#### **CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL**

# AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE WAVE INDUCED INSTABILITY OF PIPELINES: THE BREAKOUT OF PIPELINES

by

Ahmad Syahidi Bin Che Zainal

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(Mr. Rahmat Iskandar Khairul Shazi Shaarani)

### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

### TRONOH, PERAK

January 2008

### CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

AHMAD SYAHIDI BIN CHE ZAINAL

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, a series of experiments have been conducted in a wave flume flow tunnel, which provides a more realistic simulation than the previous actuator loading methods. This experiment is carried out to investigate the lateral movement of underwater pipeline in laboratory. The breakout of pipeline from its original place is investigated under wave loading using wave flume generator in laboratory. The interaction between wave-pipe-seabed causes the pipeline to breakout from its places. Based on the experimental data of pipe displacement with two different constraint conditions (freely laid pipelines and anti-rolling pipelines), three characteristic times in the process of pipeline losing stability are identified. The effects of wave frequency on the pipeline lateral stability are also examined for freely laid pipelines.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Rahmat Khairul Shazi. He had been very supportive during the entire of the project period. He spent much time and energy to guide me throughout the 28 weeks despite their other commitments and packed schedule as lecturers in UTP. Under their constant supervision, I managed to start my project with proper planning and proceeded until completion of the project in the stipulated time frame.

I would also like to express my gratitude toward Mechanical Engineering Department of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) for providing the chance to undertake this remarkable final year project. My knowledge on mechanical engineering has been put to the test for the purpose of completing this project. This course has offered me a ood exposure to the applicants of wave mechanics and the opportunity to work with technologists and technician in the Mechanical and Civil Engineering Department.

A word of sincere gratitude goes to the Final Year Project Committee for arranging and managing the course throughout the two semesters, they have done a good job in keeping students well-informed of all the procedures and deadlines which are influential to the progress of the project.

I also extend my utmost gratitude to the UTP Resource Center Staffs and also the Mechanical Engineering Technologist, for allocating the much needed literature and their assistance in handling the equipment.

I wish to thank all fellow colleagues whose names were not mentioned here for providing assistance, support and cooperation in any form. Last but not least, I would like to thank my family especially my parents for their budget allocation for the project and their support for me to complete this project.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

3

CERTIFICA	ATION OF APPROVAL	i
CERTIFICA	ATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii
ABSTRACT	ſ	iii
ACKNOWI	LEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF TA	ABLES AND FIGURES	vii
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3

## 1.4 Scope of work

### **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Loadings on an underwater pipeline	4
2.2	Principle of deep water condition	5
2.3	Principle of Similarity (Dimensionless Analysis)	6

### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Research Methodology	10
3.2	Project Activities	11
3.3	Project Phase for FYP I & FYP II	13
3.4	Experimental Setup	14
3.5	Experiment Procedure	18
3.5	Water Wave Flume	19
3.7	Pipeline Model	21

	3.7	Subm	erged Weight of Pipeline	22
CHAI	PTER 4	: RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1	Quali	Qualitative Data	
		4.1.1	Free End Pipeline Model	24
		4.1.2	Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model	24
	4.2	Quant	titative Data	26
		4.2.1	Free-End Pipeline Model	26
		4.2.2	Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model	27
		4.2.3	Breakout time for different frequency	28
			4.2.3.1 Free-End Pipeline Model	28
			4.2.3.2 Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model	29
	4.3	Discus	ssion	31
		4.3.1	Three characteristic times	31
		4.3.2	Phases of pipeline instability process	32
		4.3.3	Criterion for the on-bottom instability	
			of pipeline	32
		4.3.4	Effect of different frequency to the pipeline	
			breakout	33

### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.1	Conclusions	34
5.2	Recommendations	35
REFEREN	СЕ	36

NEFENENCE	30
APPENDIX	37

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

- Figure 2.1: Loadings on pipeline
- Figure 2.2: Pipeline is trenched into the seabed
- Figure 2.3: The hydrodynamic force induced by wave
- Figure 3.1: Water Flume / wave tank for the experiment
- Figure 3.2: Test Section of the water wave flume
- Figure 3.3: Test Section Box inserted into the water flume
- Figure 3.4: Pipeline Model is trenched in the sand / seabed
- Figure 3.5: The arrangement of the experimental setup
- Figure 3.6: Switch Panel for Water Wave Flume
- Figure 3.7: Dimension of the Wave Flume Tank
- Figure 3.8: Motor and the flap to generate water waves
- Figure 3.9: Method of restricting the rolling of pipeline
- Figure 3.10: Method of restricting the rolling of pipeline
- Figure 4.1: Three characteristic states of pipe instability for free-end pipeline model (a) Onset of sand scour, (b) pipeline rocks, and (c) pipeline breakouts.
- Figure 4.2: Three characteristic states of pipe instability for anti-rolling pipeline model (a) Onset of sand scour, (b) pipeline rocks, and (c) pipeline breakouts.
- Figure 4.3: Graph Time (s) versus Displacement (cm) for Free-End Pipeline Model
- Figure 4.4: Graph Time (s) versus Displacement (cm) for Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model.

- Figure 4.5: Graph Frequency (Hz) versus Time (s) for Free-End Pipeline Model.
- Figure 4.6: Graph Frequency (Hz) versus Time (s) for Anti-rolling Pipeline Model.
- Figure 4.7: Graph Frequency (Hz) versus Time (s) for Free-End and Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model.

### LIST OF TABLES

- Table 4.0:Time and Displacement for the Free-End Pipeline Model (Frequency<br/>2Hz)
- Table 4.1:Time and Displacement for the Anti-Rolling Pipeline Model<br/>(Frequency 2Hz)
- Table 4.2:Frequency and the Time for the pipeline model to breakout (free-end<br/>pipeline)
- Table 4.3:Frequency and the Time for the pipeline model to breakout