CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

PERFORMANCE MEASURE FOR STEAM ABSORPTION CHILLER OF A DISTRICT COOLING PLANT

By

Siti Suhailah Binti Khairullah

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(AP Ir Dr. Mohd Amin bin Abdul Majid)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

TRONOH, PERAK

MAY 2012

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

SITI SUHAILAH BINTI KHAIRULLAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be to Allah the Almighty upon the completion of this final year project within the time frame. My deepest gratitude and appreciation goes to my supervisor at Mechanical Engineering Department, AP.Ir .Dr. Mohd Amin bin Abdul Majid for his valuable advice during our meeting, relentless guidance and direction throughout the project timeline.

I would like to thanks for all that contribute in my final year project either directly or indirectly upon the completion of this project. I would also like to express my appreciation to the graduate assistant, Mr. Meseret Nasir Reshid for his guidance and support till the end of the project timeline. Last but certainly not the least, my beloved parents, Khairullah bin Nawawi and Seti Rakiah binti Hamzah for their support, words of wisdom and relentless positive driving force.

ABSTRACT

The project focuses on development of reliability and availability model for steam absorption chiller at Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Gas District Cooling (GDC) plant. Since failure data is not easily available, performance data is used to develop the model. The Markov model has been adopted. Historical performance data 2009 and 2010 for the steam absorption chiller has been used for analysis. Pareto Principle has been used to group the data in order to determine the number of states. Initial analysis indicates that the data could be divided into three groups; first group is between 850~1250 RTh, second group is between 450~850 RTh and the third group is between 0~450 RTh. Hence, the Markov model will have three states namely; state 2 with 850~1250 RTh, state 1 with 450~850 RTh and state 0 with 0~450 RTh. Using Markov model to develop multi-state system, the failure rate has been determined based on mathematical equation of Markov Chain and state space diagram. The availability and reliability graph were obtained using Matlab software based on differential equation method. The comparison for both results was also done using the traditional binary system which is BlockSim software. The availability results obtained between Matlab software and Block Sim software have small percentage different of 1.31% whereas the reliability result between Matlab software and Block Sim software have the high percentage different of 31.03%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .	•		•				
CHAPTER 1:	ER 1: INTRODUCTION						
	1.1	Background of Study	1				
	1.2	Problem Statement	2				
	1.3	Objective	2				
	1.4	Scope of Research	2				
	1.5	Relevancy of the project	2				
	1.6	Feasibility of the project	3				
CHAPTER 2:	LITERATURE REVIEW						
	2.1	Introduction	4				
	2.2	Brief Description of Gas District Cooling . 5					
	2.3	Main Equipment of Gas District Cooling in UTP. 8					
	2.4	Steam Absorption Chillers	11				
	2.5	System Reliability	13				
	2.6	Maintenance and Repair	15				
	2.7	Pareto Analysis	16				
	2.8	Markov Model	17				
CHAPTER 3:	METHODOLOGY						
	3.1	Project Planning	19				
	3.2	Research Methodology	19				
	3.3	Propose Model	21				
	3.4	Model Assumption	21				
	3.5	Pareto Analysis	21				
	3.6	Estimation Method for Transition Rate .	22				
	3.7	State Space Modeling (Availability) .	22				
	3.8	Comparison with Block Sim Software .	22				
	3.9	Key Milestone on FYP 2	23				
	3.10	Gantt Chart	24				

	3.11	Тоо	l/Softwa	are.	•	•	•	•	24
CHAPTER 4:	RESU	JLTS	AND I	DISCUS	SSIONS	•	•	•	25
	4.1	Data	a collect	tion					25
	4.2	Pare	eto chart	t.					26
	4.3	Esti	mation	of Tran	sition rat	æ.			27
	4.4	Stat	e Space	Modeli	ing (Ava	ilabilit	y).		28
	4.5	Mat	hematic	al Equa	tion (Av	ailabil	ity)	•	30
	4.6	Stat	e Space	Modeli	ing (Reli	ability).	•	31
	4.7	Mat	hematic	al Equa	tion (Re	liabilit	y) .		32
	4.8	Esti	mation	State Pr	obability	y.Avail	ability,	Reliabil	ity 34
CHAPTER 5:	CON	CLUS	SION A	ND RE	COMM	IENDA	TION	S .	42
REFERENCES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	44
APPENDICES	•	•			•	•	•	•	47

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: The Bathtub Curve	•	•	5
Figure 2.1: Combined Heat and Power Plant (CHP)		•	6
Figure 2.2: An example of Trigeneration Plant			7
Figure 2.3: Block diagram of equipment Gas District Cooling sy	stem iı	n UTP.	9
Figure 2.4: The main component of an absorption chiller .			13
Figure 2.5: System Reliability for Repairable System .	•		13
Figure 2.6: The assumptions for the condition of the system after	repair	r stage	14
Figure 3.1: Project planning throughout the FYP timeline .			19
Figure 3.2: Flow chart of performance measure of SAC .			20
Figure 3.3: Parallel configuration for SAC system			21
Figure 4.1: The daily performance data for SAC			25
Figure 4.2: The Pareto chart for performance data clustering of S	AC1 a	and SAC2	26
Figure 4.3: The state space diagram for one unit of SAC .			27
Figure 4.4: Transition diagram of two unit chiller for availability	•		29
Figure 4.5: Transition diagram of two unit chiller for reliability.			32
Figure 4.6: State probabilities of SAC system for availability.			36
Figure 4.7: The comparison of SAC system for availability .	•		36
Figure 4.8: State probabilities of SAC system for reliability.			40
Figure 4.9: The comparison of SAC system for reliability.			40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Major equipment of GDC plant at Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS.					
Table 3.1: The bin used to calculate the frequency of group p	erform	nance d	ata	21	
Table 3.2: Key Milestones for final year project FYP 2.				23	
Table 3.3: Gantt chart of FYP 1 and FYP 2				24	
Table 4.1: The system state description for the SAC				30	
Table 4.2: The transition rate of the SAC system. .				33	
Table 4.3: State probabilities of SAC system for availability				34	
Table 4.4: State probabilities of SAC system for reliability.				37	