

STATUS OF THESIS

Title of thesis

**STUDY OF IRON REMOVAL FROM GROUNDWATER BY
SULFIDE PRECIPITATION AND ELECTROCHEMICAL-
COAGULATION METHOD**

I MUHAMMAD NOOR HISYAM BIN JUSOH

hereby allow my thesis to be placed at the Information Resource Center (IRC) of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) with the following conditions:

The thesis becomes the property of UTP

The IRC of UTP may make copies of the thesis for academic purposes only.

This thesis is classified as

Confidential

Non-confidential

If this thesis is confidential, please state the reason:

The contents of the thesis will remain confidential for _____ years.

Remarks on disclosure:

Endorsed by

Signature of Author

Signature of Supervisor

Permanent address:
Lot 1852, Kg. Gong Penaga,
Mukim Jabi, 22020, Besut,
Terengganu.

Name of Supervisor
A.P. Dr. Nasiman Sapari

Date: _____

Date: _____

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

STUDY OF IRON REMOVAL FROM GROUNDWATER BY SULFIDE
PRECIPITATION AND ELECTROCHEMICAL-COAGULATION METHOD

by

MUHAMMAD NOOR HISYAM BIN JUSOH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Postgraduate Studies Programme for acceptance this thesis for the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree stated.

Signature: _____

Main Supervisor: A.P. Dr. Nasiman Sapari

Signature: _____

Co-Supervisor: _____

Signature: _____

Head of Department: Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. M Shahir Liew _____

Date: _____

**STUDY OF IRON REMOVAL FROM GROUNDWATER BY SULFIDE
PRECIPITATION AND ELECTROCHEMICAL-COAGULATION METHOD**

by

MUHAMMAD NOOR HISYAM JUSOH

A Thesis

**Submitted to the Postgraduate Studies Programme
as a Requirement for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS
BANDAR SERI ISKANDAR,
PERAK**

OCTOBER 2013

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Title of thesis

STUDY OF IRON REMOVAL FROM GROUNDWATER BY
SULFIDE PRECIPITATION AND ELECTROCHEMICAL-
COAGULATION METHOD

I MUHAMMAD NOOR HISYAM BIN JUSOH

hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UTP or other institutions.

Witnessed by

Signature of Author

Signature of Supervisor

Permanent address:
Lot 1852, Kg. Gong Penaga,
Mukim Jabi, 22020, Besut,
Terengganu.

Name of Supervisor
A.P. Dr. Nasiman Sapari

Date: _____

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, pray to God Al-Mighty for His bless and love, giving me all the strength to complete my research within the timeframe given. I would like to express the highest gratitude and love to my dear parents Jusoh Jaafar and Kamariah Che Soh and to my cherished brothers and sisters for their consistent support, guidance and encouragement throughout the study.

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my supervisor, A.P. Dr Nasiman Sapari for his attention, guidance and advice throughout the completing of this research. I am extremely grateful and indebted to him for his expertise and encouragement extended to me. I place on record, my sincere gratitude to Prof. Malay Chaudhuri and Mrs. Raja Zainariah for their assistance and trust.

Lastly, I express very thankfulness to my friends who had shared ideas and knowledge that contributed to my writing. I also wish to thank all the technologists of Department of Civil Engineering generally and especiaaly to the Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS; Mr. Khairul Anuar, Mr. Zaaba, Ms. Yusmawati, Mr. Johan Ariff, Mr. Iskandar and Mr. Azran for their passions and help. Without them, this research could not be easier.

Last but not least, thank you to all for your contribution directly or indirectly. I will always keep them in my heart.

ABSTRACT

Groundwater usually contains dissolved mineral ions. Some of the dissolved minerals are essential for good health but others if abundant can cause problem such as odor and stain. This study investigated iron removal from groundwater using sulfide precipitation method and electrochemical-coagulation (EC) method. Different groundwater locations selected for this study are Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) monitoring well Perak, groundwater treatment plants of Kampung Chicha, Tanjung Mas and Kampung Puteh, Kelantan. The efficiency of both methods was evaluated in batch study. In sulfide precipitation method, a hydrogen sulfide gas of 0.18 M concentration was purged into the sample while in EC method, aluminum electrode was used by flow the applied volts. A preliminary study was conducted with iron solution for both methods and the obtained optimum conditions applied to real groundwater. The parameters investigated in sulfide precipitation method include pH and contact time while the parameters investigated in electrochemical-coagulation include pH, voltage, contact time, inter-electrode distance, electrode surface area, initial concentration and sample volume. pH was of significant importance for both methods. Increase in pH resulted to increase in removal efficiency for both methods. pH 7 was optimum for both sulfide precipitation and electrochemical precipitation with a removal efficiency of 75% and 95% after 6 hours and 2 hours treatment time respectively. Increase in contact time increased removal efficiency for both methods. However, low contact time (2 hours) was required for EC treatment than sulfide precipitation (6 hours). Increase in electrode distance decreased removal efficiency and increased treatment time in EC process. The optimum electrode distance was observed at 1 cm with a residual iron concentration of 0.28 mg/L after 150 minutes. Increase in applied voltage resulted to increase in removal efficiency in electrochemical-coagulation. An optimum voltage of 20 volts was used in this study with a residual iron concentration of 0.24 mg/L after 150 minutes of treatment time. Increase in electrode surface area resulted to increase in removal efficiency. The optimum surface area was 90 cm² with a residual iron concentration of 0.28 mg/L below the permissible limit of 0.3 mg/L. Increase in iron concentration resulted to a decrease in removal efficiency. Increase in sample volume also resulted to a decrease

in removal efficiency. Application of obtained optimum conditions to the real groundwater samples showed that sulfide precipitation and electrochemical-coagulation are both effective in removing iron from groundwater. However, electrochemical-coagulation had a comparative advantage over sulfide precipitation with regards to treatment time and removal efficiency. While it is recommended that sulfide precipitation be applied to samples with low iron concentration due to slow reaction of sulfide and iron at inert atmosphere, electrochemical-coagulation can be effectively used for samples with high concentration. The structure and surface morphology of the sludge obtained from sulfide precipitation using FESEM showed the presence of pyrite (FeS_2) in cubic shape. For EC treatment, the roundness of the crystals is sub-angular compared to sulfide precipitation crystal indicating the presence of colloidal particles. The objective of this study was to remove iron from groundwater using sulfide precipitation and electrochemical-coagulation and evaluate the effects of the operating parameters.

ABSTRAK

Air bawah tanah kebiasanya mengandungi mineral ion yang terlarut. Beberapa mineral terlarut adakalanya penting untuk kesihatan tetapi jika ianya berlebihan boleh mendorong masalah seperti bau dan lumur. Kajian ini menyiasat proses penyingkiran besi dari bawah air tanah menggunakan kaedah pemendapan sulfida dan pembekuan-elektrokimia (EC). Telaga pemantauan dari Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP), Perak dan loji rawatan air bawah tanah di Kampung Chicha, Tanjung Mas dan Kampung Puteh, Kelantan adalah lokasi berbeza yang dipilih untuk kajian ini. Kecekapan kedua-dua kaedah telah dinilai dalam kajian kumpulan. Dalam kaedah pemendakan sulfida, gas hidrogen sulfida yang mengandungi 0.18 M kepekatan telah dimasukkan ke dalam sampel manakala dalam kaedah EC, elektrod aluminium telah digunakan melalui aliran voltan. Kajian awal telah dijalankan untuk mengurangkan kandungan besi dengan menggunakan kedua-dua kaedah dan melalui optimum parameter yang diperolehi, ianya digunakan pula kepada air bawah tanah yang sebenar. Antara parameter yang dikaji dalam kaedah pemendakan sulfida adalah pH dan masa penuruan manakala untuk parameter elektrokimia-pembekuan pula termasuk pH, voltan, masa, jarak antara elektrod, keluasan permukaan elektrod dan kandungan terawal dan isipadu sampel. pH adalah amat penting bagi kedua-dua kaedah. Peningkatan dalam pH menyebabkan untuk meningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran untuk kedua-dua kaedah. pH 7 adalah optimum bagi kedua-dua kaedah pemendakan sulfida dan pembekuan-elektrokimia dengan kecekapan penyingkiran masing-masing sebanyak 75% dan 95% selepas 6 jam dan 2 jam proses rawatan. Peningkatan dalam masa sentuhan telah meningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran untuk kedua-dua kaedah. Walau bagaimanapun, masa sentuhan rendah (2 jam) telah diperlukan untuk rawatan EC dibandingkan dengan pemendapan sulfida (6 jam). Peningkatan dalam jarak elektrod telah mengurangkan kecekapan penyingkiran dan peningkatan masa rawatan dalam proses EC. Jarak optimum yang telah diperhatikan adalah pada 1 cm antara elektrod dengan kandungan besi berbaki 0.28 mg/L selepas 150 minit. Meningkatkan voltan yang digunakan menyebabkan peningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran dalam elektrokimia-pembekuan. Voltan optimum 20 volt telah digunakan dalam kajian ini dengan baki kandungan besi 0.24 mg L selepas 150

minit masa rawatan. Peningkatan dalam kawasan permukaan elektrod menyebabkan untuk meningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran. Luas permukaan yang optimum adalah 90 cm^2 dengan kandungan besi berkurang kepada 0.28 mg/L di bawah had yang telah dibenarkan iaitu 0.3 mg/L . Peningkatkan kepekatan besi menyebabkan kepada penurunan dalam kecekapan dalam proses penyingkiran. Isipadu sampel yang tinggi juga telah menyebabkan kepada penurunan dalam kecekapan penyingkiran. Perolehan ciri-ciri optimum dan aplikasi untuk sampel air bawah tanah menunjukkan bahawa pemendapan melalui sulfida dan pembekuan-elektrokimia, kedua-duanya berkesan dalam membuang besi didalam air bawah tanah. Walau bagaimanapun, pembekuan-elektrokimia mempunyai kelebihan berbanding pemendapan sulfida dari segi masa rawatan dan kecekapan penyingkiran. Walaupun ia adalah disyorkan bahawa pemendapan sulfida digunakan untuk merawat air yang mempunyai kandungan besi yang rendah kerana tindak balas sulfida dan besi adalah perlahan dalam keadaan atmosfera yang lengai, manakala pembekuan-elektrokimia pula boleh digunakan dengan berkesan untuk sampel yang mempunyai kepekatan tinggi. Struktur dan morfologi permukaan lumpur yang diperolehi dari pemendapan sulfida menggunakan FESEM menunjukkan kehadiran pyrite (FeS_2) dalam bentuk padu atau kuboid. Untuk rawatan pembekuan-elektrokimia pulat, bentuk krisitalnya pula adalah sub-sudut berbanding pemendapan sulfida dan ia menunjukkan kehadiran zarah koloid. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengurangkan kandungan besi dari air bawah tanah dengan menggunakan pemendapan sulfida dan pembekuan-elektrokimia dan menilai kesan-kesan operasi parameter.

In compliance with the terms of the Copyright Act 1987 and the IP Policy of the university, the copyright of this thesis has been reassigned by the author to the legal entity of the university,
Institute of Technology PETRONAS Sdn Bhd.

Due acknowledgement shall always be made of the use of any material contained in, or derived from, this thesis.

© Muhammad Noor Hisyam Jusoh, 2012
Institute of Technology PETRONAS Sdn Bhd
All rights reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
Chapter	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview of groundwater potential and usage in Malaysia.....	1
1.2 Iron in drinking water.....	4
1.3 Problem statement	4
1.4 Objectives.....	4
1.5 Significance of study.....	5
1.6 Scope of the study	5
1.7 Thesis outlines.....	6
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.0 Quality of groundwater	8
2.1 Iron	10
2.2 Sources of iron	10
2.3 Types of iron	14
2.3.1 Soluble Iron	14
2.3.2 Insoluble Iron.....	14
2.3.3 Organic Iron.....	15
2.4 Issues of iron in groundwater.....	15
2.5 Overview of conventional iron treatment	16
2.6 Non-conventional method for iron treatment	21
2.6.1 Anaerobic Treatment.....	21
2.6.2 Electrochemical treatment (EC).....	26
2.6.2.1 Electrode.....	27
2.6.2.2 Electrical potential and current density	28
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	31
3.0 Water Quality Study	31

3.1	Groundwater Study and Data collection	34
3.1.1	Groundwater from UTP monitoring well (GW-T)	34
3.1.2	Groundwater from Kg. Chicha, Kelantan (GW-K)	35
3.1.3	Groundwater from Kg. Puteh, Kelantan (GW-P)	35
3.1.4	Groundwater from Tanjung Mas, Kelantan (GW-M)	36
3.2	Physical and Chemical Analysis of Groundwater	36
3.3	Laboratory Experiment Work	38
3.4	Anaerobic Treatment by Sulfide Precipitation	38
3.4.1	pH Optimization.....	39
3.4.2	Contact time Optimization.....	39
3.4.3	Iron removal from groundwater by anaerobic treatment	39
3.5	Electrochemical Treatment	41
3.5.1	Experiment on iron removal at different pH.....	41
3.5.2	Experiment on iron removal at different distance.....	42
3.5.3	Applied voltage optimization.....	42
3.5.4	Experiment on surface area of electrode.....	42
3.5.5	Experiment using different initial concentration	42
3.5.6	Effect volume on iron removal	43
3.5.7	Iron removal from groundwater by using electrochemical..... treatment	43
3.6	Surface and chemical composition analysis.....	44
3.7	Chemical Kinetic studies.....	45
3.7.1	First order.....	45
3.7.1	Second order	46
3.7.1	Zero order.....	47
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	49
4.0	Introduction	49
4.1	Iron in groundwater.....	49

4.2 Water quality of the groundwater samples.....	50
4.2 Results on iron removal for laboratory experiment work	54
4.4 Results on iron removal under anaerobic condition.....	54
4.4.1 Result on pH optimization.....	54
4.4.2 Reduction on iron of 1 mg/L at pH 7.....	55
4.4.3 Results of experiment using actual groundwater.....	56
4.4.3.1 Sulfide concentration during iron removal	57
in anaerobic process	
4.4.3.2 Redox potential during anaerobic process	58
4.5 Results on iron treatment using electrochemical precipitation	59
4.5.1 Effect pH on iron removal using EC treatment	59
4.5.2 Effect of electro-distance on iron removal	60
4.5.3 Effect of voltage on EC treatment.....	61
4.5.4 Effect of electrode's surface area	62
4.5.5 Effect of different initial concentration.....	63
4.5.6 Effect on different volume	64
4.5.7 Results of treatment using actual groundwater	65
4.5.7.1 Effect of pH during EC treatment using actual.....	66
groundwater	
4.5.7.2 Effect of Eh during EC treatment using actual	67
groundwater	
4.5.7.3 Effect on current density during EC treatment	68
using actual groundwater	
4.5.7.4 Effect on aluminium concentration EC treatment	69
using actual groundwater	
4.6 Result of surface and chemical composition analysis	70
4.6.1 Result of FESEM and EDAX on precipitated mineral	70
after EC treatment	
4.6.2 Result of FESEM and EDAX on precipitated minerals	71
after EC treatment	
4.7 Kinetics study of precipitation iron during anaerobic and	73
electrochemical treatment	

4.7.1 First order	70
4.7.1.1 Kinetics study on iron sulphide precipitation under anaerobic condition	73
4.7.1.2 Kinetics study of iron precipitation during EC	75
EC treatment fitting to first order	
4.7.2 Second order	76
4.7.2.1 Kinetics study on iron removal under anaerobic treatment	76
4.7.2.2 Kinetics study of iron precipitation during EC	77
EC treatment fitting to second order	
4.7.3 Zero order	79
4.7.3.1 Kinetics study from treatment on iron removal	79
under anaerobic condition	
4.7.3.2 Kinetics study of iron precipitation during	80
EC treatment fitting to zero order	
 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	82
 REFERENCES	85
 APPENDICES	
Hardness calculation	
FESEM Analysis	
EDAX Analysis	
Data Collection	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Distribution of Groundwater Wells in Malaysia, 2011	5
Table 2.1: WHO and Malaysia Drinking Water Quality Standards	12
Table 2.2: Various iron concentration from different places	16
Table 2.3: Development process of typical conventional method of iron removal from groundwater	24
Table 3.1: Groundwater quality analysis methods.....	51
Table 4.1: Characteristics of groundwater from UTP (GW-T), Kg. Chicha (GW-C), Kg. Puteh (GW-P) and Tanjung Mas (GW-M)	61
Table 4.2: Characteristics of the sludge produced during sulfide precipitation process in weight and atomic (%)	85
Table 4.3: Characteristics of the sludge produced during EC process in weight and atomic percent (%)	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Iron concentrations from various depth of fractured granite aquifers ...	11
Figure 2.2: Iron concentrations from different depth of wells in metasedimentary aquifers of west coast Peninsular Malaysi	12
Figure 2.3: Effect Eh-pH on stability of iron mineral.....	23
Figure 2.4: Schematic diagram of the electrochemical experiment.....	27
Figure 3.1: Location of the monitoring well at UTP in Perak	32
Figure 3.2: Location of the well at groundwater treatment plant in Kelantan	33
Figure 3.3a: UTP monitoring well	34
Figure 3.3b: Water sampler.....	34
Figure 3.4: Sampling of raw groundwater from Kg. Puteh, Kelantan	35
Figure 3.5: Arrangement of experiment on anaerobic treatment of iron	38
Figure 3.6: Experiment on sulfide precipitation using actual groundwater.....	40
Figure 3.7: Schematic diagram of the experiment setup.....	41
Figure 3.8: Experiment on electrochemical precipitation by using aluminium..... electrode	44
Figure 3.9: Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy	44
Figure 3.10a: Characteristic kinetic plot of first order.....	46
Figure 3.10b: Characteristic kinetic plot of second order.....	47
Figure 3.10c: Characteristic kinetic plot of zero order	48
Figure 4.1: Iron levels from sand aquifers	50
Figure 4.2: Bar diagram of the water: (a) GW-T; (b) GW-C; (c) GW-P; (d) GW-M	52
Figure 4.2: Bar diagram of the water: (a) GW-T; (b) GW-C; (c) GW-P; (d) GW-M	53
Figure 4.3: Effect of pH on removal of iron from initial concentration of 1 mg/L at 25°C	54
Figure 4.4: Removal of iron over time from initial concentration of 1 mg/L	

at pH 7	55
Figure 4.5: Effect on initial concentration of iron at pH 7.....	56
Figure 4.6: Effect on initial concentration of sulfide at pH 7	57
Figure 4.7: Redox condition during treatment at pH 7	58
Figure 4.8: Effect pH on iron removal under electro-precipitation process	59
Figure 4.9: Rate of iron reduction at different electrode spacing	60
Figure 4.10: Effect voltage at 5 mg/L iron concentration using EC technique	61
Figure 4.11: Result effective surface area on treatment of iron.....	62
Figure 4.12: Effect different iron concentration during EC treatment.....	63
Figure 4.13: Effect volume of iron solution at 20 mg/L of concentration	64
Figure 4.14: Treatment of iron using actual groundwater	65
Figure 4.15: Effect pH during EC treatment.....	66
Figure 4.16: Effect Eh during iron treatment using EC method	67
Figure 4.17: Result on current density during EC treatment	68
Figure 4.18: Aluminium concentration during treatment of iron	69
Figure 4.19a: Formation of pyrite after anaerobic treatment	70
Figure 4.19b: Sulfide metals detected after treatment in anoxic environment	70
Figure 4.20a: FESEM image of grain size of iron	71
Figure 4.20b: EDAX results from precipitation of iron.....	72
Figure 4.21: The first order of chemical kinetic on initial concentration of iron at pH 7.....	74
Figure 4.22: Fitting of first order chemical kinetic of EC precipitation of iron at pH 7.....	75
Figure 4.23: The second order of chemical kinetic on initial concentration of iron at pH 7	76
Figure 4.24: Fitting of second order chemical kinetic of EC precipitation of iron at pH 7	77
Figure 4.25: The zero order of chemical kinetic on initial concentration of iron at pH 7	79
Figure 4.26: Fitting of zero order chemical kinetic of EC precipitation of iron at pH 7.....	80

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al^+	Aluminium
Ca(OH)_2	Calcium hydroxide
Ca^{2+}	Calcium
CD	Current Density
Cl^-	Chloride
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
EDAX	Energy Dispersive Analysis of X-Ray
Eh	Redox Potential
Fe^{2+}	Ferum / Iron
FeO(OH)	Ferric Oxide
FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
GW-K	Groundwater Treatment Plant from Kg. Chicha
GW-M	Groundwater Treatment Plant from Tanjung Mas
GW-P	Groundwater Treatment Plant from Kg. Puteh
GW-T	Groundwater Treatment Plant from UTP monitoring well
H^+	Hydrogen
H_2O	Water
H_2S	Hydrogen Sulfide H_2S
HCl^-	Hydrochloric Acid
HCO_3^-	Bicarbonate
HNO_3^-	Nitric Acid
K	Rate constant
K^+	Potassium
Mg^{2+}	Magnesium
Na^+	Sodium
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
NO_3^-	Nitrate
O_2	Oxygen
S^{2-}	Sulfide
SO_4^{2-}	Sulphate

TDS	Total Dissolved Solid
UTP	Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS
WHO	World Health Organization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

mg/L	milligram per liter
mV	milivolt
V	volt
$t_{1/2}$	half life
R^2	correlation coefficient
$\mu\text{g/L}$	microgram per liter
t	time

