{-9} x^5 + 3 \times 10^{-7} x^4 - 2 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0007 x^2 + 0.2181 x + 0.0303$	0.9589		

Table 4.15: R-squared value for 5th order polynomial trend line

From Figure 4.60, there are two red circles shown. The circles are defined as abnormal pulses in the measurement which also appear at similar locations in Figure 4.57-4.59. The phenomenon is defined as an outlier or unexpected events due to temporary sensor failure which could not be removed although higher order is used [30]. Please refer Figure 4.61 for higher order such as the 6^{th} order.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Figure 4.61 shows a 6th order polynomial trend line for Figure 4.51. When the order is increased to 6th order, the equation of polynomial trend line is changed to $y = -3 \times 10^{-11} x^6 + 8 \times 10^{-9} x^5 - 7 \times 10^{-7} x^4 + 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0017 x^2 + 0.2245 x + 0.0261$, whilst the R-squared value still maintains at value 0.9589. Notice that, the outlier still occurs although 6th order is used. Since it affects the accuracy of polynomial trend line, the observation shows the outlier must be filtered manually from the sample data. Please refer appendix I for example of Visual Basic program to remove outlier.



Figure 4.61: Non linear curve for SS-PCLYN using 6th order polynomial trend line

	Table 4.16: R-squared value for 6 th order polynomial trend line	
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = -3 \times 10^{-11} x^6 + 8 \times 10^{-9} x^5 - 7 \times 10^{-7} x^4 + 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0017 x^2 + 0.2245 x + 0.0261$	0.9589

In this section, various orders of polynomial trend lines have been investigated from 2^{nd} to 6^{th} order. Based on these order, a table of comparison is made in following section to determine a suitable order for inferential coriolis equation.

4.6.3 Finalizing trend line

Table 4.17 shows table of comparison to determine suitable order for inferential coriolis equation using polynomial trend line in Figure 4.57-4.61, respectively.

Order	Equation	\mathbf{R}^2
2	$y = -0.0002x^2 + 0.1827x + 0.115$	0.9567
3	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0019 x^2 + 0.2324 x + 0.0137$	0.9589
4	$y = 6 \times 10^{-8} x^4 + 2 \times 10^{-6} x^3 + 0.0013 x^2 + 0.2235 x + 0.0256$	0.9589
5	$y = -1 \times 10^{-9} x^{5} + 3 \times 10^{-7} x^{4} - 2 \times 10^{-5} x^{3} - 0.0007 x^{2} + 0.2181 x + 0.0303$	0.9589
6	$y = -3 \times 10^{-11} x^{6} + 8 \times 10^{-9} x^{5} - 7 \times 10^{-7} x^{4} + 3 \times 10^{-5} x^{3} - 0.0017 x^{2} + 0.2245 x + 0.0261 x^{2} + 0.0261 x^{2}$	0.9589
	AVERAGE	0.9585

Table 4.17: R-squared value for 2nd to 6th order polynomial trend line

When the order increases from 2^{nd} to 3^{rd} order, the R-squared value would also increase from 0.9567 to 0.9589, respectively. The smallest R-squared value is equal to 0.9567 which is represented by 2^{nd} order polynomial trend line, whilst the highest R-squared value is equal to 0.9589 which is represented by 3^{rd} to 6^{th} order polynomial trend lines, respectively. When 3^{rd} order is used, the R-squared value would maintain at value of 0.9589 although higher order is used. This indicates the suitable order for the polynomial trend line is the 3^{rd} order since it has the highest R-squared value with minimum order of coefficients. Whilst, from the average point of view, it shows the R-squared value has improved from 0.9550 (shown in Table 4.11) to 0.9585, if 3^{rd} order is applied.

Section 4.6.2 has been to selecting 3rd order polynomial trend line for representing inferential coriolis equation. In following section, a similar procedure would be applied to determine the final inferential coriolis equation based on five samples of data sets that have been filtered from outlier values. During this work, there are numerous experiments and data sets that have been evaluated which affect final elements of inferential coriolis formula. However, the selected evaluations cases presented here represent the main issue on designing the accurate inferential coriolis equation that relates to the Micro Motion coriolis flowmeter.

164

Figure 4.62 shows a 3rd order polynomial trend line using the first sample of data sets. It is found that the equation of polynomial trend line is equal to $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0023 x^2 + 0.2592 x + 0.1053$, whilst the R-squared value has improved to 0.9946.



Figure 4.62: 3rd order polynomial trend line for first sample of data sets

Table 4.18: R-squared value of 3° order polynomial trend line for first sample of data set			
Trend line	Equation	R-squared	
Polynomial	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0023 x^2 + 0.2592 x + 0.1053$	0.9946	

Based on Figure 4.62, major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig, whilst minor breaks occurs when the pressure difference is between 20 to 60 psig. However, both curves overlap each other when the pressure difference is between 60 to 120 psig. Based on this observation, it indicates that the inferential coriolis measurement is applicable if the pressure difference is between 60 to 120 psig. Further analysis is made using the second sample data.

Figure 4.63 shows a 3rd order polynomial trend line using the second sample of data sets. It is found that the equation of polynomial trend line is equal to $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.002 x^2 + 0.2629 x - 0.0144$, whilst the R-squared value is equal to 0.9714.



Figure 4.63: 3rd order polynomial trend line for second sample of data sets

Table	4.19: R-squared value of 3 rd order polynomial trend line for second sample of da	ta sets
Trend line	Equation	R-square

Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.002 x^2 + 0.2629 x - 0.0144$	0.9714

Based on Figure 4.63, major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig, whilst minor break occurs when the pressure difference is between 20 to 80 psig. However, major break occurs again when the pressure difference is between 80 to 120 psig. Based on this observation, it indicates that the inferential coriolis measurement is applicable if the pressure difference is between 20 to 80 psig. Further analysis is made using the third sample data.

found

Figure 4.64 shows a 3rd order polynomial trend line using the third sample of data sets. It that the equation of polynomial trend line is equal to $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024 x^2 + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$, whilst the R-squared value has improved to



is



Figure 4.64: 3rd order polynomial trend line for third sample of data sets

Table 4.20: R-squared value of 3 th order polynomial trend line for third sample of data sets		
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024 x^2 + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$	0.9949

Based on Figure 4.64, major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig, whilst both curves overlap each other when the pressure difference is between 20 to 80 psig. However, only a minor break occurs when the pressure difference is between 80 to 120 psig. Based on this observation, it indicates that the inferential coriolis measurement is applicable if the pressure difference is between 20 to 120 psig. Further analysis is made using the forth sample data.

Figure 4.65 shows a 3rd order polynomial trend line using the forth sample of data sets. It is found that the equation of polynomial trend line is equal to $y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0049 x^2 + 0.3746 x + 0.365$, whilst the R-squared value is equal to 0.9699.



Figure 4.65: 3rd order polynomial trend line for forth sample of data sets

Table 4.21: R-squared value of 3 rd order polynomial trend line for forth sample of data sets		
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024 x^2 + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$	0.9949

Based on Figure 4.65, major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig, 20 to 60 psig, and 60 to 120 psig, respectively. Based on this observation, it indicates that the forth sample of data sets is not applicable for inferential coriolis measurement. Further analysis is made using the fifth sample data.

Figure 4.66 shows a 3rd order polynomial trend line using the fifth sample of data sets. It is found that the equation of polynomial trend line is equal to $y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0043 x^2 + 0.3419 x - 0.1131$, whilst the R-squared value is equal to 0.9907.



Figure 4.66: 3rd order polynomial trend line for fifth sample of data sets

Table 4.22: R-squared value of 3 order polynomial trend line for fifth sample of data sets		
Trend line	Equation	R-squared
Polynomial	$y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0043 x^2 + 0.3419 x - 0.1131$	0.9907

Based on figure 4.66, minor break occurs when the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig, whilst major break occurs when the pressure difference is between 20 to 60 psig and 60 to 120 psig. Based on this observation, it indicates that the inferential coriolis measurement is applicable if the pressure difference is between 0 to 20 psig. In this section, five sample of data sets have been tested using a 3rd order polynomial trend line, whilst, in following section, the suitable inferential coriolis equation would be chosen.

4.6.4 *Implementing trend line*

Table 4.23 shows table of comparison to determine the highest R-squared value for 3^{rd} order polynomial trend line discussed in Figure 4.62-4.66, respectively.

Sample	Equation	\mathbf{R}^2
1	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0023x^2 + 0.2592x + 0.1053$	0.9946
2	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.002 x^2 + 0.2629 x - 0.0144$	0.9714
3	$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024 x^2 + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$	0.9949
4	$y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0049 x^2 + 0.3746 x + 0.365$	0.9699
5	$y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0043 x^2 + 0.3419 x - 0.1131$	0.9907
	AVERAGE	0.9843

Table 4.23: R-squared value using 3rd order polynomial trend line

From Table 4.23, the smallest R-squared value is 0.9699 which is represented by $y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0043x^2 + 0.3419x - 0.1131$, whilst the highest R-squared value is 0.9949 which is represented by $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024x^2 + 0.2696x - 0.1372$, respectively. From the average point of view, it shows the R-squared value has improved from 0.9585 (shown in Table 4.17) and 0.9550 (shown in Table 4.11), to 0.9843 if outlier have been filtered from the sample data. Since the highest R-squared value is detected from the third sample, the suitable equation for inferential coriolis is

$$y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^{3} - 0.0024 x^{2} + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$$
(4.56)

Based on this equation, a LabVIEW program for inferential coriolis is developed using FieldPoint. Since the third sample has the highest R-squared value, the respective equation is embedded in the FieldPoint and tested on the test rig. Please refer Figure 4.67-4.71 for sample of inferential coriolis programs using polynomial equations shown in Table 4.23.



Figure 4.67: Inferential coriolis program based on $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0023 x^2 + 0.2592 x + 0.1053$



Figure 4.68: Inferential coriolis program based on $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.002 x^2 + 0.2629 x - 0.0144$



Figure 4.69: Inferential coriolis program based on $y = 1 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0024 x^2 + 0.2696 x - 0.1372$



Figure 4.70: Inferential coriolis program based on $y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0049 x^2 + 0.3746 x + 0.365$



Figure 4.71: Inferential coriolis program based on $y = 3 \times 10^{-5} x^3 - 0.0043 x^2 + 0.3419 x - 0.1131$

Figure 4.72 and 4.73 are examples of LabVIEW front panels to display results of mass flowrate measurement from LabVIEW programs shown in Figure 4.67-4.71, respectively. Figure 4.72 shows a typical result for single bank refueling such as the low bank, whilst Figure 4.73 shows a typical result for three banks refueling such as the low bank, medium bank and high bank refueling, respectively. Please refer to Figure 4.24 for details descriptions on single and three banks refueling. Based on Figure 4.72 and 4.73, there are two graphs shown: mass flowrate graph from actual coriolis flowmeter and mass flowrate graph from inferential coriolis, represented in white and red color, respectively. Notice that, the graph developed by inferential coriolis follows the trend developed by actual coriolis although there are outlier occurrences. Based on this observation, some condition rules are added in the inferential coriolis program to filter unexpected occurrence values and to ensure total mass measured by inferential coriolis are within the limit of permissible error. The following section discusses on practical implementation of inferential coriolis using FieldPoint.



Figure 4.72: Mass flowrate measurement for single bank refueling



Figure 4.73: Mass flowrate measurement using three banks refueling

4.6.5 Practical implementation

Figure 4.74 is the final prototype for inferential coriolis. Based on Figure 4.74, the prototype could be divided into two parts: pressure sensor and FieldPoint system. The pressure sensor is from Endress-Hauser with pressure rating from 0 to 5000 psig, whilst the FieldPoint is from National Instruments, but is smaller than the one shown in Figure 4.8.

FieldPoint

Pressure sensor



Figure 4.74: Prototype for inferential coriolis

Figure 4.75 are the components for the FieldPoint such as 4 modules backplane, 1 controller module, 8 channels digital input module, 8 channels relay module and 8 channels analog input module. The LabVIEW program developed in Figure 4.69 is the inferential coriolis program embedded in the FieldPoint, whilst the LabVIEW front panels discussed in Figure 4.72-4.73 is the monitoring program to observe the inferential coriolis performance. In this section, prototype of inferential coriolis has been discussed, whilst, in following section, single line diagram for the FieldPoint is presented.



Controller module

4 module backplane

Figure 4.75: Components for inferential coriolis using FieldPoint

Figure 4.76 shows the single line diagram for FieldPoint system shown in Figure 4.75 respectively. Based on the figure, there are three sensors connected to analog input module which are PCYLN (pressure at receiver), coriolis flowmeter (Micro Motion flowmeter) and load cell signals. From the sensors, the mass flowrate from CNG refueling is measured since the total mass accumulated in the receiver tank is the performance measure to be compared with load cell measurement. From the figure also, there are three relay signals connected to relay module which are signals to open and close the solenoid valves for low bank, medium bank and high bank refueling. Different CNG flows and pressures could also be developed by switching the valves automatically, from the low bank to medium bank or high bank source. In this section, single line diagram for the inferential coriolis using FieldPoint has been discussed. The details descriptions on result of performance measure as compared to Micro Motion and load cell is discussed in the next chapter.



Figure 4.76: Single line diagram for inferential coriolis using FieldPoint

4.7 Summary

An experimental test rig designed for the SYSID modeling of the inferential coriolis includes three main parts: the natural gas test rig, the data acquisition & control system using FieldPoint, and the LabVIEW user interface application. The test rig is designed to be flexible i.e., the experimental data could be collected from various conditions using wide variety of initial pressures as required in the simulations and filling condition of an actual coriolis flowmeter. Since different mathematical theories and algorithms are required for SYSID, LabVIEW program is designed to simulate and analyze each model, where the state-space model is found to provide a realistic representation of (Coriolis Mass Flowrate) CMF system compares to other parametric models. A relationship between power series expansion of the estimated state-space model and the receiver pressure formed the function of the proclaimed inferential coriolis.

The next chapter illustrates with several examples the use of this inferential coriolis to measure the mass flowrate of CNG under different scenarios that are depending on the initial pressure of the receiver tanks, and to compare its performance over the actual coriolis meter manufactured by the Micro Motion.