Sliding of Elastically Similar Complete Contacts

by

Mohd. Kamalmursyid B. Rozibah

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) (Mechanical Engineering)

October 2009

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Seri Iskandar 31750 Tronoh Perak Darul Ridzuan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ABSTRACT		i ii iii iv			
			CHAPTER 1:	INTRODUCTION	1
				1.1 Background	1
				1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Objective	1			
	1.4 Scope of Study	1			
CHAPTER 2:	LITERATURE REVIEW / THEORY	2			
	2.1 Introduction	2			
	2.1.1 Theory of Contact				
	2.1.2 Modeling of Sliding Contacts	5			
	2.1.3 Failure at the Contact Interface	5			
	2.2 Sliding Contact and its Modeling	6			
	2.3 Finite Element Analysis (FEA)	7			
	2.3.1 Non-linearity in Contact Analysis	7			
	2.4 Stress Analysis Using FEA Software - ANSYS	8			
CHAPTER 3:	METHODOLGY	10			
	3.1 Method of Analysis	10			
	3.2 Flowchart	11			
	3.3 Contact Analysis	12			

CHAPTER 4:	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1 Result	17
	4.1.1 Surface Stress Analysis	17
	4.1.2 Subsurface Stress Analysis	23
	4.1.3 Subsurface Stress distribution $f = 0.2$	24
	4.1.4 Subsurface Stress distribution $f = 0.4$	30
	4.1.5 Subsurface Stress distribution $f = 0.8$	36
CHAPTER 5:	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	41
	5.1 Conclusion	41
	5.2 Recommendation	42
REFERENCES		43

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: NUMERICAL DATA OF THE ANALYSIS

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Sliding of Elastically Similar Complete Contacts

by

Mohd. Kamalmursyid Bin Rozibah

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(Nakne of Main Supervisor) Dr. Saravanan Karuppanan Mechanical Engineering Department Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bundar Seri Iskandar, 31750 Tronoh Pesak Danu Ridzuar, Malaysia.

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

TRONOH, PERAK

OCTOBER 2009

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

MOHD. KAMALMURSYID BIN ROZIBAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

On top of all, a lot of praises to god. First and foremost, i would like to take this opportunity to express my thankfulness to my supervisor, Dr. Saravanan Karupppanan for his guidance and cooperation from the beginning until the completion of this final year project. Next goes to Department of Mechanical Engineering computer lab technicians, Mr. Khairul for providing the computer facilities installed with the most important tool for this project which is ANSYS software. Last but not least, i want to thank whoever who contribute their help and support from the start until this project is completed.

ABSTRACT

Sliding of a square block on an elastically similar plane is going to be analyzed. The stresses present at the edge of complete contacts have high concentration and need to be studied. The analysis will be carried out using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) software, ANSYS. Simulation of the sliding contact will be executed and the parameters of the contact analysis such as contact pressure, contact frictional stress, normal stress and shear stress will be obtained. In addition, the region or location of the contact interface having highest values of those parameters will be determined and the factors affecting those parameters are also determined.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

It is certainly true that the presence of interfacial shearing tractions has only a very small effect on contact pressure distribution. But, in the real case, the tractions give significant impact to the surface between these contacts. In order to prove the influence of tractions to sliding complete contact, an analysis is going to be carried out using computerized software of finite element analysis.

1.2 Problem Statement

During sliding between two bodies of elastically similar complete contacts, the stresses at the edge of complete contacts are severe. The regions where the stresses are severe are of high possible sites of crack nucleation which can lead to defect of components.

1.3 Objective

The objectives of this project amongst others are modelling of sliding contact problem and determination of contact parameters at the contacting surface and also at the edge of the contacts. Subsurface stresses of the contact also need to be analyzed.

1.4 Scope of Study

This analysis is about studying method of solving contact problem between two similar elastic bodies. This problem is modelled and analyzed using Finite Element Analysis (FEA). It involves usage of computer software ANSYS. This software is used to simulate the sliding contacts and from the simulation itself, region of critical stresses will be obtained and analyzed.

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW/THEORY

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Theory of Contact - Contact Mechanics

Contact mechanics is an area of physics in which the motion of two or more bodies in space is restricted by additional constraints. These so called unilateral constraints ensure that bodies once coming into contact do not penetrate each other. Once the general equations for a contact problem are set up, different solution schemes can be used to simulate the behavior of bodies in contact and to compute displacement and stress fields. There are several possibilities to classify contact problems. Generally contact with and without friction is distinguished.

In case of analytical solution methods for contact problems the following classification was introduced. Contact may occur between bodies in two distinct ways. A conforming contact is one in which the two bodies touch at multiple points before any deformation takes place or in other words, they just "fit together". The opposite is non-conforming contact, in which the shapes of the bodies are dissimilar enough that, under no load, they only touch at a point or possibly along a line. In the non-conforming case, the contact area is small compared to the sizes of the objects and the stresses are highly concentrated in this area.

Such distinctions however do not have to be made when numerical solution schemes are employed to solve contact problems. These methods do not rely on further assumptions within the solution process since they base solely on the general formulation of the underlying equations. Besides the standard equations describing the deformation and motion of bodies to additional inequalities can be formulated. The first simply restricts the motion and deformation of the bodies by the assumption that no penetration can occur. Hence the gap g_N between two bodies can only be positive or zero

 $g_N \geq 0$.

where $g_N = 0$ denotes contact. The second assumption in contact mechanics is related to the fact, that no tension force is allowed to occur within the contact area (contacting bodies can be lifted up without adhesion forces). This leads to an inequality which the stresses have to obey at the contact interface. It is formulated for the contact pressure

$$p_N = \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

 $p_N \leq 0$.

Since for contact, $g_N = 0$, the contact pressure is always negative, $p_N < 0$, and further for non contact the gap is open, $g_N > 0$, and the contact pressure is zero, $p_N = 0$, the so called Kuhn-Tucker form of the contact constraints can be written as

$$g_N \ge 0\,, \quad p_N \le 0\,, \quad p_N \, g_N = 0\,.$$

These conditions are valid in a general way. The mathematical formulation of the gap depends upon the kinematics of the underlying theory of the solid (e.g., linear or nonlinear solid in two- or three dimensions, beam or shell model),

Complex forces and moments are transmitted between the bodies where they touch, so problems in contact mechanics can become quite sophisticated. Typically, a frame of reference is defined in which the objects (possibly in motion relative to one another) are static.

They interact through surface tractions (or pressures/stresses) at their interface. As an example, consider two objects which meet at some surface S in the (x,y)-plane. One of the bodies will experience a (normally-directed) pressure p = p(x,y) and (in-plane) surface traction q = q(x,y) over the region S. In terms of a Newtonian force balance, the forces:

$$P_z = \iint_S p(x,y) \, dS$$

and

$$Q_x = \iint_S q_x(x, y) \, dS$$
$$Q_y = \iint_S q_y(x, y) \, dS$$

must be equal and opposite to the forces established in the other body. The moments corresponding to these forces:

$$M_x = \iint_S p(x, y) y \, dS$$
$$M_y = -\iint_S p(x, y) x \, dS$$
$$M_z = \iint_S (q_y(x, y) x - q_x(x, y) y) \, dS$$

are also required to cancel between bodies so that they are kinematically immobile.

2.1.2 Modelling of Sliding Contacts

In this analysis, the sliding contact problem is modelled by using a square punch and a half plane. Geometry of the contact problem is shown in Figure 2.1 below. Note that the square punch has a dimension of of 2a x 2a. Pressure, p is applied on the upper side of the punch onto an elastically similar half-plane. In order to make the punch slides, shearing force, q is applied just enough to cause sliding [1].



Figure 2.1: Geometry of the contact

2.1.3 Failure at the Contact Interface

Contact problem are commonly found in engineering problems. These problems include splint joint between shafts, bolted connections. Specifically, in condition where sliding contact may occur, type of failure that will occur is fretting fatigue failure [2].

How this failure affected the components in contact is, they reduce the fatigue life and endurance limit of the mechanical components. One example was taken from an experiment of fretting fatigue failure involving titanium alloy.

2.2 Sliding Contact and its modelling in Analysis

Sliding contact is commonly found in many engineering problems. Examples of situation where sliding contact can occur are in shaft splint joints and bolted connections. In this analysis, the sliding contact is modelled by a sliding square-ended punch in contact with an elastically similar half plane. Both of that square punch and half plane are shown in the figure 2.1. There are some forces and moments need to be applied on the model so that it can make a contact and slides. Firstly, pressure need to be applied along the top line of the punch in order for it to touch with the surface of the half plane and make contact with it. Then a shearing force, q will be applied on the top line too just to make the punch slide along the half plane. In addition with this shearing force is the anti-clockwise moment which is also applied on the same line with the shearing force (Refer to Figure 2.1). This is to prevent the square punch from overturning [1].

During sliding of elastically similar complete contacts, there are tractions at the contacting surface. This can be modelled by a square block sliding along an elastically similar half plane, in the presence of friction.

2.3 Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

FEA uses a complex system of points called nodes which make a grid called a mesh. This mesh is programmed to contain the material and structural properties which define how the structure will react to certain loading conditions. Nodes are assigned at a certain density throughout the material depending on the anticipated stress levels of a particular area. Regions which will receive large amounts of stress usually have a higher node density than those which experience little or no stress. Points of interest may consist of: fracture point of previously tested material, fillets, corners, complex detail, and high stress areas. The mesh are interconnection between nodes and act like a spider web in that from each node, there extends a mesh element to each of the adjacent nodes. This web of vectors is what carries the material properties to the object, creating many elements.

2.3.1 Nonlinearity in contact analysis

Contact between two bodies exhibits nonlinear solutions. Nonlinear solutions means, in a structure being analyzed using finite element analysis, the loading causes significant changes in stiffness and the strains are beyond the elastic limit. There are two types of nonlinearities which are geometric and material nonlinearities. Geometric nonlinearities involve nonlinearities in kinematic quantities such as the strain-displacement relations in solids. Such nonlinearities can occur due to large displacements, large strains, large rotations, and so on. The contact problem under consideration can also be classified as a geometric nonlinearity because the area of contact is a function of the deformation.

2.4 Stress Analysis Using FEA Software – ANSYS

ANSYS will be used in this project. ANSYS is a comprehensive general-purpose finite element computer program that contains over 100,000 lines of code. ANSYS is capable of performing static, dynamic, heat transfer, fluid flow and electromagnetism analysis.

There are three processors that are used most frequently:

I. Preprocessor

The preprocessor contains the commands needed to create a finite element model. The process flows in this stage are as follows:

- a. Define element types and options
- b. Define element real constants
- c. Define material properties

At this point, physical properties of the material will be defined such as modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, thermal conductivity and others.

- d. Create model geometry
- e. Define meshing objects
- f. Mesh the object created

II. Processor

The next step involves applying appropriate boundary conditions and the proper loading. The solution processor has the commands that allow applying boundary conditions and loads. It includes:

- a. for structural problems: displacements, forces, pressures and temperature for thermal expansion.
- b. for thermal problems: temperatures, heat transfer rates, convection surfaces and internal heat generation.

III. Postprocessor

Postprocessors are available for review of the results.

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Methodologies for this project include:

- 1. Understanding the objective of this project by reading journal related to sliding of complete contacts.
- 2. There are two methods used; analytical which is Asymptotic Method and the other is Finite Element Method by using computer software, ANSYS. Finite Element Method will be adopted in this project
- 3. Study on how to use ANSYS
- 4. Modelling of the sliding complete contacts using ANSYS
- 5. Simulate the model generated
- 6. Analyze the data from simulation and come out with conclusion and recommendation if possible

3.2 Procedure Flow Chart

Process flow chart of this project is concisely illustrated below



Figure 3.1: Flow Chart of the Project

3.3 Contact Analysis

Initial analysis involves modeling and meshing of sliding complete contacts using ANSYS. The process requires creation of all the necessary environments, which are basically the preprocessing portions for each environment, and write them to memory. Then in the solution phase they can be combined to solve the coupled analysis.

In general, steps involved in contact analysis using ANSYS are:

- 1. Create the model geometry and mesh
- 2. Identify the contact pairs
- 3. Designate contact and target surface
- 4. Define the target surface
- 5. Define the contact surface
- 6. Set the element KEYOPTS and real constants
- 7. Apply necessary boundary conditions
- 8. Define solution options and load steps
- 9. Solve the contact problem
- 10. Review the result

Analysis of contact between the square punch and the elastically identical half plane is done using ANSYS, utilizing the contact element analysis to simulate how the reaction between the square punch and the half plane, specifically at the interface between those two bodies. There are three main steps in order to carry out the analyses which are:

- I. Preprocessing: Defining the problem
- II. Solution Phase: Assigning Loads and Solving
- III. Post processing: Viewing the result

In every main step, there are some sub-steps involved. The whole methodology in executing the contact analysis is described below:

I. Preprocessing: Defining the problem

• Modeling – Define areas

Preprocessor > Modeling > Create > Area > By Dimension

Table 3.3: Dimension of Contact Model

Element	Dimension
Half Plane	(X1=0, X2=20) (Y1=0, Y2=10)
Square Punch	(X1=9, X2=11) $(Y1=10, Y2=12)$

• Define Material Element Property

Preprocessor > Material Props > Material Models > Structural > Linear > Elastic > Isotropic

Table 3.4: Material Properties of Contact Model

Young's Modulus, EX:	115 GPa
Poisson's Ratio:	0.33

• Define Element Types

Preprocessor > Element Type > Add/Edit/Delete

For this analysis, we will use Structural Mass Solid, PLANE42 (Quad 4node 42) element. It is because this analysis is in 2D and the element has 2 degrees of freedom (DOF) at each node which means translation along the X and Y.

• Define Mesh Size

Preprocessor > Meshing > Size Controls > Manual Size > Areas > All Areas

For this analysis, an element having edge length of 1 unit is used but to get more accurate results, the element edge length is reduced to 0.1 units. Besides using manual size controls, automatic or smart size control also can be used.

Generate Mesh Frame

Preprocessor > Meshing > Mesh > Areas > Free > Pick All

• Create Contact Pair Using Contact Wizard

This is the important steps of analysis because, if the contact element is successfully generated, then only ANSYS can give result of the contact analysis during the post-processing. This step is considered critical in this analysis. Contact Wizard Window will appear and steps to follow in generating the contact element is:

Main Menu> Preprocessor > Modeling > Create Contact Pair

Define Boundary Constraint

Solution > Define Loads > Apply > Structural > Displacement > On Lines

Fix the bottom end of the half plane. All degrees of freedom are constrained, meaning that the translations in X and Y axes are having zero displacement.

Apply Loads / Pressure / Moment

Solution > Define Loads > Apply > Structural > Pressure > On Lines

Apply a pressure of 100 in Y-direction to the lines at the upper side of the square punch

Solution > Define Loads > Apply > Structural > force/moment > On Lines

Apply a shear force, q, whereby q = coefficient of friction, f x pressure, p in Xdirection to the lines at the upper left side of the square punch. Note that moments in both clockwise and counter-clockwise also applied to prevent the square punch from tilt from the half-plane.

• Set Solution Control

Solution > Analysis Type > Sol'n Control

• Solve the System

Solution > Solve > Current LS

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Result

4.1.1 Surface Stress Analysis

Based on the methodology used earlier, results from the analysis are shown below. The important parameters which need to be analyzed are:

- SY Stress in Y-direction
- SXY Shear Stress in XY-direction
- Contact Pressure (contpress) along the contact interface
- Frictional Stress (fricstress) along the contact interface

The characteristics and results of the analysis is shown on the following pages:



Figure 4.1: Solved Model



Figure 4.2: Distribution of contact pressure along the contact interface (f=0.0 until f=0.5)



Figure 4.3: Distribution of contact pressure along the contact interface (f=0.6 until f=1.0)



Figure 4.4: Distribution of contact frictional stress along the contact interface (f=0.0 until f=1.0)



Figure 4.5: Distribution of contact shear stress, sxy along the contact interface (f=0.0 until f=1.0)



Figure 4.6 Distribution of contact normal stress, sy along the contact interface (f=0.0 until f=1.0)

4.1.2 Subsurface stress analysis

Subsurface stress analysis is carried out in order to determine the stress distribution below the contacting surface focusing at the leading and trailing edge which is at the half plane. Figure 4.7 below shows the location of the subsurface region. Note that the concerned regions have refined elements.



Figure 4.7: Modelling of the subsurface region

As for the subsurface stress analysis, parameters analyzed were normal stress, σ and shear stress, τ only. In addition, only three values of coefficient of friction, f = 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8 were used. Contour plots were utilized to represent the distribution of stresses beneath the contact interface and were shown in the figures below.



4.1.3 Subsurface stress distribution for f = 0.2

Figure 4.8: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (leading edge; f = 0.2)



Figure 4.9: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.2)



Figure 4.10: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in Y-direction (leading edge; f = 0.2)



Figure 4.11: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.2)



Figure 4.12: Contour plot of shear stress distribution in XY-direction (leading edge; f = 0.2)



Figure 4.13: Contour plot of shear stress distribution in XY-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.2)

4.1.4 Subsurface Stress Distribution for f = 0.4



Figure 4.14: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (leading edge; f = 0.4)


Figure 4.15: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.4)



Figure 4.16: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in Y-direction (leading edge; f = 0.4)



Figure 4.17: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in Y-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.4)



Figure 4.18: Contour plot of shear stress distribution in XY-direction (leading edge; f = 0.4)



Figure 4.19: Contour plot of shear stress distribution in XY-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.4)

4.1.5 Subsurface Stress Distribution for f = 0.8



Figure 4.20: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (leading edge; f = 0.8)



Figure 4.21: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in X-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.8)



Figure 4.22: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in Y-direction (leading edge; f = 0.8)



Figure 4.23: Contour plot of normal stress distribution in Y-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.8)



Figure 4.24: Contour plot of shear stress distribution in XY-direction (trailing edge; f = 0.8)

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.0 Conclusion

Based on the results of the contact analysis using ANSYS, it can be concluded that the solution of the contact at its leading edge, and trailing edge which is represented by the graphs, show that the contact pressure and stress in Y-direction, have high values compared to the other point along the contact interface. This shows that two bodies having the same modulus of elasticity in contact and been given pressure on the square punch exhibit higher contact pressure and stress at the edge compared to the interior points between the leading and trailing edge.

But, for certain cases, the stress values at the trailing edge are lower than the center of the contact interface, this is probably because the square punch was slightly uplifted when the shearing force, q is applied to make it slide, thus, making the contact at that area not completely touched.

After analyzing the results, it can be concluded that these phenomena satisfy the theory of contacts between two bodies which are both elastically similar in which it stated that the contact pressure and stress distribution adjacent to the to the edge is significant influenced by the coefficient of friction [1].

Further analysis was carried out in order to find the sub-surface stresses just below the contact interface. It was found that, coefficient of friction, f also affecting the value of stress. Higher f, gives higher value of stress at the leading edge.

5.1 RECOMMENDATION

There is suggestion in order to make this analysis more precise which is comparing results of the analysis using Finite Element Method software, ANSYS with the analytical method.

In order to improve the results of the analysis, recommendations for future analysis for this project is refining the meshing on the interface between the square punch and the half plane to get more precise value of the contact pressure and contact frictional stresses.

REFERENCES

[1] Saravanan Karuppanan, Churchman, C.H, and Hills, D.A, 2008, "Sliding frictional contact between a square block and an elastically similar half-plane," European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids 27: 443-459.

[2] Churchman, C.H, and Hills, D.A, 2008, "Asymptotic results for slipping complete Frictional contacts," *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids* 22: 793-800.

[3] Johnson K.L 1985, Contact Mechanics, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

[4] Seshu P. 2006, *Textbook of Finite Element Analysis*, New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited.

[5] Y.J Xie, D.A Hills, 2003, "Crack Initiation at Contact Surface," Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics 40: 279-283.

APPENDIX 4.1

f = 0.0

Distance

_		_
(x/a)	

a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	19.997	-218.13	-10.999	194.96	0
0.9	26.707	-114	-5.5253	124.85	0
0.8	31.038	-107.39	3.21E-02	106.58	0
0.7	27.539	-97.89	8.52E-02	98.734	0
0.6	25.885	-93.572	3.04E-02 -7.33E-	93.753	0
0.5	25.055	-90.283	03 -2.58E-	90.477	0
0.4	24.604	-88.113	02 - 2.99E -	88.222	0
0.3	24.365	-86.596	02 -2 . 46E-	86.681	0
0.2	24.239	-85.61	02 -1.38E-	85.673	0
0.1	24.181	-85.049	02 -2.16E-	85.102	0
0	24.164	-84.866	04	84.917	0
-0.1	24.182	-85.048	1.33E-02	85.101	0
-0.2	24.242	-85.608	2.40E-02	85.671	0
-0.3	24.368	-86.593	2.95E-02	86.678	0
-0.4	24.609	-88.109	2.55E-02	88.219	0
-0.5	25.06	-90.278	7.20E-03 -3.03E-	90.473	0
-0.6	25.89	-93.569	02 -8.50E-	93.749	0
-0.7	27.544	-97.887	02 -3.18E-	98.731	0
-0.8	31.043	-107.39	02	106.58	0
-0.9	26.711	-114.01	5.5261	124.85	0
- 1	20	-176.49	11	194.97	0

f = 0.1 Distance					
(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	14.391	-191.73	-11.606	173.56	4.3248
0.9	18.876	-101.32	-6.7802	110.93	3.0958
0.8	20.957	-97.339	-1.4739	96.174	1.9422
0.7	17.371	-90.316	-0.58928	90.609	0.94775
0.6	15.54	-87.659	0.22273	87.368	3.93E-02
0.5	14.442	-85.756	1.0398	85.488	-0.8225
0.4	13.651	-84.724	1.8584	84.392	-1.6552
0.3	13.013	-84.177	2.6768	83.831	-2.4705
0.2	12.445	-84.027	3.4931	83.671	-3.2763
0.1	11.915	-84.205	4.306	83.849	-4.0785
0	11.417	-84.693	5.1148	84.343	-4.883
-0.1	10.97	-85.507	5.9184	85.17	-5.6955
-0.2	10.614	-86.698	6.7154	86.382	-6.5224
-0.3	10.424	-88.351	7.5023	88.07	-7.372
-0.4	10.535	-90.62	8.267	90.39	-8.2553
-0.5	11.265	-93.681	8.9696	93.598	-9.1887
-0.6	12.536	-98.181	9.5848	98.126	-9.8126
-0.7	14.506	-104.13	10.152	104.94	-10.494
-0.8	18.739	-116.74	10.854	115.55	-11.555
-0.9	18.667	-125.52	16.805	141.13	-14.111
-1	15.641	-260.99	22.334	238.44	-15.99

f = 0.2 Distance (x/a)

MINEE					
'a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	10.55	-162.48	-10.083	148.28	5.0294
0.9	13.847	-88.843	-5.797	96.596	3.116
0.8	15.02	-87.367	-0.78072	86.003	1.3462
0.7	11.804	-82.913	0.61538	82.799	-0.17118
0.6	10.032	-81.918	1.9438	81.325	-1.5604
0.5	8.7911	-81.4	3.2656	80.837	-2.8863
0.4	7.7148	-81.495	4.582	80.884	-4.1754
0.3	6.6722	-81.907	5.8952	81.289	-5.4463
0.2	5.5995	-82,584	7,2058	81.961	-6.7105
0.1	4.4774	-83,491	8.5146	82.873	-7.9772
0	3.3145	-84.643	9.823	84.035	-9.2565
-0.1	2.1435	-86.088	11.133	85.495	-10.558
-0.2	1.022	-87.91	12.449	87.338	-11.895
-0.3	4.03E-02	-90.237	13.775	89.699	-13.287
-0.4	-0.66651	-93,286	15.12	92.784	-14.759
-0.5	-0.87165	-97.308	16.49	96.93	-16.346
-0.6	-0.21151	-103.07	17.891	102.68	-18.107
-0.7	2.2613	-110.56	19.264	111.26	-20.131
-0.8	8.786	-126	20.587	124.52	-22.603
-0.9	12.436	-136.92	26.844	156.92	-25.909
-1	11.434	-301.63	32.442	279.27	-30.398

f = 0.3 Distance					
(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	8.1657	-131.3	-7.1027	120.34	3.1052
0.9	10.931	-76.466	-3.3116	81.981	1.0924
0.8	11.976	-77.427	1.3451	75.981	-0.85506
0.7	9.2939	-75.617	3.0206	75.196	-2.5639
0.6	7.6208	-76.296	4.6372	75.508	-4.164
0.5	6.2338	-77.171	6.2521	76.409	-5.7225
0.4	4.8528	-78.395	7.8727	77.595	-7.2639
0.3	3.3789	-79.761	9.5017	78.956	-8.804
0.2	1.7678	-81.261	11.139	80.453	-10.353
0.1	1.40E-02	-82.893	12.784	82.091	-11.919
0	-1.8612	-84.708	14.437	83.916	-13.513
-0.1	-3.8096	-86.779	16.104	86.004	-15.148
-0.2	-5,7572	-89.23	17 .79	88.475	-16.844
-0.3	-7.5922	-92.227	19.508	91.505	-18.629
-0.4	-9.1485	-96.042	21.277	95.351	-20.546
-0.5	-10.153	-100.99	23.118	100.42	-22.657
-0.6	-10.165	-107.98	25.06	107.38	-25.067
-0.7	-8,0262	-117.05	27.048	117.67	-27.936
-0.8	-0.96716	-135.36	29.05	133.48	-31.602
-0.9	5.3657	-148.39	35.976	172.28	-36.803
-]	6.4694	-340.61	41.888	318.35	-44.508

f = 0.4

Distance

Distance					
(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	6.9926	-98.974	-3.1665	90.739	-0.7016
0.9	9.627	-64.165	0.13192	67.232	-2.2326
0.8	3 10.97	-67.504	4.3345	66.074	-3.9927
0.7	8.819	-68.382	6.1073	67.727	-5.6473
0.6	7.1846	-70.745	7.8513	69.834	-7.2767
0.5	5.5814	-73.017	9,6202	72.12	-8.9213
0.4	3.8279	-75.369	11.425	74.436	-10.592
0.3	1.8597	-77.689	13.263	76.747	-12.294
0.2	-0.34676	-80.008	15.13	79.06	-14.031
0.1	-2.7839	-82.364	17.02	81.421	-15.805
C) -5.4226	-84.835	18.933	83.902	-17.624
-0.1	-8.2066	-87.529	20.87	86.613	-19.504
-0.2	-11.048	-90.605	22.843	89.708	-21.468
-0.3	-13.819	-94.268	24.871	93.401	-23.554
-0.4	-16.334	-98.849	26.984	98.004	-25.823
-0.5	-18.283	-104.72	29.225	104	-28.369
-0.6	6 -19.164	-112.94	31.65	112.14	-31.344
-0.7	-17.638	-123.57	34.224	124.13	-34.993
-0.8	3 -10.444	-144.73	36.936	142.42	-39.843
-0.9	-1.9506	-159.87	44.667	187.41	-47.065
-1	0.97351	-378.72	50.992	356.52	-58.54

f = 0.5	

Distance					
(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	6.9926	-98.974	-3.1665	90.739	-0.7016
0.9	9.627	-64.165	0.13192	67.232	-2.2326
0.8	10.97	-67.504	4.3345	66.074	-3.9927
0.7	8.819	-68.382	6.1073	67.727	-5.6473
0.6	7.1846	-70.745	7.8513	69.834	-7.2767
0.5	5.5814	-73.017	9.6202	72.12	-8.9213
0.4	3.8279	-75.369	11.425	74.436	-10.592
0.3	1.8597	-77.689	13.263	76.747	-12.294
0.2	-0.34676	-80.008	15.13	79.06	-14.031
0.1	-2.7839	-82.364	17.02	81.421	-15.805
0	-5.4226	-84.835	18.933	83.902	-17.624
-0.1	-8.2066	-87.529	20.87	86.613	-19.504
-0.2	-11.048	-90.605	22.843	89.708	-21.468
-0.3	-13.819	-94.268	24.871	93.401	-23.554
-0.4	-16.334	-98.849	26.984	98.004	-25.823
-0.5	-18.283	-104.72	29.225	104	-28.369
-0.6	-19.164	-112.94	31.65	112.14	-31.344
-0.7	-17.638	-123.57	34.224	124.13	-34.993
-0.8	-10.444	-144.73	36.936	142.42	-39.843
-0.9	-1.9506	-159.87	44.667	187.41	-47.065
-1	0.97351	-378.72	50.992	356.52	-58.54

f = 0.6					
Distance(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	7.7027	-32.216	6.6392	28.314	-12.493
0.9	10.76	-39.699	8.8941	37.541	-11.384
0.8	13.275	-47.676	11.901	46.51	-11.894
0.7	11.922	-54.024	13.457	53.063	-12.924
0.6	10.061	-59.779	15.13	58.761	-14.263
0.5	7.7571	-64.859	16.948	63.802	-15.826
0.4	5.0416	-69.464	18.911	68.361	-17.554
0.3	1.9124	-73.69	20.99	72.555	-19.415
0.2	-1.6209	-77.639	23.159	76.488	-21.379
0.1	-5.5397	-81.432	25.395	80. <u>2</u> 77	-23.43
0	-9.8044	-85.208	27.686	84.061	-25.565
-0.1	-14.348	-89.139	30.029	88.008	-27.795
-0.2	-19.075	-93.453	32.438	92.341	-30.147
-0.3	-23.842	-98.442	34.944	97.353	-32.68
-0.4	-28.441	-104.54	37.607	103.45	-35.486
-0.5	-32.522	-112.25	40.513	111.27	-38.725
-0.6	-35.505	-122.91	43.795	121.77	-42.66
-0.7	-35.758	-136.68	47.489	137.08	-47.734
-0.8	-29.169	-163.47	51.698	160.23	-54.916
-0.9	-17.524	-182.82	61.34	217.21	-66.484
-1	-11.592	-453.42	68.712	431.41	-86.984

f = 0.7 Distance					
(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	-12.524	-0.28863	7.7693	2.0514	-1.436
0.9	-5.5475	-27.848	10.917	21.91	-15.337
0.8	5.686	-37.878	15.511	36.062	-18.516
0.7	10.426	-46.56	17.829	45.324	-18.324
0.6	10.238	-53.985	19.537	52.917	-19.022
0.5	8.3602	-60.51	21.297	59.408	-20.229
0.4	5.5679	-66.289	23.236	65.141	-21.775
0.3	2.0903	-71.505	25.339	70.313	-23.557
0.2	-1.9672	-76.305	27.573	75.088	-25.515
0.1	-6.5354	-80.844	29.907	79.617	-27.609
0	-11.55	-85.296	32.318	84.073	-29.82
-0.1	-16.934	-89.867	34.801	88.659	-32.148
-0.2	-22.583	-94.822	37.367	93.63	-34.625
-0.3	-28.347	-100.49	40.054	99.319	-37.308
-0.4	-34.016	-107.37	42.934	106.19	-40.314
-0.5	-39.223	-116.03	46.119	114.94	-43.827
-0.6	-43.37	-127.93	49.787	126.63	-48.173
-0.7	-44.71	-143.3	54.019	143.64	-53.901
-0.8	-38.749	-172.98	59.006	169.23	-62.237
-0.9	-25.948	-194.48	69.718	232.25	-76.116
-1	-18.728	-491.13	77.693	469.28	-101.86

f =	8.0	•		

Distance

σχ		σχγ	contpres	contsfri
0.1488	02	0.71141	0.10086	-0.10758
-9.7936	-3,5632	6.9241	0.84049	-0.67239
-17.803	-27.685	16.622	22.513	-18.01
-6.4385	-38.692	22.21	36.219	-28.456
4.8243	-47.377	25.306	45.989	-26.865
6.2606	-55.287	27.128	54.186	-26.881
4.5443	-62.385	28.879	61.251	-27.723
1.3244	-68.707	30.831	67.527	-29.086
-2.9049	-74.465	32.978	73.24	-30.792
-7.9083	-79.843	35.282	78.593	-32.748
-13.541	-85.052	37.71	83.796	-34.894
-19.681	-90.337	40.245	89.087	-37.215
-26.203	-95.998	42.895	94.758	-39.723
-32.946	-102.42	45.7	101.19	-42.485
-39.686	-110.14	48.745	108.89	-45.621
-46.054	-119.82	52.166	118.64	-49.351
-51.435	-133.07	56.185	131.62	-54.058
-53.975	-150.15	60.941	150.42	-60.407
-48.818	-182.89	66.724	178.61	-69.898
-35.032	-206.71	78.574	248.02	-86.214
-26.588	-530.84	87.201	509.2	-117.8
	0.1488 -9.7936 -17.803 -6.4385 4.8243 6.2606 4.5443 1.3244 -2.9049 -7.9083 -13.541 -19.681 -26.203 -32.946 -39.686 -46.054 -51.435 -53.975 -48.818 -35.032	-1.26E- 0.1488 02 -9.7936 -3.5632 -17.803 -27.685 -6.4385 -38.692 4.8243 -47.377 6.2606 -55.287 4.5443 -62.385 1.3244 -68.707 -2.9049 -74.465 -7.9083 -79.843 -13.541 -85.052 -19.681 -90.337 -26.203 -95.998 -32.946 -102.42 -39.686 -110.14 -46.054 -119.82 -51.435 -133.07 -53.975 -150.15 -48.818 -182.89 -35.032 -206.71	-1.26E- 0.1488020.71141-9.7936-3.56326.9241-17.803-27.68516.622-6.4385-38.69222.214.8243-47.37725.3066.2606-55.28727.1284.5443-62.38528.8791.3244-68.70730.831-2.9049-74.46532.978-7.9083-79.84335.282-13.541-85.05237.71-19.681-90.33740.245-26.203-95.99842.895-32.946-102.4245.7-39.686-110.1448.745-46.054-119.8252.166-51.435-133.0756.185-53.975-150.1560.941-48.818-182.8966.724-35.032-206.7178.574	-1.26E-0.1488020.711410.10086-9.7936-3.56326.92410.84049-17.803-27.68516.62222.513-6.4385-38.69222.2136.2194.8243-47.37725.30645.9896.2606-55.28727.12854.1864.5443-62.38528.87961.2511.3244-68.70730.83167.527-2.9049-74.46532.97873.24-7.9083-79.84335.28278.593-13.541-85.05237.7183.796-19.681-90.33740.24589.087-26.203-95.99842.89594.758-32.946-102.4245.7101.19-39.686-110.1448.745108.89-46.054-119.8252.166118.64-51.435-133.0756.185131.62-53.975-150.1560.941150.42-48.818-182.8966.724178.61-35.032-206.7178.574248.02

f = 0.9 **Distance**

(x/a)

a)	σχ	G 1/	A YM	contpres	contsfri
-		σγ	σχγ	=	
1	1.6256	2.1269	-0.61563	0	0
0.9	2.1919	-2.7603	0.52534	-0.14756	0
0.8	-11.485	-0.24387	9.4191	-2.4593	-0.39555
0.7	-27.826	-28.053	21.247	21.975	-19.778
0.6	-22.91	-40.386	28.55	36.889	-33.2
0.5	-8.786	-49.486	33.562	47.352	-38,449
0.4	-0.9832	-57.415	36.259	56.169	-36.916
0.3	-1.5637	-64.908	38.043	63.798	-36.99
0.2	-5.0327	-71 .78 8	39.893	70.637	-37.917
0.1	-10.022	-78,152	41.965	76.959	-39.377
0	-16.042	-84.255	44.239	83.032	-41.211
-0.1	-22.823	-90.372	46.688	89.137	-43.334
-0.2	-30.173	-96.8 52	49.31	95.611	-45.732
-0.3	-37.897	-104.12	52.143	102 <i>.</i> 88	-48.455
-0.4	-45.764	-112.81	55.282	111.52	-51.627
-0.5	-53.398	-123.62	58.886	122.38	-55.495
-0.6	-60.185	-138.35	63.23	136.76	-60.506
-0.7	-64.206	-157.31	68.521	157.53	-67.438
-0.8	-60.342	-193.43	75.2	188.54	-78.12
-0.9	-46.232	-219.81	88.42	264.89	-97.175
-1	-36.914	-573.89	97.849	552.78	-136.56

f = 1.0 **Distance**

(x/a)	σχ	σγ	σχγ	contpres	contsfri
1	1.7001	1.5707	-0.88381	0	0
0.9	3.0951	-0.46335	-0.51892	0	0
0.8	3.4441	-2.6431	1.8364	0	0
0.7	-11.986	-3.6163	10.215	0.32675	-0.32675
0.6	-35.766	-22.244	23.03	16.337	-16.337
0.5	-42.798	-41.037	34.151	35.504	-35.504
0.4	-31.506	-51.553	42.09	48.054	-48.054
0.3	-16.833	-59.804	46.916	57.882	-50.918
0.2	-11.408	-67.529	49.343	66.385	-49.145
0.1	-13.991	-75.093	51.036	74.054	-49.089
0	-19.456	-82.366	52.863	81.288	-49.967
-0.1	-26.449	-89.57	54.962	88.451	-51.465
-0.2	-34.431	-97.102	57.342	95.943	-53.448
-0.3	-43.064	-105.45	60.033	104.26	-55.912
-0.4	-52.046	-115.31	63.131	114.03	-58.959
-0.5	-60.971	-127.48	66.814	126.23	-62.843
-0.6	-69.215	-143.94	71.404	142.27	-68.066
-0.7	-74.75	-165.09	77.167	165.31	-75.535
-0.8	-72.091	-205.04	84.682	199.58	-87.403
-0.9	-57.317	-234.4	99.225	283.81	-109.26
-1	-46.877	-622.12	109.44	601.24	-156.2