CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Solubility in New Synthesized Ionic Liquid, 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane dioctylsulfosuccinate

by

Istiazah Ab. Aziz

A project dissertation submitted to the Chemical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (CHEMICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by (A.P Dr. M. Azmi Bustam@Khalil) AP, Dr. Mohamad Azmi Sustam@Khaiii sc. Professor Chemical Engineering Programmi

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

TRONOH, PERAK

January 2010

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

ISTIAZAH AB. AZIZ

ACKNOWLEGDEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to all who helped us throughout this project. First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor AP. Dr. Azmi Bustam, who has guided me while conducting this project. I also acknowledge Mr. Abu Bakr, Phd student and Ms. Naimatul Hani, Research Officer, who have supported me in my experimental work. I hope that this project will be useful for people who contributed as it has been a great venture for all of us.

ABSTRACT

Due to the potential of ionic liquids (ILs) for industrial application in carbon dioxide (CO_2) capture and gas separation processes, solubility of near or supercritical CO_2 in ionic liquids has been an extensive research during the last few years. This work studies the solubility of CO_2 in phosphonium-based ionic liquids that, unlike imidazolium-based ILs, have received little attention in spite of their interesting characteristics.

This work addresses the study of solubility of CO_2 in phosphonium-based ionic liquid, at temperature (298.15, 303.15 and 308.15) K and pressure ranging from 15-25 bars. Phosphonium-based ionic liquids have attractive characteristics such as negligible vapor pressures, high thermal stability, large liquidus range and nonflammability, high solvation capacity, compatibility with strong alkaline solutes, stabilizing effect on palladium catalysts, effective media for Heck and Suzuki reactions and their low cost. [4]

Removal of CO_2 in natural gas and other gas sources commonly used chemical solvents comprise amine solutions. Commercial amine solutions useable for this purpose include monoethanolamine (MEA), N-methyldiethanolamine (MDEA), and diethanolamine (DEA). Although an effective CO_2 separation process, amine treating presents several issues and challenges. The present invention provides new data of the alternative solvent for CO_2 removal process which is phosphonium-based ionic liquid.

The solubility measurement is using experimental approach. The experimental setup is established and leakage test is run to confirm the setup is really efficient. 2.00 g of the ionic liquid was put in the pressure cell directly from the oven, and immediately the pressure cell is closed and attached to the unit. Valve a, V_a is closed while valve b, V_b and valve c, V_c are opened. The unit was connected to a vacuum pump and the system is evacuated for 30 minutes. CO₂ gas is introduced to the system by opening V_a . (The equilibrium condition was judged when the pressure was unchanged). The amount of gas introduced is determined using PVT relation by pressure measurement. V_b is opened

so that ionic liquid sample could be in contact with CO_2 gas. After equilibrium as indicated by negligible pressure change, the pressure is measured again to determine the amount of CO_2 gas left in vapor phase. The different in the amount of CO_2 gas is taken as the amount of CO_2 dissolves.

Solubility of CO_2 gas in ionic liquid is determined based on CO_2 gas molality and the mole fraction between the number of moles of CO_2 gas absorbed in ionic liquid and the number of moles of ionic liquid used in the experiment. The relationship between pressure and mole fraction of CO_2 gas in ionic liquid is analyzed. Henry's constant of CO_2 in this phosphonium-based ionic liquid also was determined and graph of Henry's constant versus inverse temperature is plotted.

Finally, new experimental results for the solubility of CO_2 in the new synthesized ionic liquid [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate are presented for temperatures 298.15 K, 303.15 K, and 308.15 K and pressures ranging from 15 to 25 bars. Henry's law constants are determined from that solubility pressure data. The solubility pressures were correlated by means of extended Henry's law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		i
		ii
ABSTRACT		iii
CHAPTER 1: IN	TRODUCTION	
1.2	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives and Scope of Study	4
CHAPTER 2: LI	TERATURE REVIEW	5
CHAPTER 3: MI	ETHODOLOGY	
3.1	Solubility Measurement	9
3.2	Establishment of Experimental Setup	10
3.3	Research Methodology	18
CHAPTER 4: RE	SULTS AND DISSCUSSIONS	
4.1	Experimental Setup Leakage Test	26
4.2	Determination of Volume 1, V ₁	28
4.3	Solubility Measurement	32
CONCLUSION		40
REFERENCES		41

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Characteristic of Modern Ionic Liquid	7
Table 4.1: Solubility Data at Different Temperatures	32
Table 4.2: Henry's Law Constant vs Temperature Data	38

vii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Structure of 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane			
dioctylsulfosuccinate			
Figure 3.7: Schematic Diagram of the Experimental Apparatus	10		
Figure 3.1: Top-view of Pressure Cell Design			
Figure 3.2: Pressure Cell Cover Design Cell	13		
Figure 3.3: Stainless Steel Pressure	14		
Figure 3.4: Inner View of Stainless Steel Pressure Cell	15		
Figure 3.5: Frame Work the Experimental Setup	16		
Figure 3.6: Overall Experimental Setup	17		
Figure 3.8: Volume 1, V_1 (V_a to V_b)	19		
Figure 3.9: Volume 2, V_2 (V_a to V_c)	19		
Figure 3.10: Henry's Law and Raoult's Law Graphs	24		
Figure 4.1: Spectroscopy for Ionic Liquid without CO ₂	27		
Figure 4.2: Spectroscopy for Ionic Liquid contacted with CO ₂	28		
Figure 4.3: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Mol Fraction at T=298.15 K	33		
Figure 4.4: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Molality at T=298.15 K	33		
Figure 4.5: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Mol Fraction at T=303.15 K	34		
Figure 4.6: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Molality at T=303.15 K			
Figure 4.7: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Mol Fraction at T=308.15 K			
Figure 4.8: Graph Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Molality at T=308.15 K			
Figure 4.9: Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Mol Fraction at T= 298.15 K, T=303.15 K			
and T=308.15 K			
Figure 4.10: Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Molality at T=298.15 K, T=303.15 K and	36		
T=308.15 K			
Figure 4.11: Comparison with Literature Data for Pressure vs CO ₂ Gas Mol	37		
Fraction at T=298.15 K			
Figure 4.12: Henry's Law Constant vs Temperature			
Figure 4.13: The Logarithms of the Henry's Law Constant vs Inverse			

viii

Temperature

Figure 4.14: Comparison with Literature Data for Logarithms of the Henry's40Law Constant vs Inverse Temperature

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Recently, ionic liquids (ILs) have attracted much attention due to their unique properties; for example, they have an extremely low vapor pressure, are nonflammable, have excellent solvent power for organic and inorganic compounds, and are easily modified structurally to elicit desired physical properties. Moreover their insignificant vapour pressures, reduces environmental pollution and highly reduces working exposure hazards in comparison with the conventional organic solvents currently being used in the industry.

In the purpose of enhancing sour gases solubility and ultimately replace the hazard volatile organic compounds (VOCs), many researchers have wisely adapted ionic liquids (ILs) to accomplish such task. With the exception of this last type of ILs, where chemical interactions dominate the sorption mechanism, in most ionic liquids the gas solubility is simply driven by a physical absorption mechanism. Among the ILs previously reported in the literature the imidazolium-based ionic liquids, especially those with fluorinated anions such as the bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, NTf_2 , and bis(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate, pFAP, are the ones with the highest CO₂ solubilities reported [1,2,3].

In spite of their attractive characteristics, such as negligible vapor pressures, high thermal stability, large liquidus range and nonflammability, high solvation capacity, compatibility with strong alkaline solutes, stabilizing effect on palladium catalysts, effective media for Heck and Suzuki reactions and their low cost [4], the phosphonium-based ionic liquids have received surprisingly little attention in the last few years [5, 6,7]. Some authors have focused their study on the solubility of alcohols [8], alkanes and alkenes [9,10], or even on methane [11], carbon monoxide [12] or oxygen [11] in

phosphonium ILs but few have reported solubilities of carbon dioxide in these ILs [5,9,13].

Ferguson and Scovazzo [14] have shown that imidazolium and phosphoniumbased ILs have similar solubilities for several gases. Their study stated that gas solubility of the phosphonium-based ionic liquids is of the same magnitude as the gas solubility for the most familiar imidazolium-based ionic liquids. Henry's law constants in both the imidazolium- and phosphonium-based ionic liquids are similar for carbon dioxide (CO_2) solubility. In imidazolium-based room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs), the solubility increases as the number of solute carbons increases and when the number of carbon double bonds increases for the hydrocarbon solutes with the same number of carbons. This trend is also observed in phosphonium-based RTILs [14].

Anthony and coworkers [15] suggested that the nature of the anion has the most significant influence on the gas solubility. Hutchings et al. [16] have reported that, at subcritical temperatures, several phosphonium ionic liquids would completely dissolve as soon as all the CO_2 condensed and that the isopleths for these systems follow the vapor pressure curve of the pure CO_2 .

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In the recent past, the amount of experimental and theoretical work on ionic liquids has increased considerably. However, for phosphonium-based ionic liquid there are less well documented academic papers. Phosphonium-based ionic liquids are more thermally stable than imidazolium-based ionic liquids and less expensive to manufacture [17]. So, phosphonium-based ionic liquids are potential to be used to absorb CO_2 instead of using immidazolium-based ionic liquids in the future.

To my knowledge, there is no reported work on the solubility of CO_2 in 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane dioctylsulfosuccinate phosphonium-based ionic liquid. Therefore, new solubility data of this phosphonium-based is really important

because solubility is fundamental to most areas of chemistry. Solubility is also one of the most basic of thermodynamic properties. It underlies most industrial processes such as removal of CO_2 in natural gas and other gas sources.

The removal of CO_2 is often required to improve the fuel quality (heating value) of the natural gas. Also, CO_2 in the present of water can be corrosive agent to metal pipes. As a consequence, the removal of CO_2 to acceptable specifications is required prior to transport natural gas or in pipeline. In the natural gas processing industry, various technologies have been employed for CO_2 removal including chemical solvents, physical solvents, and membranes.

By far, chemical solvents that reversibly react with CO_2 are most commonly used for CO_2 removal. Commonly used chemical solvents comprise amine solutions. Commercial amine solutions useable for this purpose include monoethanolamine (MEA), N-methyldiethanolamine (MDEA), and diethanolamine (DEA). In this process, the amine solution (amine and water) circulate in a loop between two key steps: absortionof CO_2 and regeneration of solvent.

Although an effective CO₂ separation process, amine treating presents several issues and challenges as stated below [18]:

- 1. Intensive energy required during the regeneration step to break the chemical bonds between the absorbed CO_2 and solvent. Energy is also required to generate steam within the amine regenerator to strip the CO_2 from the solvent.
- 2. Corrosivity of the amine. Amines can rapidly corrode low alloy steel such as carbon steel.
- 3. CO₂ loading capacity is limited by concentration (or dilutes) of the amine solution.
- 4. Degradation of amine. Amines react with CO₂ (and H₂S, COS, etc) to form various different products that are not reversible in the regeneration step. Amine also degrades thermally.

The limitations of the physical and chemical processes discussed above it would be desirable to have a CO_2 removal process with other chemical solvent that require low energy for regeneration, low solvent losses, minimal corrosion problems, high loading capacity, and thermally stable. The present invention provides new data of the alternative solvent for CO_2 removal process which is phosphonium-based ionic liquid.

1.3 OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF STUDY

The objective of this study is to measure the solubility of CO_2 gas in new synthesized ionic liquid known as 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane dioctylsulfosuccinate $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate. Molecular formula for this ionic liquid is $[(C_8H_{17})_3P^+-(CH_2)_{10}-P^+(C_8H_{17})_32C\Gamma]$.



Figure 1.1: Structure of 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane dioctylsulfosuccinate

The new experimental data for the solubility of CO_2 gas in 1, 10-bis (trioctylphosphonium-1-yl) decane dioctylsulfosuccinate phosphonium is taken at temperature (298.15 to 308.15) K and pressures ranging from (15 to 25) bar. Henry's constant of CO_2 in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate is determined. The solubility of CO_2 gas in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate is determined based on the mole fraction between the number of moles of CO_2 gas absorbed in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate and the number of moles of CO_2 gas absorbed in this experiment and also the CO_2 molality.

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 IONIC LIQUID

Room Temperature Ionic Liquids (RTILs) possess a unique array of physicochemical properties that make them suitable in numerous task-specific applications in which conventional solvents are non-applicable or insufficiently effective. Such properties include [19]:

- High thermal stability,
- High electrical conductivity,
- Large electrochemical window,
- Low nucleophilicity and capability of providing weekly coordinating or non-coordinating environment,
- Very good solvents properties for a wide variety of organic, inorganic and organometallic compounds: in some cases, the solubility of certain solutes in RTILs can be several orders of magnitude higher than that in traditional solvents.

In most of these applications, the stability of ionic liquids, at least at a certain extent, is crucial for optimum process performance. Several studies have indicated that, although not 100% inert, certain ionic liquids incorporating 1,3-dialkyl imidazolium cations are generally more resistant than traditional solvents under certain harsh process conditions, such as those occurring in oxidation, photolysis and radiation processes [19].

2.1.1 Color

High quality ionic liquids incorporating $[bmim]^+$ cation and a variety of anions, such as $[PF_6]^-$, $[BF_4]^-$, $[CF_3SO_3]^-$, $[CF_3CO_2]^-$ and $[(CF_3SO_2)_2N]^-$ have been reported to be colorless, even though they are not 100% pure. The color of less pure ionic liquids generally ranges from yellowish to orange. The formation of the color has been

attributed to the use of raw materials with color or excessive heating during the synthesis of imidazolium salt. A number of precautions for synthesis of colorless ionic liquids have been described, and a procedure for removal of color from impure ionic liquids using acidic alumina and activated charcoal has also been proposed [19].

2.1.2 Hygroscopicity

The water content has an influence on the viscosity of the ionic liquids. Viscosity measurement indicates that ionic liquids became less viscous with increasing water content. Hydrolysis problems can also occur. [19]

2.1.3 Hydrophopicity

The degree of polarity can be varied by adapting the length of the 1-alkyl chain (in 1,3-substituted imidazolium cations), and the counterion. Long-chain IL salts have attracted some interest due to their liquid-crystalline (LC) properties. The anion chemistry has a large influence on the properties of IL. Though little variation in properties might be expected between same-cation salts of these species, the actual differences can be dramatic: for example, $[bmim]PF_6^-$ is immiscible with water, whereas $[bmim]BF_4^-$ is water-soluble [19].

The recent interest surrounding ILs in regards to Green Chemistry and the associated development of new solvents and alternative technologies has largely been a result of their negligible vapour pressure which inhibits evaporation into the air and allows simple recycling and reuse. The missing vapour pressure has very important advantages compared to other volatile organic chemicals (VOCs). Therefore ionic liquids are not flammable and not explosive and a long range distribution via air can not be expected [19].

A salt	Cation or anion quite large
Freezing Point	Preferably below 100°C
Liquidus Range	$Often > 200^{\circ}C$
Thermal Stability	Usually High
Viscosity	Normally < 100cp, workable
Dielectric Constant	Implied <= 30
Polarity	Moderate
Specific Conductivity	Usually < 10 mScm ⁻¹ , "Good"
Molar Conductivity	$< 10 \text{ Scm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$
Electrochemical window	< 2V, even 4.5 V, except for Bronsted acidic system
Solvent and/or catalyst	Excellent for many organic reactions
Vapor pressure	Usually negligible

Table 2.1: Characteristic of Modern Ionic Liquid [20]

2.2 CO₂ SOLUBILITY IN PHOSPHONIUM-BASED IONIC LIQUIDS

There are few studies about solubility of CO_2 in phosphonium-based ionic liquids. Suojiang Zhang *et al.* has studied the solubility of CO_2 in two sulfonate ionic liquids with have phosphonium cations [21]. The study mainly focuses on how difference in anions can contribute to the solubility of CO_2 . The anions that have been used are dodecylbenzenesulfonates and mesylate.

In this paper, the solubility data of CO_2 in sulfonate ionic liquids, such as trihexyl (tetradecyl) phosphonium dodecylbenzenesulfonates ($[P_{6,6,6,14}][C_{12}H_{25}PhSO_3]$) and trihexyl (tetradecyl) phosphonium mesylate ($[P_{6,6,6,14}][MeSO_3]$), at temperatures ranging from (305 to 325) K and pressures ranging from (4 to 9) MPa were presented. The effects of temperature and pressure on the solubility of CO_2 were discussed. The measured data were correlated by the extended Henry's law. The thermodynamic properties of IL-CO₂ systems, such as the standard enthalpy, standard Gibbs free energy, and standard entropy, were obtained [21].

The results from this study shown the Henry's law constants at different temperature presented. It can be seen that the Henry's law constant of all the studied systems increases with increasing temperature, which indicates the solubility of CO_2 decreases with increasing temperature. At a given temperature, the magnitude of the Henry's law constants of CO_2 dissolved in ILs follows the sequence $[P_{6,6,6,14}][C_{12}H_{25}PhSO_3] > [P_{6,6,6,14}][MeSO_3]$ [21].

2.3 COMPARISON BETWENN PHOSPHONIUM-BASED AND IMIDAZOLIUM-BASED IONIC LIQUIDS

2.3.1 Thermal Stability

Phosphonium-based ionic liquids are found to be thermally more stable than imidazolium-based ionic liquids [22]. While the thermal decomposition point of neat ionic liquids varies depending on the anion, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) indicated dynamic thermal stability in excess of 300°C for phosphonium-based ionic liquids [22] and 250°C for most imidazolium-based ionic liquids [23]. The TGA data reported are often not fully indicative since it shows only a dynamic property of the ionic liquid where the ionic liquid is heated for short period of time. Hence, to find the thermal stability of the phosphonium-based ionic liquids, they were analyzed after heating at a temperature of 350°C for over a week [24].

The phosphonium-based ionic liquids were then analysed by GC-MS and they were found to be stable with no decomposition products such as hexane and tetradecyl(dihexyl)phosphine. On the other hand, heating the imidazolium-based ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-metylimidazolium chloride at 250°C for 1 week resulted in its decomposition as determined by GC-MS analysis [24].

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 SOLUBILITY MEASUREMENT

3.1.1 Introduction to Solubility Measurement

Solubility is measured in terms of mol of CO_2 gas absorbed into the ionic liquid to the mol of ionic liquid used in this experiment. The mole of CO_2 can be calculate d using Ideal Gas Law.

3.1.2 Solubility Measurement Experimental Setup

The apparatus used for CO_2 solubility measurement consists of a stainless steel pressure cell, a CO_2 gas storage tank, a bomb, a pressure gauge, a vacuum pump, valves, a magnetic stirrer and a temperature water bath; a schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 3.1.





Figure 3.1: Schematic Diagram of the Overall Experimental Setup

The system is designed so that CO₂ solubility measurement could be repeated several times with the same ionic liquid sample.

3.2.1 Pressure Cell

Pressure cell is designed as the container for $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate. The volume of the pressure cell is calculated as below:

Volume,
$$V=\pi (D/2)^2 h$$

Where

Diameter, D = 4.0cm

Height, h = 1.0cm

Calculated Volume will be = $\pi (4/2)^2 \ge 1$

$$= 12.5664 \text{ cm}^3$$

The pressure cell will attaches to:

- Valve b, V_b
- Release Valve, V_c

The o-ring will be used between the cover and the pressure cell it self in order to prevent any leakage occurs. Figure 3.1 to 3.2 shows the design of pressure cell and its covers. Figure 3.3 shows the pressure cell that had been fabricated.



Figure 3.2: Top-view of Pressure Cell Design



Figure 3.3: Pressure Cell Cover Design



Figure 3.4: Outer View of Stainless Steel Pressure Cell



Figure 3.5: Inner View of Stainless Steel Pressure Cell

3.2.2 Frame Work

The frame work has been constructed to attach the experimental apparatus such as valves, and pressure gauge. Below is the design of the frame work.



Figure 3.6: Frame Work for the Experimental Setup

3.2.3 Overall Experimental Setup

The pressure cell that has been designed is attached to the frame work. Vacuum pump and CO_2 tank also are connected to the setup. Below is the overall experimental setup for CO_2 solubility measurement.



Figure 3.7: Overall Experimental Setup

3.3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.3.2 Spectroscopy Test

- 1. FTIR spectrum is taken before and after the CO₂ is introduced to the ionic liquid.
- 2. For the ionic liquid without CO₂, the sample is dried in vacuum oven and directly put into the Shimadzu model IR Spectrometer.
- 3. For the ionic liquid contacted with CO_2 , it is collected after the solubility measurement is finished.
- 4. The broadband trend of the ionic liquid with and without CO_2 contact is evaluated.

3.3.3 Procedure of Experimental Setup Leakage Test

- 1. Vacuum is applied to the whole system to ensure no other gases in the system.
- 2. 255 psi CO₂ gas is introduced to the first line of the system by opening value a, V_a while value b, V_b and value c, V_c is closed.
- 3. The pressure reading showed by pressure gauge is monitored for 5-8 hours.
- 4. V_b is opened to let the CO₂ gas exposed to the whole system. V_c is maintain closed all the time.
- 5. The pressure reading showed by pressure gauge is monitored for 24 hours.

3.3.4 Procedure of Volume, V1 Determination



Figure 3.8 and 3.9 show initial volume and volume 1, V_1 .

Figure 3.8: Initial Volume, Vinitial (Va to Vb)



Figure 3.9: Volume 1, V₁ (V_a to V_c)

- 1. Vacuum is applied to the whole system to ensure no other gases in the system.
- 2. 250 psi CO_2 gas is introduced to the system as P_1
- 3. V_a and V_b is closed
- 4. V_c is opened to release the left CO₂ gas
- 5. The pressure reading is observed till it stable
- 6. V_b is opened
- 7. The pressure reading is taken as P_2
- 8. V_1 is determined by using formula $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_1V_1$

3.3.5 Procedure of Solubility Measurement

- 1. Ionic liquid is dried for 24 hours at 80°C in the vacuum oven.
- 2. 2.00 g of the ionic liquid was put in the pressure cell directly from the oven, and immediately the pressure cell is closed and attached to the unit.
- 3. Valve a, V_a is closed while valve b, V_b and valve c, V_c are opened.
- 4. The unit was connected to a vacuum pump and the system is evacuated for 30 minutes.
- 5. The vacuum pump is switch off.
- 6. V_c and V_b are closed.
- 7. The temperature of the hot plate stirrer is adjusted to be 25 °C.
- CO₂ gas is introduced to the system by opening Va. (The equilibrium condition was judged when the pressure was unchanged). The amount of gas introduced is determined using PVT relation by pressure measurement.
- 9. V_b is opened so that ionic liquid sample could be in contact with CO₂ gas.
- 10. After equilibrium as indicated by negligible pressure change, the pressure is measured again to determine the amount of CO_2 gas left in vapor phase. The different in the amount of CO_2 gas is taken as the amount of CO_2 dissolves.
- 11. Valve V_c is kept closed throughout series of run.
- 12. Pressures are measured before opening valve V_b and after equilibration with valve V_b is opened.
- 13. The procedure is repeated for measurement at different pressures.
- 14. The mol of ionic liquid, n_i is calculated based of pure ionic liquid used in this solubility measurement.

3.3.6 Data Interpretations

3.2.6.1 Mole Fraction of CO2 gas in [P888C10P888] docusate

The amount of CO_2 gas is determined by the Ideal Gas Law. It is a good approximation to the behavior of many gases under many conditions. The equation as below:

PV = nRT

Where

P is the absolute pressure of the gas (Pa)

Absolute Pressure = Gauge Pressure + Atmospheric Pressure

 \mathbf{V} is the volume of the gas (m³)

n is the amount of substance of the CO₂ gas (mol)

R is the gas constant (8.3144 $JK^{-1}mol^{-1}$)

T is the absolute temperature (K)

The Kelvin scale is called absolute temperature

The volume of CO_2 gas introduced into the system is volume 1, V_1 .

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{P}_{\text{initial}} \mathbf{V}_{\text{initial}}$$

The number of moles of CO_2 gas introduced into the system, n_1 is calculated using Ideal gas Law.

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{V}_1 / \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T}$$

The number of moles of CO₂ gas that is absorbed into $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate, n_{absorb} is calculated using the equations below. (V₁ and V₂ are assumed to be the same)

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{V}_1 / \mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{P}_2 \mathbf{V}_2 / \mathbf{n}_2$$

 $\mathbf{n}_{absorb} = \mathbf{n}_2 - \mathbf{n}_1$

The number of moles of $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate used in this experiment, n_i is calculated using the equation below.

$$n_i = m_i / M_i$$

 $n_i = (2.0 g) / (1624 g/mol)$
 $n_i = 0.001231527 mol$

The mole fraction of CO₂ gas absorbed to $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate, $Xco_{2(absorb)}$ is ratio between the number of mole of CO₂ gas absorbed in $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate to the number of moles of CO₂ absorbed, n_{absorb} and number of moles of $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate, n_i used in this experiment.

$$X_{co_{2(absorb)}} = n_{absorb} / (n_{absorb} + n_i) [30]$$

 CO_2 gas molality, m_{CO_2} is the amount of substance (the number of moles) of CO_2 per kilogram of [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate.

$$m_{co_2} = n_{absorb} / 0.002 \text{ kg}$$

Where

 \mathbf{n}_1 is amount of CO₂ gas introduced into the system (mol)

 $\mathbf{n_2}$ is amount of CO₂ gas after equilibrium condition is achieved (mol)

 \mathbf{n}_{absorb} is amount of CO₂ absorbed by the ionic liquid (mol)

Xco_{2(absorb)} is the mol fraction of CO₂ in ionic liquid (dimensionless)

 $\mathbf{n_i}$ is the amount of ionic liquid (mol)

mco₂ is the mass of ionic liquid (mol/kg)

 M_i is the molar mass of ionic liquid (g/mol)

 \mathbf{P}_1 is pressure when the CO₂ gas is introduced into the system (Pa)

 P_2 is pressure after the equilibrium condition is achieved (Pa)

 V_1 is volume of CO₂ gas introduced into the system (m³) V_2 is volume of CO₂ gas after the equilibrium condition is achieved (m³) V_p is volume of pressure cell (m³) V_i is volume of ionic liquid (m³) **T** is Temperature (K)

R is 8.314472 $JK^{-1}mol^{-1}$

3.2.6.2 Henry's Law Constant

In an ideal solution of two liquids, both components obey Raoult's Law. However, it has been experimentally observed that, for real solutions at low concentrations, although the solvent (the major component of the solution) usually obeys Raoult's Law, the solute (the minor component of the solution) does not. The vapour pressure of the solute is proportional to its mole fraction, but the constant of proportionality is not the vapour pressure of the pure substance. This relationship is defined in Henry's Law:

$$p_B = x_B K_B$$

Note the solute is labeled as substance B to avoid confusion with the solvent, labeled A. x_B is the mole fraction of the solute, and K_B is an empirically determined constant with the dimensions of pressure, chosen so that on a graph of the vapour pressure of B against its mole fraction, the Law gives a tangent to the experimental curve at $x_B = 0$:



Figure 3.10: Henry's Law and Raoult's Law Graphs

Mixtures for which the solute obeys Henry's Law and the solvent obeys Raoult's Law are called ideal-dilute solutions. Note K_B may be greater or less than p_B^* .

The reason that the behaviours of solvent and solute differ so markedly at low concentrations is intuitively quite obvious. The solvent is in large excess, so solvent molecules are likely to be surrounded by other solvent molecules. Their environment is very much like that of the pure liquid, and consequently the behaviour of the solvent is very like that of the pure liquid. The solute, on the other hand, is in low concentration, so solute molecules are likely to be surrounded by solvent molecules. Thus their environment is quite different from in the pure solute, and consequently their behaviour is greatly modified.

Exceptions arise when the solvent and solute are of very similar structure. In this instance, though the solute molecules are still surrounded by solvent molecules, their environment is not dissimilar to that in pure solute, their behaviour will not be greatly altered, and both components of the mixture will tend to obey Raoult's Law. This also explains why the greatest deviations from ideality are observed for strongly dissimilar liquids.

In chemistry, Henry's law is one of the gas laws, formulated by William Henry in 1803. It states that at a constant temperature, the amount of a given gas dissolved in a given type and volume of liquid is directly proportional to the partial pressure of that gas in equilibrium with that liquid.

An equivalent way of stating the law is that the solubility of a gas in a liquid at a particular temperature is proportional to the pressure of that gas above the liquid. Henry's law has since been shown to apply for a wide range of dilute solutions, not merely those of gases. Henry's law can be put into mathematical terms (at constant temperature) as shown below:

$p = K_H c$

Where p is the partial pressure of the solute in the gas above the solution, c is the concentration of the solute and K_H is a constant with the dimensions of pressure divided by concentration [26]. The constant, known as the Henry's law constant, depends on the solute, the solvent and the temperature.

In particular, the "concentration" of the solute in solution may also be expressed as a mole fraction or as a molality [26].

So, for this study the Henry's Law Constant is as below:

 $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{p} / \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2(absorb)}}$ $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{X}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$

Where

 $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{H}}$ is the Henry's Law Constant

p is the partial pressure

 $\mathbf{Xco}_{2(absorb)}$ is the mole fraction of CO_2 gas in ionic liquid

 X_i is the mole fraction if CO₂ in the gas mixture

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP LEAKAGE TEST

Based on the results obtained (refer appendix 3), it shown the pressure is dropped within 5-8 hours. This indicated that there is a leakage occurred. Therefore, the test is run again but the pressure is introduced only from V_a to V_b to figure out the leakage along this point.

Based on the results obtained for the second run, it shown the pressure reading is constant for 7.15 hours. This indicated there is no leakage along this line. Therefore, the test is preceded to the next line between V_b to V_c .

In the third run the results of the pressure drop after more than 5 hours period of time. This indicated that there is leakage occurred along this line. After further investigation, few possibilities have been indentified as follow:

- a) Leakage between pressure cell and it's cover
- b) Leakage between the nut at pressure cell and the tubing to V_b
- c) Leakage between V_b and the tubing

Actions have been taken to eliminate all the possibilities by putting thicker o-ring and hardly tighten all the connection.

The pressure still drop after the action taken. Therefore, again all the connection is tighten harder to make sure there is no leakage at all. The snoop also has been used to pre – check the leakage at every connection.

After that results showed the pressures are constant for 24 hours. So, this proved that there is no leakage from V_b to V_c . Therefore based on all tests that have been run, it is proved that the experimental setup is completely free from any leakage. This setup is ready to be used.

4.2 SPECTROSCOPY TEST

Based on the spectroscopy test result in figure 4.1 and 4.2, it shown the different trend for ionic liquid contacted with and without CO_2 . There are H-O-H and O=C=O bonds exists. Therefore, there degradation of the ionic structure occurred. Thus conclude that there is chemical absorption occurred between CO_2 and ionic liquid.



Transmission Vs Wavelength

Figure 4.1: Spectroscopy for Ionic Liquid without CO₂


Figure 4.2: Spectroscopy for Ionic Liquid contacted with CO₂

4.3 VOLUME 1, V1 DETERMINATION

4.3.1 Initial Volume by Manual Calculation

$$V_{initial} = V_{tube} + V_{vessel}$$

$$V_{tube} = \pi r^2 h$$

$$= \pi \left(0.\frac{635}{2}\right) 2 \times 32 cm$$

$$= 10.1341 cm3$$

$$V_{initial} = V_{tube} + V_{vessel}$$

$$= 10.1341 cm^3 + 75 cm^3$$

$$= 85.1341 cm^3$$

4.3.2 Volume 1 by PV relationship

4.2.1.1 First Trial

 $P_{initial} = 250 \text{ psi}$ $P_{1} = 200 \text{ psi}$ $V_{initial} = 85.1341 \text{ cm3}$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_{1}V_{1}$ $V_{initial} = P_{initial}V_{initial}/(P_{1})$ $V_{1} = (250)(85.1341)/(200)$ $V_{1} = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^{3}$

4.3.1.2 Second Trial

 $P_{initial} = 200 \text{ psi}$ $P_{1} = 160 \text{ psi}$ $V_{initial} = 85.1341 \text{ cm3}$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_{1}V_{1}$ $V_{1} = P_{initial}V_{initial}/(P_{1})$ $V_{1} = (200)(85.1341)/(160)$ $V_{1} = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^{3}$

4.3.1.3 Third Trial

 $P_{initial} = 150 \text{ psi}$ $P_{1} = 120 \text{ psi}$ $V_{initial} = 85.1341 \text{ cm3}$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_{2}V_{2}$ $V_{1} = P_{initial}V_{initial}/(P_{2})$ $V_{1} = (150)(85.1341)/(120)$ $V_{1} = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^{3}$

4.3.2 Volume 1 by Manual Calculation

 $V_{1} = V_{tube} + V_{pressure cell} + V_{initial} - V_{stirrer}$ $V_{tube} = \pi r^{2}h$ $= \pi \left(0.\frac{635}{2}\right) 2 \times 36cm$ = 10.7675 cm3 $V_{1} = V_{tube} + V_{pressure cell} + V_{initial} - V_{stirrer}$ $= 10.7675 cm^{3} + 12.5664 cm^{3} + 85.1341 cm^{3} - 2 cm^{3}$ $= 106.4680cm3 \sim 106.4176 cm^{3}$

4.3.3 Determination of initial volume, Vinitial (backward method)

4.3.3.1 First Trial

 $P_{initial} = 250 \text{ psi}$ $V_{initial} = ?$ $P_1 = 200 \text{ psi}$ $V_1 = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^3$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_1V_1$ $V_{initial} = P_1V_1/P_{initial}$ $= (200)(\ 106.4176 \text{ cm}^3)/(250)$ $= \underline{85.1341 \text{ cm}^3}$

$\frac{4.3.3.2 \text{ Second Trial}}{P_{initial} = 200 \text{ psi}}$ $V_{initial} = ?$ $P_1 = 160 \text{ psi}$ $V_1 = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^3$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_1V_1$ $V_{initial} = P_1V_1/P_{initial}$ $= (160)(\ 106.4176 \text{ cm}^3)/(200)$ $= 85.1341 \text{ cm}^3$

4.3.3.3 Third Trial

 $P_{initial} = 150 \text{ psi}$ $V_{initial} = ?$ $P_{1} = 120 \text{ psi}$ $V_{1} = 106.4176 \text{ cm}^{3}$ $P_{initial}V_{initial} = P_{1}V_{1}$ $V_{initial} = P_{1}V_{1}/P_{initial}$ $= (120)(106.4176 \text{ cm}^{3})/(150)$ $= 85.1341 \text{ cm}^{3}$

Based on the PV relation, it is verified that the $V_{initial}$ is 85.1341 cm³ and the V_1 without ionic liquid is 106.4176 cm³.

Therefore, V_1 after [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate is added into the pressure cell is calculated as below:

$$V_{1} = 106.4176 \text{ cm} 3 - V_{il}$$

$$V_{il} = \underbrace{2.0 \text{ g}}_{(0.9665 \text{ g / cm}^{3})}$$

$$= 2.0693 \text{ cm}^{3}$$

$$V_{1} = (106.4176 - 2.0693) \text{ cm}^{3}$$

$$V_{1} = 104.3483 \text{ cm}^{3}$$

Where

 V_{il} is the volume of the ionic liquid (m³)

4.4 SOLUBILITY MEASUREMENT

4.4.1 CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction and Molality

The solubility of CO₂ in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate is measured at temperatures of (298.15, 303.15 and 308.15) K and pressures ranging from (15 to 25) bar. The detailed calculations of solubility data are presented in (Appendix 3). The solubility behavior of CO₂ in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate with pressure is shown in Figure 4.3 till 4.8. It can be found that the solubility in terms of mol fraction and molality of CO₂ in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate is not pressure.

Based on the graphs obtained, it shown that mol fraction and molality of CO_2 gas in the ionic liquid is higher at high pressure compared to low pressure. Therefore, this indicated that the solubility of CO_2 is increased with the pressure.

This is because as the pressure is increased, the gas molecules are "forced" into the solution since this will best relieved the pressure that has been applied. The number of gas molecules is decreased while the number of CO_2 gas molecules dissolved in solution is increased. Thus, the amount of mol of CO_2 is increased.

	298.15 K			303.1	5 K		308.15	iκ
P (Mpa)	Xco ₂	mco ₂ (mol/kg)	P (Mpa)	Xco ₂	mco ₂ (mol/kg)	P (Mpa)	Xco ₂	mco₂ (mol/kg)
1.99	0.6293	1.0452	2.00	0.5714	0.8210	2.01	0.4952	0.6040
1.59	0.5879	0.8783	1.60	0.5161	0.6568	1.61	0.4181	0.4425
1.19	0.5360	0.7113	1.20	0.4444	0.4926	1.20	0.3133	0.2810

 Table 4.1: Solubility Data at Different Temperatures

Pressure Vs CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction



Figure 4.3: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Mol Fraction at T=298.15 K



Figure 4.4: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Molality at T=298.15 K

Pressure Vs CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction



Figure 4.5: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Mol Fraction at T=303.15 K



Figure 4.6: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Molality at T=303.15 K

Pressure Vs CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction



Figure 4.7: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction at T=308.15 K

Pressure Vs CO₂ Gas Molality

Figure 4.8: Graph Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Molality at T=308.15 K



Figure 4.9: Pressure vs Mol Fraction at T= 298.15 K, T=303.15 K and T=308.15 K



Figure 4.10: Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Molality at T=298.15 K, T=303.15 K and T=308.15 K



Figure 4.11: Comparison with Literature Data for Pressure vs CO₂ Gas Mol Fraction at T=298.15 K

Based on the comparison with the literature data of other ionic liquid, it shown that the mol graction for [bmim][PF₆] is lesser than the mol fraction of this ionic liquid at temperature 298.15 K. This showed that this ionic can absorb more CO_2 compared to [bmim]]PF₆] which is more than 50% of CO_2 gas. This is due to the long alkyl chain structure of this ionic liquid it self. The CO_2 solubility increased with increasing chain length, and these results are consistent with those reported elsewhere [29]. It was thought that this may be due to entropic reasons, as the density of ionic liquids decreases with increasing alkyl chain length. Therefore, there may be more free volume within the longer chain ionic liquids.

4.4.2 Henry's Law Constant at Different Temperature

298.15

303.15

308.15

The Henry's law constants at different temperatures are shown in Table 4.2. From Table 4.2, it can be seen that the Henry's law constant of the studied systems increases with increasing temperature, which indicates the solubility of CO_2 decreases with increasing temperature.

As the temperature increases, the solubility of a CO_2 decreases. More CO_2 is present in a solution with a lower temperature compared to a solution with a higher temperature.

The reason for this CO_2 solubility relationship with temperature is very similar to the reason that vapor pressure increases with temperature. Increased temperature causes an increase in kinetic energy. The higher kinetic energy causes more motion in molecules which break intermolecular bonds and escape from solution.

Т (К) К_н (Мра) In К_н 1/Т (К⁻¹) x 100

0.77

0.97

1.33

0.34

0.33

0.32

2.17

2.63

3.77

Table 4.2: Henry's Law Constant vs Temp	erature Data
---	--------------



Figure 4.12: Henry's Law Constant vs Temperature



Figure 4.13: The Logarithms of the Henry's Law Constant vs Inversed Temperature



Figure 4.14: Comparison with Literature Data for Logarithms of the Henry's Law Constant vs Inverse Temperature

Zhang et al shown that the Henry's law constant of $[P_{6, 6, 6, 14}][C_{12}H_{25}PhSO_3]$ is increases with increasing temperature, which indicates the solubility of CO₂ decreases with increasing temperature. Based on the comparison above, it shown that the logarithms of Henry's Law Constant for $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate is following the same trend as $[P_{6,6,6,14}][C_{12}H_{25}PhSO_3]$.

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

New experimental results for the solubility of CO_2 in the new synthesized ionic liquid $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate are presented for temperatures 298.15 K, 303.15 K, and 308.15 K and pressures ranging from 15 to 25 bars. Henry's law constants are determined from that solubility pressure data. The solubility pressures were correlated by means of extended Henry's law. The solubility results of new synthesized ionic liquid $[P_{888}C_{10}P_{888}]$ docusate are very important in many industrial applications.

Since most of the industrial processes operate at high pressure condition up to 100 bar (10 Mpa), the solubility measurement can be conducted at higher pressure up to 10 to 15 Mpa. Solubility measurement also can be conducted for nitrogen and methane gas because the solubility data is really important in the separation process of nitrogen and methane gas.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Muldoon, S. Aki, J. Anderson, J. Dixon and J. Brennecke, Improving carbon dioxide solubility in ionic liquids, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111** (2007), pp. 9001–9009.
- M.B. Shiflett and A. Yokozeki, Phase behavior of carbon dioxide in ionic liquids: [emim][acetate], [emim][trifluoroacetate], and [emim][acetate] + [emim][trifluoroacetate] mixtures, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54 (2009), pp. 108–114.
- P.J. Carvalho, V.H. Álvarez, J.J.B. Machado, J. Pauly, J. Daridon, I.M. Marrucho, M. Aznar and J.A.P. Coutinho, High pressure phase behavior of carbon dioxide in 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ionic liquids, J. Supercrit. Fluids 48 (2009), pp. 99–107.
- Pedro J. Carvalho, Victor H. Alvarez, Isabel M. Marrucho, Martin Aznar, Joao A.P. Coutinho, High carbon dioxide solubilities in trihexyltetradecylphosphonium-based ionic liquids, The Journal of Supercritical Fluids.
- J.W. Hutchings, K.L. Fuller, M.P. Heitz and M.M. Hoffmann, Surprisingly high solubility of the ionic liquid trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride in dense carbon dioxide, *Green Chem.* 7 (2005), pp. 475–478.
- S.P.M. Ventura, J. Pauly, J.L. Daridon, J.A.L. da Silva, I.M. Marrucho, A.M.A. Dias and J.A.P. Coutinho, High pressure solubility data of carbon dioxide in triiso-butyl(methyl)phosphonium tosylate-water systems, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* 40 (2008), pp. 1187–1192.
- R.D. Rogers and K.R. Seddon, Ionic liquids—solvents of the future?, Science 302 (2003), pp. 792–793.
- U. Domanska and K. Paduszynski, Phase equilibria study in binary systems (tetra-n-butylphosphonium tosylate ionic liquid + 1-alcohol, or benzene, or nalkylbenzene), J. Phys. Chem. B 112 (2008), pp. 11054–11059.
- 9. J. Anthony, J. Anderson, E. Maginn and J. Brennecke, Anion effects on gas solubility in ionic liquids, J. Phys. Chem. B 109 (2005), pp. 6366-6374.

- 10. Solubility of adamantane in phosphonium-based ionic liquids, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 55(2010)662-665.
- R. Condemarin and P. Scovazzo, Gas permeabilities, solubilities, diffusivities, and diffusivity correlations for ammonium-based room temperature ionic liquids with comparison to imidazolium and phosphonium RTIL data, *Chem. Eng. J.* 147 (2009), pp. 51–57.
- J. Anthony, E. Maginn and J. Brennecke, Solubilities and thermodynamic properties of gases in the ionic liquid 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 106 (2002), pp. 7315–7320.
- T. Wang, C. Peng, H. Liu and Y. Hu, Description of the PVT behavior of ionic liquids and the solubility of gases in ionic liquids using an equation of state, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 250 (2006), pp. 150–157.
- 14. L. Ferguson and P. Scovazzo, Solubility, diffusivity, and permeability of gases in phosphonium-based room temperature ionic liquids: data and correlations, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 46 (2007), pp. 1369–1374
- 15. J. Anthony, J. Anderson, E. Maginn and J. Brennecke, Anion effects on gas solubility in ionic liquids, J. Phys. Chem. B 109 (2005), pp. 6366-6374.
- 16. J.W. Hutchings, K.L. Fuller, M.P. Heitz and M.M. Hoffmann, Surprisingly high solubility of the ionic liquid trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride in dense carbon dioxide, *Green Chem.* 7 (2005), pp. 475–478.
- 17. Zachary S. Breitbach.; Daniel W. Armstrong. Characterization of phosphonium ionic liquids through a linear solvation energy relationship and their use as GLC stationary phases. 2008.
- 18. Daniel Chinn; De Q. Yu; Michael S. Driver; Laura C. Boudreau. CO₂ removal from gas using ionic liquid absorbents, May 2009.
- 19. http://lem.ch.unito.it/didattica/infochimica/Liquidi%20Ionici/index.html
- 20. Keith E. Jonhson. What is Ionic Liquid?. *Electrochemical Society Interface*. 2007.
- Suojiang Zhang,; Yuhuan Chen,; Rex X.-F. Ren,; Yanqiang Zhang,; Jianmin Zhang,; Xiangping Zhang. Solubility of CO₂ in Sulfonate Ionic Liquids at High Pressure. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 2005 50, 230-233.

- 22. Bradaric, C. J.; Downard, A.; Kennedy, C.; Robertson, A. J.; Zhou, Y. Green Chem. 2003, 5, 143.
- Bonhote, P.; Dias, A.-P.; Papageorgiou, N.; Kalyanasundram, K.; Gratzal, M. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 1168.
- 24. Taramatee (Madhvi) Ramnial. The Chemistry of Imidazolium Salts and Phosphonium-based Ionic Liquids. 2006.
- 25. Y.S. Kim, W.Y. Choi, J.H. Jang, K.-P. Yoo, C.S. Lee, Solubility measurement and prediction of carbon dioxide in ionic liquids, Fluid Phase Equilibria, Volumes 228-229, PPEPPD 2004 Proceedings, February 2005, Pages 439-445.
- 26. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (1993). Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry, 2nd edition, Oxford: Blackwell Science. ISBN 0-632-03583-8. p. 50.
- 27. Walt Volland, CO₂ Solubility of Gases in Liquids, 2005
- 28. http://www.elmhurst.edu/~chm/vchembook/174temppres.html
- A. Shariati, C. J. Peters, High-pressure phase behavior of systems with ionic liquids: II. The binary system carbon dioxide+1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate, The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, Volume 29, Issues 1-2, April 2004, Pages 43-48, ISSN 0896-8446, DOI: 10.1016/S0896-8446(03)00032-9.
- 30. www.chemistry.twu.edu

APPENDIX 1:

PROJECT ACTIVITIES

- 1. Research on related studies about CO₂ solubility in ionic liquids
- 2. Understanding the differences between difference types of ionic liquids
- 3. Understanding on ionic liquids properties and solubility of CO₂ in ionic liquids
- 4. Study on chemical properties of phosphonium-based ionic liquids
- 5. Understanding on how CO₂ solubility differs in phosphonium-based ionic liquids and imidazolium-based ionic liquid
- 6. Research and study on method of CO₂ solubility measurement
- 7. Study the factors that govern the solubility of CO_2 in ionic liquids
- 8. Decision on what will be focused in the project and method involved
- 9. Designing the equipment for solubility measurement
- 10. Consultation process about the equipment design with supervisor and fabricator
- 11. Confirmation of equipment with fabricator
- 12. Finalized all the equipments needed to set up the experiment
- 13. Set up the experimental apparatus for solubility measurement
- 14. Leakage test of the experimental setup
- 15. Determination of volume 1, V_1
- 16. Solubility measurement of CO₂ in [P₈₈₈C₁₀P₈₈₈] docusate

APPENDIX 2: GANTT CHART AND KEY MILESTONE

INC.	Detail, Meek		N	U.	4	U1	6	۲	· · · · · ·	000	و	10	11	12	13	14
1	Project Work Continue													1		
									_							
2	Submission of Progress Report 1				•				r							
ω	Project Work Continue					_			11							
					 				ık 							
4	Submission of Progress Report 2		_	-					Brea	•						
'n		ļ						 	r I		10 1 / 1 W. I V					
ر	oenninar (compulsory)				_		-		ste							
~				╞	Ļ				ne F							
U	rroject work continue		-	+	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		en							
			-		Ļ				1-9 1			-				
0	Poster Exhibition		-		-		-		Mic 1			•				
1					_			ļ	ן דייי							
_	Subilitission of Dissertation (soft bound)	-	-	-	ļ	<u> </u>		ļ	1			 		•		
•			+						T							
•	Vial Fresentation			-				L_	<u>.</u>						•	
>						-	-	 	<u> </u>	 					i	
9	Submission of Project Dissertation (Hard				 						• • • • •					•



Suggested milestone

APPENDIX 3:

SOLUBILITY MEASUREMENT RESULTS AND CALCULATIONS

3.1 Experimental Setup Leakage Test

3.1.1 First Run

Date	Time	Pressure Reading
23 March 2010	11.45 am	250 psi
	05.30 pm	220 psi
24 March 2010	09.00 am	170 psi

Table 3.1: First Run of Leakage Test Results

3.1.2 Second Run

Table 3.2: Second Run of Leakage Test Results

Date	Time	Pressure Reading
24 March 2010	10.15 am	255 psi
	05.30 pm	225 psi

3.1.3 Third Run

Table 3.3: Third Run of Leakage Test Results

Date	Time	Pressure Reading
24 March 2010	05.40 pm	255 psi
	10.45 am	190 psi

3.1.4 Fourth Run

Date	Time	Pressure Reading
26 March 2010	06.15 pm	255 psi
29 March 2010	09.30 am	100 psi
29 March 2010	09.35 am	250 psi
	03.10 pm	230 psi
	03.24 pm	255 psi
30 March 2010	9.00 am	190 psi

Table 3.4: Fourth Run of Leakage Test Results

3.1.5 Fifth Run

Table 3.5: Fourth Run of Leakage Test Results

Date	Time	Pressure Reading
30 March 2010	09.15 am	255 psi
	4.20 pm	255 psi

3.2 Solubility Measurement at Stirring Speed (S) =300 rpm and Temperature (T) = 298.15 K

3.2.1 First Run

Table 3.6: Pressure vs Time Data at Initial Pressure of 2 bar

S= 30	00 rpm
T=29	98.15K
Pi =	2 bar
t (hours)	Pf (bar)
0	1.6
2	1.6
4	1.6
6	1.6
8	1.6
10	1.6
12	1.6
14	1.6
16	1.6
18	1.6
20	1.6
22	1.6
24	1.6



Figure 3.1: Graph Pressure vs Time at Initial Pressure of 2 bar

3.2.2 Second Run

Table 3.7: Pressure vs Time Data at Initial Pressure of 5 bar

S=3	00 rpm
T=2	98.15K
Pi :	= 5 bar
t (hours)	Pf (bar)
0	4.1
2	4.1
4	4.1
6	4.1
8	4.1
10	4.1
12	4.1
14	4.1
16	4.1
18	4.1
20	4.1
22	4.1
24	4.1



Figure 3.2: Graph Pressure vs Time at Initial Pressure of 5 bar

3.2.3 Third Run

Table 3.8: Pressure vs Time Data at Initial Pressure of 7 bar

S=300 rpm				
T=2	98.15K			
Pi :	= 7 bar			
t (hours)	Pf (bar)			
Ó	5.7			
2	5.7			
4	5.7			
6	5.7			
8	5.7			
10	5.7			
12	5.7			
14	5.7			
16	5.7			
18	5.7			
20	5.7			
22	5.7			
24	5.7			



Figure 3.3: Graph Pressure vs Time at Initial Pressure of 7 bar

3.3 Solubility Measurement at Different Stirring Speed (S), Initial Pressure (P_i) and Temperature (T) = 298.15 K

3.3.1 First Run P_i = 15 bar

	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S=?	300 rpm	<u></u>	
<u> </u>				T= 2	298.15 K		
				Pi =	: 15 bar		
hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneeded	n 1	n2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	mco ₂ (mol/kg)
0	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
_2	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
_14	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	12.1	0.1	0.05151	0.05093	0.00058	0.32046	0.29039
24	11.9	0.3	0.05151	0.05009	0.00142	0.53600	0.71132

Table 3.9: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T=298.15 K and P_i=15 bar

 $P_{needed} = 12.2 \text{ bar} - 11.9 \text{ bar}$

 $P_{\text{needed}} = 0.3 \text{ bar}$

$$l_1 = (12.2 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \times (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \times 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$$

(298.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $n_1 = 0.05151 \text{ mol CO}_2$

 $l_2 = (11.9 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \times (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \times 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$

(298.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $l_2 = 0.05009 \text{ mol CO}_2$

 $n_{absorb}\,{=}\,n_1-n_2$

 $= (0.05151 - 0.05009) \text{ mol CO}_2$

 $= 0.00142 \text{ mol CO}_2$

 $Xco_2 = (0.00142 \text{ mol } CO_2) / (0.00142 \text{ mol } CO_2 + 0.001231527 \text{ mol ionic liquid})$

$Xco_2 = 0.53600 mol CO_2$

 $m_{CO2} = 0.00142 \text{ mol } CO_2 / 0.002 \text{ kg of ionic liquid}$

$m_{CO2} = 0.71132 \text{ mol } CO_2 / \text{kg ionic liquid}$

3.3.2 Second Run P_i= 20 bar

Table 3.10: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T=298.15 K and P_i=20 bar

	S≕500 rpm										
T= 298.15 K											
Pi = 20 bar											
hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneeded	П 1	n2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	mco2 (mol/kg)				
0	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
_4	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
6	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
_8	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
10	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
12	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
14	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
18	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
20	16.2	0.1	0.06869	0.06819	0.00049	0.28618	0.24687				
22	16.1	0.2	0.06869	0.06777	0.00091	0.42618	0.45734				
24	15.9	0.4	0.06869	0.06693	0.00176	0.58785	0.87827				

 $n_{needed} = 16.3 \text{ bar} - 15.9 \text{ bar}$

 $P_{needed} = 0.4 \text{ bar}$

 $n_1 = (16.3 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \text{ x } (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ x } 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$

(303.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $n_1 = 0.06869 \text{ mol } CO_2$

 $n_2 = (15.9 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \text{ x } (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ x } 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$

(303.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $n_2 = 0.06693 \text{ mol } CO_2$

 $n_{absorb} = n_1 - n_2$

 $= (0.06869 - 0.06693) \text{ mol CO}_2$

= 0.00176 mol CO₂

 $Xco_2 = (0.00176 \text{ mol } CO_2) / (0.00176 \text{ mol } CO_2 + 0.001231527 \text{ mol ionic liquid})$

Xco₂ = 0.58785 mol CO₂

 $m_{CO2} = 0.00176$ mol CO₂ / 0.002 kg of ionic liquid

 $m_{CO2} = 0.87827 \text{ mol } CO_2 / \text{kg ionic liquid}$

3.3.3 Third Run $P_i = 25$ bar

S=700 rpm										
T= 298.15 K										
				Pi =	25 bar					
hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneeded	n1	n2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	Mco₂ (mol/kg)			
0	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	20.3	0.1	0.08586	0.08545	0.00041	0.24826	0.20335			
24	20.1	0.3	0.08586	0.08461	0.00125	0.50344	0.62429			
24	19.9	0.5	0.08586	0.08377	0.00209	0.62928	1.04522			

Table 3.11: Solubility of CO2 in Ionic Liquid at Pi=25 bar

 $P_{needed} = 20.4 \text{ bar} - 19.9 \text{ bar}$

 $P_{needed} = 0.5 \text{ bar}$

 $n_1 = (20.4 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \text{ x } (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ x } 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$

(298.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $n_1 = 0.08586 \text{ mol } CO_2$

 $l_2 = (19.9 \text{ bar x } 100000 \text{ pa / bar}) \text{ x } (104.3483 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ x } 0.000001 \text{ m}^3 / \text{ cm}^3)$

(298.15K) (8.314472 J / K.mol)

 $l_2 = 0.08377 \text{ mol CO}_2$

 $n_{absorb} = n_1 - n_2$

- $= (0.08586 0.08377) \text{ mol CO}_2$
- = 0.00209 mol CO₂

 $Xco_2 = (0.00209 \text{ mol } CO_2) / (0.00209 \text{ mol } CO_2 + 0.001231527 \text{ mol ionic liquid})$

Xco₂ = 0.62928 mol CO₂

 m_{CO2} = 0.62928 mol CO₂ / 0.002 kg of ionic liquid

 $m_{CO2} = 1.0452 \text{ mol } CO_2 / \text{kg ionic liquid}$

3.4 Solubility Measurement at Different Stirring Speed (S), Initial Pressure (P_i) and Temperature (T) = 303.15 K

3.4.1 First Run Pi = 15 bar

S=300 rpm											
T= 303.15 K											
	Pi = 15 bar										
(hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneeded	n1	n2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco₂ (fraction)	mco₂ (mol/kg)				
0	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
4	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
6	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
8	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
10	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
12	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
14	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
18	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
20	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
22	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
24	12.0	0.2	0.05066	0.04968	0.00099	0.44444	0.49259				

3.4.2 First Run Pi = 20 bar

Table 3.13:	Solubility	of CO ₂ in	Ionic Liq	uid at T =	303.15 K a	and P _i =20 ba	r

S=500 rpm										
T= 303.15 K										
Pi = 20 bar										
(hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneeded	ľì1	N 2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	mco₂ (mol/kg)			
0	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	16.2	0.1	0.06755	0.06707	0.00049	0.28280	0.24280			
24	16.0	0.3	0.06755	0.06624	0.00131	0.51612	0.65679			

3.4.3 Third Run Pi = 25 bar

S=700 rpm T= 303.15 K												
Pf (hours) (bar) Pneeded N1 N2 Nabsorb (mol) Xco ₂ (fraction) Mco ₂ (mol/kg)												
0	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
2	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
4	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
6	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
8	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
10	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
12	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
14	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
16	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
18	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
20	20.3	0.1	0.08444	0.08404	0.00040	0.24517	0.20000					
22	20.2	0.2	0.08444	0.08363	0.00081	0.39794	0.40699					
24	20.0	0.4	0.08444	0.08280	0.00164	0.57142	0.82099					

Table 3.14: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T = 303.15 K and P_i=25 bar

3.5 Solubility Measurement at Different Stirring Speed (S), Initial Pressure (P_i) and Temperature (T) = 308.15 K

3.5.1 First Run P_i = 15 bar

S=300 rpm										
T= 308.15 K										
Pi = 15 bar										
t (hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneede d	n 1	n 2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	Mco2 (mol/kg)			
0	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
2	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
4	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
10	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
14	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
16	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
18	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
22	12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
24	12.1	0.1	0.04984	0.04928	0.00056	0.31332	0.28096			

Table 3.15: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T = 308.15 K and P_i=15 bar

3.5.2 Second Run P_i = 20 bar

S=500 rpm											
T= 308.15 K											
	Pi = 20 bar										
t (hours)	Pf (bar)	Pneede d	N 1	n2	Nabsorb (mol)	Xco ₂ (fraction)	mco₂ (mol/kg)				
0	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
4	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
6	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
8	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
10	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
12	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
14	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
18	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
20	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
22	16.2	0.1	0.06646	0.06598	0.00048	0.27949	0.23886				
24	16.1	0.2	0.06646	0.06557	0.00088	0.41814	0.44250				

Table 3.16: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T = 308.15 K and $P_i=20$ bar
3.5.3 Third Run $P_i = 25$ bar

S=700 rpm									
T= 308.15 K Pl = 25 bar									
0	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
4	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
6	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
8	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
10	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
12	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
14	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
16	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
18	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
20	20.3	0.1	0.08307	0.08268	0.00039	0.24215	0.19675		
22	20.2	0.2	0.08307	0.08227	0.00080	0.39403	0.40039		
24	20.1	0.3	0.08307	0.08186	0.00121	0.49519	0.60403		

Table 3.17: Solubility of CO₂ in Ionic Liquid at T = 308.15 K and P_i=25 bar

APPENDIX 4: HENRY'S LAW CONSTANTS

S=300 rpm								
T= 298.15 K								
Pi = 15 bar								
Ptotal (Mpa)	Xi	Ppartial (Mpa)	Xcoz	KH (Mpa)	In KH	100/T (k-1)		
1.19000	0.97600	1.16145	0.53600	2.16686	0.77328	0.33540		

Table 4.1: Henry's Law Constant at 298.15 K

Table 4.2: Henry's Law Constant at 303.15 K

S=300 rpm								
Т= 303.15 К								
Pi = 15 bar								
Ptotal (Mpa)	Xi	Ppartial (Mpa)	Xco2	KH (Mpa)	in KH	100/T (k-1)		
1.20000	0.97581	1.17097	0.44444	2.63474	0.96879	0.32987		

Table 4.3: Henry's Law Constant at 308.15 K

S≔700 rpm								
T= 308.15 K								
Pi = 21 bar								
Ptotal (Mpa)	Xi	Ppartial (Mpa)	Xco2	KH (Mpa)	in KH	100/T (k-1)		
1.21000	0.97562	1.18050	0.31332	3.76771	1.32647	0.32452		