RISK-BASED INSPECTION MODEL FOR ATMOSPHERIC STORAGE TANKS

DISSERTATION

By

Muhammad Faruqi Bin Rahmad

14838

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) (MECHANICAL)

FYP II

JANUARY 2015

Universiti Teknologi Petronas

Bandar Seri Iskandar

32610

Perak Darul Ridzuan

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Risk-based Inspection Model for Atmospheric Storage Tank

By

Muhammad Faruqi Bin Rahmad 14838 A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL)

Approved by,

(Dr. Ainul Akmar Binti Mokhtar)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS BANDAR SERI ISKANDAR, PERAK January 2015

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

MUHAMMAD FARUQI BIN RAHMAD

ABSTRACT

Cost reduction is important in current economic trend and everything is about cost reductions. Furthermore, tougher environmental regulations are driving an upsurge in the application of risk management as the methodology to optimize the plant assets inspection. Risk-based inspection (RBI) planning is a methodology for systematic decision making to identify components which are more like to fail first or damage the environment and causing injuries to the personnel and worse come to worst, leading to production shutdowns [12]. In current situation, priority being given for methodology mitigates risks that involves in the process and forecast the optimal inspections interval by using the risk. Quantitative procedures have been provide by the American Petroleum Institute (API) 581 to establish an inspection program using risk-based methods for several fix equipment including atmospheric storage tank but does not present a systematic understanding or direct application for the methodology [13]. However, the methodology for the RBI analysis is very lengthy and needed to take into account with a lot of different preset values as well as different parameters. Hence, the procedure is very complicated and messy to be applied in the industry. Besides that, traditional approach still being applied by many companies when it comes to inspection activity. Thus repetitive inspection disregard the AST's condition cause significant amount of wastage resources. From all the problem above, this project aims to develop an Excel based RBI for atmospheric storage tank. This software is developed based on the synthesis of API 581, API 580 standard. The scope of the application however would be limited on determining the risk value of the AST instead of providing the next inspection date as well. Nevertheless, with the risk value obtained, the analysts could prioritize the inspection interval effectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to Allah for giving me the opportunity to finally complete this dissertation in time and without His blessings and permission I would never be able to finish this project. First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Mechanical Engineering Department of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) for giving me a chance to commence this remarkable Final Year Project (FYP) course as a platform to enhance my knowledge and skills concerning my undergraduate studies in Mechanical Engineering all the way through these five years' time. By undertaking this project, I was able to understand the process procedure and skill required in order to conduct a project which has prepared me a better engineering graduate.

Besides, a special thanks to my compassionate supervisor, Dr. Ainul Akmar Binti Mokhtar who is always willing to spend her precious time in assisting me and gave a virtuous support since the early beginning of the project until the completion. Through the weekly meetings and discussions with my supervisor, I have acknowledged various share of insight on the diverse features to be assessed for this project to become feasible. Her brilliance support, effective guidance and patience have brought a great impact to my project. Nevertheless, I would like to thank the Final Year Project (FYP) coordinator and managing committees for arranging numerous seminars as provision and knowledge transfer to assist my work progress in the project. Last but not least, my deepest gratitude goes to my family and friends for giving me endless support all the way through the easy and challenging times. Thank you all.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACTiii	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv	
TABLE OF CONTENTv	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLESvi	
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objective	2
1.4 Scope of Study	2
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Three Type of Atmospheric Storage Tank	3
2.2 Atmospheric storage tank failure	3
2.3 Risk assessment method of Risk-based inspection (RBI)	5
2.4 Type of RBI	7
2.5 Corrosion rate	8
3. METHODOLOGY 10	
3.1 Research Methodology (Programme flow chart)	10
3.2 Overall Calculation Methodology	11
3.2.1 Damage factor calculation step	11
3.2.2 Consequences of failure calculation step	13
3.3 Software used	17
3.3.1 Visual Basic Application in Microsoft excel	17
3.4 Gantt Chart	
4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Algorithm	19
4.1.1 Algorithm for thinning damage factor calculation	19
4.1.2 Algorithm for Consequence of Failure calculation	20
4.2 Risk-based Inspection for Atmospheric Storage Tank (RAST)	23
4.2.1 Introduction	23
4.2.2 Launch Software	24
4.2.3 Data recorded	
4.2.4 Data validation	
5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Coding for Damage factor	41
Appendix B: Coding for Consequences of failure for tank shell	46
Appendix C: Coding for Consequences of failure for tank bottom	57
Appendix D: Some formula used in the calculation	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Risk matrix	6
Figure 2: Management of Risk Using RBI	7
Figure 3 : Programme flowchart	
Figure 4 : Damage factor calculation flowchart	
Figure 5: AST financial consequences flowchart	16
Figure 6 : Example VBA coding	17
Figure 7 : Gantt chart and milestone	
Figure 8: Algorithm for thinning damage factor	19
Figure 9: Algorithm for COF of tank shell	
Figure 10: Algorithm for COF of tank bottom	
Figure 11: RAST Loading Screen	23
Figure 12 : Introduction to RBI	24
Figure 13: Special help button interface	25
Figure 14: General information of AST	
Figure 15: Selection of AST component	
Figure 16: Inspection data history	
Figure 17: Thinning and corrosion information	
Figure 18: Construction and maintenance information	
Figure 19: Financial consequences	
Figure 20: Dike, onsite and offsite area	
Figure 21: RBI result	
Figure 22: Recorded input data	
Figure 23: RBI result for first data set	
Figure 24: RBI result for second data set	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Cause of atmospheric storage tank failure	4
Table 2: Probability and financial-based consequence categories in API RBI	6
Table 3: Algorithm nomenclature description	19
Table 4: Algorithm nomenclature description	21
Table 5: Effectiveness category for external tank shell course	
Table 6: Effectiveness category for external category	
Table 7: Effectiveness category for tank bottom	29
Table 8: Online monitoring factor	
Table 9: Environmental sensitivity	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Atmospheric Storage tanks (AST) in refineries and chemical plants contain large volumes of flammable and hazardous chemicals. The main function of AST is to store large quantity of property loss and a few days of production interruption[1]. A large accident results in lawsuits, stock devaluation, or company bankruptcy. Therefore, AST can be describing as one of the importance equipment in any plant or refinery.

Nowadays, a new trend involving the determination of best inspection intervals for related equipment especially storage tank based on calculated risk has been introduced. It is known as Risk Based Inspection (RBI). RBI is a method for using risk as a basis to prioritize and manage the efforts of an inspection program and focused on loss of containment of pressurized equipment in processing facilities due to material deterioration[2].

RBI is the combination between the probability of some event occurring during a time period of interest and the consequences generally negative is associated with the event in order to estimate the risk to develop inspection plan[2]. Overall, it is a methodology to improve the management of risk through the examination on the critical areas of the plant while reducing the inspection interval on the less critical areas.

Inspection activities are a compulsory routine for any plant in order to get the actual data on the condition of any equipment. With old inspection method, the intervals were solely time based and do not take into account the actual condition or the environmental exposure of the AST. RBI methodology could provide accurate predictive time frame and provide a higher level of coverage on the high-risk equipment and an appropriate effort on lower risk equipment[3]. Without a doubt, RBI analysis can be used to determine the most optimum combination of equipment inspection methods, scopes and frequencies.

1.2 Problem Statement

The American Petroleum Institute (API) 581 standard has come out with quantitative procedures to conduct an inspection program using risk-based methods for several fix equipment including atmospheric storage tank. However, it does not present a systematic understanding or direct application for the methodology. Moreover, the methodology for the RBI analysis is very lengthy and needed to take into account with a lot of different preset values as well as different parameters. Hence, the procedure is very complicated and messy to be applied in the industry. Besides that, a lot of companies still practicing traditional approach when it comes to inspection activity. Repetitive inspection disregard the AST's condition eventually leads to significant amount of wastage resources. To solve the issues mentioned above, this project aims to develop an Excel based RBI for atmospheric storage tank. This software is developed based on the synthesis of API 581, API 580 standard.

1.3 Objective

The objective of this project is to develop an Excel-based RBI model which able to determine the risk value and risk level for atmospheric storage tank.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of the project would be developing the RBI model which limited on determining the risk value of the AST instead of providing the next inspection date as well. However, with the risk value obtained, the analysts could prioritize the inspection interval effectively.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Three Type of Atmospheric Storage Tank

External floating roof tank consist of cylindrical steel shell equipped with a roof that floats on the surface of the stored liquid. It have roof that can move up and down according to the liquid level inside the tank. This movement can reduce the evaporative loss of the stored liquid and preserve the quantity of the liquid[4]. The main function is to provide safe, efficient storage of volatile products with minimum vapor loss to the environment.

Fixed roof storage tanks have roofs that are permanently attached to the tank shell. It usually fully welded and designed for both liquid and vapor tight. A typical fixed-roof tank consists of a cylindrical steel shell with a cone or dome shaped roof that is permanently affixed to the tank shell[4]. Domed external fixed roof tanks have self-supporting aluminum dome roof attach to the tank shell and coned roof tank have aluminum cone roof which attach to the tank shell.

Internal floating roof tank has both permanent fixed roof and a floating roof inside. There are two basic types of internal floating roof tanks which the fixed roof is supported by vertical columns within the tank and tanks with a self-supporting fixed roof and no internal support columns[5]. Fixed roof tanks that have been retrofitted to employ an internal floating roof are typically of the first type, while external floating roof tanks that have been converted to an internal floating roof tank typically have a self-supporting roof. The internal floating roof rises and falls with the liquid level.

2.2 Atmospheric storage tank failure

AST failures are frequently caused by tank shell or tank bottom. Catastrophic failures could lead to possibility of sudden tank failure. Studies have shown that in the event of such failures the secondary containment can be of insufficient design to withstand the impacting surge wave and associated tank debris[6]. This is demonstrated in the incident, which occurred at the Azotas Fertilizer Plant, Lithuania in 1989. In this case,

an Ammonia storage tank failed that caused by overpressurisation, the tank split open releasing its contents and subsequently the tank separated from its foundations and crashed through the surrounding reinforced concrete bund[6].

Fortunately, catastrophic failure of tanks used for storing hazardous liquids is rare. However, the consequences to the local community and the environment are devastating. Such failures have occurred in the USA, in Greece and in Lithuania, for example and can involve millions of gallons being lost[7]. History has shown that when failure occur, a large proportion of the liquid is likely to escape over the surrounding bund wall or embankment, even if the force of the wave impact does not damage the retaining structures. The recent events at the Buncefield site, Hertfordshire on Sunday 11th December 2005 involving one of the biggest explosions in Europe since the Second World War, indicates the need for adequate assessment of risk[7]. All these accident can be prevented if good inspection practice is implementing in the plant or refinery.

Table 1: Cause of atmospheric storage tank failure [1]

Maintenance Error	Tank Crack/Rupture	Piping Rupture/Leak	Equipment/ Instrument Failure	Operational Error
 Sparks Non explosion- Proof Motor And Tools Used Circuit Shortcut Welding Transformer Spark Poor Grounding of Soldering Equipment 	 Poor Soldering Shell Distortion Poor Fabrication Subsidence High Pressure Liquid from Downstream Vessels Back up Corrosion Microbiological Sulfate Reducing Bacteria 	 Low Temp Pump Leak Cut by Oil Stealers Cut Accidently by a Contractor Flammable Liquid Leak from a Gasket Propane Line Broken by an ATV 	 O2 Analyzer Failure Thermostat Failure Floating Roof Sunk Relief Valves Failure Accidentally Opened Heater Failure Discharge Valve Rupture Frozen LPG Valve Overheated by Steam Heater Level Indicator Rust Vent Valve not Open 	 Drain Valves Left Open Accidentally Overfill SOP Not Followed Tankcars Moved Accidentally during Loading Vent Closed During Loading Oil Leaks due to Operators Errors High Inlet Temp

Cause of atmospheric storage tank failure

2.3 Risk assessment method of Risk-based inspection (RBI)

Risk is the combination of the probability of some event occurring during a time period of interest and the consequences generally negative is associated with the event[8]. Risk assessment is the process of identifying the sources of hazards, estimating the risk and evaluating the results[9]. The probability of failure and consequences of failure are combined to produce an estimate of risk[2].

Risk = Probability of Failure (POF) X Consequence of failure (COF) (Equation 1)

COF can be defined as a result or effect, typically one that related to fatalities or cost for example damage area, item repair and Injury[2]. In RBI, the probability of failure is computed by Equation (2).

POF = Generic Failure Frequency x damage factor x Management Systems Factor (Equation 2)

Generic Failure Frequency represents a POF and developed for specific type of component, but do not reflect the true failure frequency for a specific component subject to a specific damage mechanism[10]. Damage factor is an adjustment factor applied to account for damage mechanisms that are active in a component, which modifies the industry Generic Failure Frequency and makes it specific to the component under evaluation.

Proba bility	category	Consequence category					
Category	Range	Category	Range (\$)				
1	$D_f(t) \le 2$	А	$FC \leq 10000$				
2	$2 < D_{f}(t) \le 20$	В	$10000 < FC \le 100000$				
3	$20 < D_f(t) \le 100$	С	$100\;000 < FC \le 1\;000\;000$				
4	$100 < D_f(t) \le 1000$	D	$1000000 < FC \le 10000000$				
5	$D_f(t) > 1000$	Е	FC > 10000000				

Table 2: Probability and financial-based consequence categories in API RBI [8]

Management Systems Factor represents the effect of the facility's management system on the mechanical integrity of the plant equipment[10]. Probability and Consequence Categories in API 581 are shown in Table (2), in which Probability Categories are divided by the magnitude of the total damage factor. API 581 uses a risk matrix showed in Figure (1) to present the risk distribution of different components.



Figure 1: Risk matrix [2]

The setting of inspection frequency within RBI is not a rigid process with fixed, predetermined inspection intervals. Inspection intervals for any given component may change throughout the life of the asset as risk increases or decreases[9]. The frequencies that RBI derives are aligned to the needs of the component or situation and the risks associated. General logic to the inspections and frequency of the inspections are the higher risk components generally have the shorter frequencies of inspection and have

potentially larger inspection population requirements whereas lower risk components often have extended inspection frequency and have reduced inspection population requirements[9].



Figure 2: Management of Risk Using RBI [8]

2.4 Type of RBI

There are three methods to perform the RBI which are Quantitative, Qualitative and Semi-Quantitative method. Qualitative approach requires data inputs based on description information such as user own experience and engineering judgment as the input data. These inputs usually resulted in qualitative term such as ranging from low to high instead of numerical values. Quantitative approach relies on comprehensive information such as facility design, operating history, equipment reliability and so on. Besides, this approach also uses logic models to depict the combinations of events that could lead to severe incidents. As for the semi-quantitative approach, this analysis is comprised both the qualitative and quantitative analysis by utilizing both of the approaches advantage. As a remark, semi-quantitative method is used for the development of the software. In all the approaches, the end results are the same which is the risk matrix. Risk matrix basically comprises of both the likelihood and consequence category[2].

2.5 Corrosion rate

The amount of corrosion produced by a unit of surface area when referred to a specific period of time is known as the corrosion rate [14]. As was previously mentioned, this parameter may be measured by weight differences before and after having submitted the metal to the corrosive environment. It is an integral and an averaged indicator.

The simplest way of measuring the corrosion rate of a metal is to expose the sample to the test medium and measure the loss of weight of the material as a function of time. The research done by the Metal Sample Corrosion Monitoring System also stated that the weight loss analysis is the simplest and longest-established method of estimating corrosion losses. The relation between weight loss and corrosion rate are as follow:

 $Corrosion \ rate \ (CR) = \frac{Weight \ loss \ \times K}{Alloy \ density \times exposed \ area \times exposure \ time}$

Pitting corrosion occur in certain conditions, such as low concentrations of oxygen or high concentrations of species such as chloride which complete as anions, can interfere with a given alloy's ability to re-form a passivating film. In the worst case, almost all of the surface will remain protected, but tiny local fluctuations will degrade the oxide film in a few critical points. Corrosion at these points will be greatly amplified, and can cause corrosion pits of several types, depending upon conditions [15]. While the corrosion pits only nucleate under fairly extreme circumstances, they can continue to grow even when conditions return to normal, since the interior of a pit is naturally deprived of oxygen and locally the pH decreases to very low values and the corrosion rate increases due to an autocatalytic process. In extreme cases, the sharp tips of extremely long and narrow corrosion pits can cause stress concentration to the point that otherwise tough alloys can shatter. A thin film pierced by an invisibly small hole can hide a thumb sized pit from view. These problems are especially dangerous because they are difficult to detect before a part or structure fails. Pitting remains among the most common and damaging forms of corrosion in passivated alloys but it can be prevented by control of the alloy's environment [14].

Pitting results when a small hole, or cavity, forms in the metal, usually as a result of depassivation of a small area. This area becomes anodic, while part of the remaining metal becomes cathodic, producing a localized galvanic reaction. The deterioration of this small area penetrates the metal and can lead to failure. This form of corrosion is often difficult to detect due to the fact that it is usually relatively small and may be covered and hidden by corrosion-produced compounds.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Methodology (Programme flow chart)



Figure 3 : Programme flowchart

3.2 Overall Calculation Methodology

In this project, the final result will be presented in term of risk matrix and it is an effective way of showing the distribution of risks for different components in a process unit without numerical values. Two items that need to be calculated in order to get the risk value are consequences and probability of failure which is represent as the damage factor. In the risk matrix, the consequence and probability categories are arranged such that the highest risk components are toward the upper right-hand corner.

3.2.1 Damage factor calculation step

The damage factor is determined based on the applicable damage mechanisms relevant to the materials of construction and the process service, the physical condition of the component, and the inspection techniques used to quantify damage. The damage factor modifies the industry generic failure frequency and makes it specific to the component under evaluation. The basic function of the damage factor is to statistically evaluate the amount of damage that may be present as a function of time in service and the effectiveness of an inspection activity to quantify that damage.

Damage factor is cause by many damage mechanisms such as thinning, component linings, external damage, stress corrosion cracking, high temperature hydrogen attack, mechanical fatigue and brittle fracture. However only thinning damage mechanism are utilized for tank risk calculation.

In the thinning damage factor calculation, it is assumed that the thinning mechanism has resulted in an average rate of thinning over the time period defined in the basic data that is fairly constant. The probability of failure is estimated by examining the possibility that the rate of thinning is greater than what is expected. The probability of these higher rates is determined by the amount of inspection and on-line monitoring that has been performed. The more thorough the inspection, and the greater the number of inspections and continued use of on-line monitoring, the less likely is the chance that the rate of thinning is greater than anticipated. In the damage factor calculation, it is assumed that thinning damage would eventually result in failure by plastic collapse. Below is the flow chart of the steps required to determine thinning damage factor. All formula used are based on the formula given in API 581. Some of the formulas are stated in the *Appendix D*.



3.2.2 Consequences of failure calculation step

The consequence analysis in an API RBI assessment is performed to aid in establishing a ranking of equipment items on the basis of risk. The consequence measures presented in this part are intended to be used for establishing priorities for inspection programs. Methodologies for two levels of analysis are provided. A Level 1 consequence analysis which is intended to be a simplified workbook method is detailed for a limited number of hazardous fluids. A Level 2 consequence analysis methodology which is intended to be much more rigorous and can be applied to a wider range of hazardous fluids.

Atmospheric Storage tanks have special consequence analysis methodology provided by API. Only Level 1 consequence analysis in financial terms is used for the analysis of tank components. The flow chart below shows the calculation steps for calculation of financial consequence of atmospheric storage tank.







Determine financial consequences



Figure 5: AST financial consequences flowchart

3.3 Software used

3.3.1 Visual Basic Application in Microsoft excel

Visual Basic for Applications (VBA) can define user function and can do verity of calculation. It can enable building user-defined functions, automating processes and accessing Windows API and other low-level functionality through dynamic-link libraries . It supersedes and expands on the abilities of earlier application-specific macro programming languages such as Word's WordBasic

It has a programming aspect allowing the user to employ a wide variety of numerical methods, for example, for solving differential equations of mathematical physics, and then reporting the results back to the spreadsheet[11]. In this project, some of the coding is shown in *Appendix A, Appendix B, Appendix C*.



Figure 6 : Example VBA coding

3.4 Gantt Chart

To ensure the project can be delivered on time within the specified period, Gantt Chart and milestone are constructed as per below:

	EVP Project Timeline EVP 1						FYP2										_					
No	Detail/Week	1	23	34	5	617	18	911	D 11	12	13 1	14	12345678910111213								13 14	
1	Literature Review							-				-	F	Ħ	+	Ħ	Ŧ	H	+		-	
	Research on the topic either from books, journals websites					+	Ħ	+						Ħ	+	Ħ		H	+	\square	+	+
	Read up about RBI and AST	1	+	+	Ħ	+	Ħ	+	+					Ħ	+	Ħ		H	+		+	+
2	Mathematical and Statistical Calculation	1					Ħ							Ħ	+	Ħ	+	H	+	\square	+	+
	Get into details with the API risk-assessment													Ħ	+	Ħ					+	
	Learn and expose to the relating equations such as the calculations for calculating the POF and PO	iC		\top	П		П	╈						Π	-	Ħ		П	\square	П	\neg	
3	Learn Visual Basic Applications				П		Π							Π		Π			\square		\neg	
	Get in touch with all the basic and useful command				П		Π							Π		Π	Τ			\square		
4	Understand the limitation and the scope of the RBI AST			Τ	П		Π							Π		Π	Τ			\square	Τ	
	Fix up the parameter such as necessary input data needed for the RBI						Π							Π		Π						
5	Draft on AST RBI Methodology						Π							Π		\square						
	Finalize all the equations needed for the RBI						Π							Π								
6	Development of Excel Based RBI																					
	Will be distributed into 2 development segments						Π							Π		\square						
- 7	Additional Reading													Π								
8	Debugging the software																					
	"Test run" the software to make sure it's working and compiled properly																					
- 9	Preliminary Testing																					
	Simulate the software for supervisor													\square								
	Request additional input from supervisor																					
10	Repeat debugging until preliminary testing passed																					
	Request for actual case study													\square								
11	Hypothetical case study simulation																					
	Apply the actual fieldwork data using the software																					
12	Data Validations						\square						L	Ш								
	Compare the end results data with actual scenario						\square						L	Ш		Ш		Ш				
	Further changes is made if is necessary				\square		\square						L	Ш		Ш		Ш				
13	Results and Analysis						\square						L	Ш		\square						
14	Conclusions and Recommendations						\square						L	Ш		Ш						
	Suggest potential idea for future improvisation													Ш		Ц		Ш		Ш		
	Process																					
	miles stone																					

Figure 7 : Gantt chart and milestone

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Algorithm

Algorithm is a process or set of rules to be followed in calculations or other problemsolving operations, especially by a computer.



4.1.1 Algorithm for thinning damage factor calculation

Figure 8: Algorithm for thinning damage factor

Table 3: Algorithm nomenclature description

	Nomenclature	Description
1	age	is the time since the last thickness reading
2	agerc	is the time required or age from the last inspection date when the cladding is corroded away
3	Art	is the damage factor parameter
4	Cr	is the corrosion rate
5	Crbm	is the corrosion rate for the base metal
6	Crcm	is the corrosion rate for the cladding
7	CA	is the corrosion allowance
8	DFthinf	is the damage factor for thinning
9	DFthinfB	is the base value of the damage factor for thinning
10	FAM	is the damage factor adjustment for tank maintenance per API 653
11	FOM	is the damage factor adjustment for online monitoring
12	FSM	is the damage factor adjustment for settlement
13	FWD	is the damage factor adjustment for welded construction
14	tmin	is the minimum required wall thickness based on the applicable construction code
15	t	is the furnished thickness of the component base metal
16	trc	is the remaining thickness of the cladding
17	trd	is the thickness reading

4.1.2 Algorithm for Consequence of Failure calculation



Figure 9: Algorithm for COF of tank shell



Figure 10: Algorithm for COF of tank bottom

Table 4:	Algorithm	nomenclature	description
----------	-----------	--------------	-------------

	Nomenclature	Description
1	An	is the hole area associated with the <i>nth</i> release hole size
2	Bbltotal	is the product volume in the tank
3	Bblavailn	is the available product volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size due to a leak
4	Bblleakgroundwatern	is the product volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size due to a leak in the groundwater
5	Bblleaksubsoiln	is the product volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size due to a leak in the subsoil
6	Bblleakn	is the product volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size due to a leak
7	Bblleakgroundwater	is the total product volume in the groundwater due to a leak
8	Bblleakindike	is the total product volume in the dike due to a leak
9	Bblleakrelease	is the total product volume released due to a leak
10	Bblleakssonsite	is the total product volume released on the surface located on-site due to a leak
11	Bblleakssoffsite	is the total product volume released on the surface located off-site due to a leak
12	Bblleaksubsoil	is the total product volume in the subsoil due to a leak
13	Bblleakwater	is the total product volume in the water due to a leak
14	Bblrupturen	is the product volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size due to a rupture
15	Bblruptureindike	is the product volume in the dike due to a rupture
16	Bblrupturerelease	is the product volume in released due to a rupture
17	Bblrupturessonsite	is the product volume on the surface located on-site due to a rupture
18	Bblrupturessoffsite	is the product volume on the surface located off-site due to a rupture
19	Bblrupturewater	is the total product volume in the water due to a rupture
20	CHT	is the course height of the tank
21	Cd	is the discharge coefficient
22	Cindike	is the environmental cost for product in the dike area
23	Cssonsite	is the environmental cost for product on the surface located on-site

·	~ ~ ~	
24	Cssoffsite	is the environmental cost for product on the surface located off-site
25	Cwater	is the environmental cost for product in water
26	Csubsoil	is the environmental cost for product in the subsoil
27	Cgroundwater	is the environmental cost for product in the groundwater
28	Cqo	is the adjustment factor for degree of contact with soil
29	dn	is the diameter of the <i>nth</i> release hole
30	Dtank	is the tank diameter,
31	FCenviron	is the financial consequence of environmental clean-up,
32	FCcmd	is the financial consequence of component damage,
33	FCprod	is the financial consequence of lost production on the unit
34	FCtotal	is the total financial consequence
35	FCleakenviron	is the financial consequence of environmental cleanup for leakage
36	FCruptureenviron	is the financial consequence of environmental cleanup for leakage
37	g	is the acceleration due to gravity on earth at sea level = 9.81 m/s^2
38	gffn	are the generic failure frequencies for each of the <i>n</i> release hole sizes selected for the
	6	type of equipment being evaluated
39	gfftotal	is the sum of the individual release hole size generic frequencies
40	hlia	is the maximum fill height in the tank
41	kh	is the soil hydraulic conductivity.
42	Khprod	is the soil hydraulic conductivity based on the tank product.
43	Khwater	is the soil hydraulic conductivity based on water
44	Khwaterlb	is the lower bound soil hydraulic conductivity based on water
45	Khwaterub	is the upper bound soil hydraulic conductivity based on water
46	ldn	is the actual leak duration of the release based on the available mass and the calculated
-0	Iuli	release rate associated with the <i>nth</i> release hole size
47	I volaboven	is the total liquid volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size
48	L volavailn	is the available liquid volume for the <i>nth</i> release hole size
40	Lvolabovei	is the total liquid volume above the <i>ith</i> tank shell course
50	Lvoltotal	is the total liquid volume in the tank
51	L HTabovei	is the liquid height above the <i>ith</i> tank shell course
52	Matcost	is the material cost factor
52	Natcost	is the total number of tank shall courses
54	NC Viscosity liquid	is the dynamic viceosity
55	Viscosity liquid	is the numbers of days of downtime required to repair domage to the surrounding
33	Outageana	is the numbers of days of downtime required to repair damage to the surrounding
56	Outogon	is the number of downtime down to renain domage accepted with the uth release hele
50	Outagen	is the number of downtime days to repair damage associated with the <i>nin</i> release note
57	De	size
58	Dlydika	is the percentage of fluid leaving the dike
50	Donsito	is the percentage of fluid that leaves the dike area but remains on site
59	Poffsite	is the percentage of fluid that leaves the dike area but remains off site
60	Ponsity liquid	is the liquid density at storage or normal operating and difference
01	Density inquia	is the density of success of normal operating conditions
62	Density water	is the density of water at storage or normal operating conditions
63	raten	is the adjusted or mitigated discharge rate used in the consequence calculation
<u> </u>		associated with the <i>nth</i> release noie size
64	sgw	is the distance to the groundwater underneath the tank
65	1 gl	is the time required for the product to reach the groundwater through a leak in the tank
	TT1 1	
66	1 Id	is the leak detection time
67	Velsprod	is the seepage velocity
68	Wn	is the discharge rate of the tank product through a hole in the shell course

4.2 Risk-based Inspection for Atmospheric Storage Tank (RAST)

After a month of coding and graphic user interface designing, the Excel-based software is successfully developed and named as **RAST** that based on the short form of Risk-based Inspection for Atmospheric Storage Tank (AST). Once the software is launched, *figure 11* would then pop out providing the user of four alternatives including **Introduction**, **Launch software**, **Data recorded** and **Exit**. **Exit** button used to close the software when it is click by the user. This whole interface develops using user form application inside the VBA that allow user to design user friendly software by only using Microsoft excel.



Figure 11: RAST Loading Screen

4.2.1 Introduction

When user click the first command button that shows "**Introduction**", *figure 12* would then appear giving a brief introduction regarding RBI technology and its advantages.



Figure 12 : Introduction to RBI

Introduction is important for the user to get the rough idea on the RBI and important of RBI is in the industry especially for the first time user. It also states brief definition of RBI and explaining how RBI system works. The information above is based on the API Risk-based inspection. "Home" used to close the introduction windows to go back to main page.

4.2.2 Launch Software

The second button, "**Launch Software**" button will direct user to input window. When the button is click, interface will appear consist of five different categories of input data that needed to be entered in by user. Six categories namely are:

- a) General information of atmospheric storage tank
- b) Select component want to be evaluate
- c) Inspection data history
- d) Thinning and corrosion history
- e) Construction and maintenance information
- f) Financial consequences

Before started entering the input, user need to aware that special "?" help buttons are created as help button for the user. User can press them if having any unclear statement

or unable to understand the question given in the software. Pop out as shown in *figure 13* is the example when the button is click. This interface will pop when help button is click and can be closed by pressing "**Close**" button. However, only a few questions are equip with these special help button depending on the level of difficulties of question.



Figure 13: Special help button interface

All respective categories needed different type of parameters which required in the RBI analysis. For the first category requires the user to input general information of AST at the empty boxes such as the equipment number, diameter, height, maximum capacity and total tank shell course. These can be seen from *figure 14*. All these information either in value or wording form are essential data needed by the program to continue the analysis. For "**Type of content**", "**Type of soil**", "**Type of tank shell material**" and "**Type of bottom material**" questions, list of answers can only being select when the user click at the empty blank space .

PLEASE INSERT ALL THE VALUES IN THE EMPTY BOXES			
1) GENERAL INFORMATION OF ATMOSPHERIC STORAGE TANK			
Equipment number			
Tank diameter	m		
Tank Height	m		
Maximum capacity	m³	?	
Type of content			
Type of soil			
Operating height of liquid	m	?	
Type of material for tank shell		?	
Total tank shell course		?	
Type of material for bottom plate		?	

Figure 14: General information of AST

On the other hand, the second category is the selections of AST component that wanted to be evaluated which are **Internal tank shell course**, **External tank shell course** or **Tank bottom**. User can only select one component to be analyzed from these lists. AST being evaluate and analyze separately due to their large structure and consist of different type of other large components. By analyze it separately, it will produce more accurate analysis and more reliable result compared to the result that analyze AST as one component. The selection of AST component can be seen from *figure 15*.

2) SELECT COMPONENT WANT TO BE EVALUATE		
CALCULATE RISK VALUE FOR :]
	Internal tank shell course	
3) INSPECTION DATA HISTORY FOR TANK SHELL	Tank bottom	

Figure 15: Selection of AST component

3) INSPECTION DATA HISTORY FOR TANK SHELL	
Tank start date	12-Feb-96 🔻
1) First inspection	
Inspection date	18-Feb-98 🔻
Inspection effectiveness	?
2) Second inspection	
Inspection date	20- Apr-00 🗸
Inspection effectiveness	?
3) Third inspection	
Inspection date	14-Feb-07 💌
Inspection effectiveness	?
Last inspection thickness reading	mm

Figure 16: Inspection data history

AST Inspection data history as shown in *figure 16* which is the third category allows user to enter previous AST inspection information for example **Tank start date**, **Inspection effectiveness** and **Last inspection thickness reading**. The effectiveness of each inspection performed within he designated time period must be choose within this characterized **Highly effective**, **Usually effective**, **Fairy effective**, **Poorly effective** and **Ineffective**. User can refer *table 5, table 6 and table 7,* as guidance for the user to decide and enter inspection effectiveness level. The highest effectiveness inspection will be used to determine the damage factor.

Table 5: Effectiveness category for external tank shell course

Inspection Category	Inspection Effectiveness Category	Inspection	
A	Highly Effective	 a. Intrusive inspection – good visual inspection with pit depth gage measurements at suspect locations. b. UT scanning follow up on suspect location and as general confirmation of wall thickness 	
В	Usually Effective	 a. External spot UT scanning based on visual information from previous internal inspection of this tank or similar service tanks. b. Internal video survey with external UT follow-up. 	
с	Fairly Effective	Eternal spot UT scanning based at suspect locations without benefit of any internal inspection information on tank type or service.	
D	Poorly Effective	External spot UT based at suspect locations without benefit of any internal inspection information on tank type or service.	
E	Ineffective	No inspection	

Table 6: Effectiveness category for external category

Inspection Category	Inspection Effectiveness Category	Inspection	
A	Highly Effective	 a. Insulated – >95% external visual inspection prior to removal of insulation b. Remove >90% of insulation at suspect locations, OR >90% pulse eddy current inspection. c. Visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. a. Non-Insulated - >95% visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. 	
в	Usually Effective	 a. Insulated – >95% external visual inspection prior to removal of insulation b. Remove >30% of insulation at suspect locations, OR >30% pulse eddy current inspection. c. Visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. a. Non-Insulated - >50% visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. 	
с	Fairly Effective	 a. Insulated – >95% external visual inspection prior to removal of insulation b. Remove >10% of insulation at suspect locations, OR >10% pulse eddy curren inspection. c. Visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. a. Non-Insulated - >25% visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. 	
D	Poorly Effective	 a. Insulated - >95% external visual inspection prior to removal of insulation b. Remove >5% of insulation at suspect locations, OR >5% pulse eddy current inspection. c. Visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. a. Non-Insulated - >10% visual inspection of the exposed surface area with follow-up by UT or pit gauge as required. 	
E	Ineffective	 a. Insulated – No visual inspection of insulation surface area or removal of insulation. a. Non-Insulated - <5% visual of the exposed surface area 	

Inspection Category	Inspection Effectiveness Category	Soil Side	Product Side
A	Highly Effective	 a. Floor scan 90+% & UT follow- up b. Include welds if warranted from the results on the plate scanning c. Hand scan of the critical zone 	 a. Commercial blast b. Effective supplementary light c. Visual 100% (API 653) d. Pit depth gauge e. 100% vacuum box testing of suspect welded joints Coating or Liner: a. Sponge test 100% b. Adhesion test c. Scrape test
в	Usually Effective	 a. Floor scan 50+% & UT follow-up OR b. EVA or other statistical method with Floor scan follow-up if warranted by the result 	 a. Brush blast b. Effective supplementary light c. Visual 100% (API 653) d. Pit depth gauge <u>Coating or Liner</u>: a. Sponge test >75% b. Adhesion test c. Scrape test
с	Fairly Effective	 a. Floor scan 5-10+% plates; supplement with scanning near Shell & UT follow-up; Scan circle and X pattern b. Progressively increase if damage found during scanning c. Helium/Argon test d. Hammer test e. Cut coupons 	 a. Broom swept b. Effective supplementary light c. Visual 100% d. Pit depth gauge <u>Coating or Liner</u>: a. Sponge test 50 – 75% b. Adhesion test c. Scrape test
D	Poorly Effective	a. Spot UT b. Flood test	 a. Broom swept b. No effective supplementary lighting c. Visual 25-50% <u>Coating or Liner</u>: a. Sponge test <50
E	Ineffective	None	None

Table 7: Effectiveness category for tank bottom

The forth category is the thinning and corrosion information, *figure 17*. This interface asks for information regarding corrosion rate and thinning effect that being faced by the component. The information includes the type of equipment, operating condition, representative process fluid and financial properties. Corrosion rate data input is in unit of millimeter per year. Cladding is defined as a covering or coating on a structure of AST. If user clicks "**Yes**" at the cladding question, one more question will pop out asking for corrosion rate of cladding. However, it is difficult to have AST that used metal as a cladding component in the industry. Corrosion allowance is the additional thickness to the wall thickness to compensate for the metal expected to be lost over the life of the equipment. Thus its value is very small compared to the thickness of base
metal. All of the parameters are necessary in the calculation for the damage factor and consequences of failure.

4) THINNING AND CORROSION INFORMATION ON TANK BOTTOM	
Corrosion rate base metal	mm/year
Equipment has cladding?	
Tank bottom have release prevention barrier?	
Furnished thickness of component base metal	mm
Corrosion allowance	

Figure 17: Thinning and corrosion information

The fifth category is the **construction and maintenance information** as shown in figure 18. The information includes the adjustment to damage factor for online monitoring value, adjustment for tank settlement and maintenance method.

5) MAINTENANCE INFORMATION ON THE TANK BOTTOM		
Damage factor for on-line monitoring value	?	
Component are welded & not reveted?		
Tank are maintenance according API653		
Adjusment for tank settlement		

Figure 18: Construction and maintenance information

Adjustment for on-line monitoring of corrosion or key process variables affecting corrosion is commonly used in many processes to prevent corrosion failures. The advantage of on-line monitoring is that changes in corrosion rates as a result of process changes can be detected long before they would be detected with normal periodic inspections. This earlier detection usually permits more timely action to be taken that should decrease the probability of failure. Various methods are employed, ranging from corrosion probes, corrosion coupons, and monitoring of key process variables. If on-line monitoring is employed, then credit should be given to reflect higher confidence in the predicted thinning rate. However, these methods have a varying degree of success depending on the specific thinning mechanism. Using knowledge of the thinning

mechanism and the type of on-line monitoring, users can determine the on-line monitoring factor base from *table 8*.

	Adjustment Factors as a Function of On-Line Monitoring, $F_{\rm OM}$				
Thinning Mechanism	Key Process Variable	Electrical Resistance Probes (See Note 3)	Corrosion Coupons (See Note 3)		
Hydrochloric Acid (HCI) Corrosion	10 (20 if in conjunction with Probes)	10	2		
High Temperature Sulfidic/Naphthenic Acid Corrosion	10	10	2		
High Temperature H ₂ S/H ₂ Corrosion	1	10	1		
Sulfuric Acid (H₂S/H₂) Corrosion Low Velocity ≤ 3 ft/s for CS, ≤ 5 ft/s for SS, ≤ 7 ft/s for higher alloys	20	10	2		
High Velocity > 3 ft/s for CS, > 5 ft/s for SS, > 7 ft/s for higher alloys	10 (20 if in conjunction with Probes)	10	1		
Hydrofluoric Acid (HF) Corrosion	10	1	1		
Sour Water Corrosion Low ∨elocity ≤ 20 ft/s	20	10	2		
High Velocity > 20 ft/s	10	2	2		
Amine					
Low Velocity High Velocity	20 10	10 10	2 1		
Other Corrosion Mechanism	1	1	1		

Table 8: Online monitoring factor

Adjustment for Welded Construction is depending whether the component is welded or not. Welded component give value of 1 where else non welded give value 10. Adjustment for Maintenance asks the user whether AST is maintained or not maintained according to API. If the AST is maintained in accordance with API 653, it gives value of 1 where else if not maintained according to API653 it will give value of 5. Finally adjustment for settlement need information on the settlement information such as **exceed API 653**, **not exceed API 653**, **not evaluate** or **no settlement**.

The last category is the financial consequences information that asks regarding effect of AST leak or rupture towards the financial of the company as shown in *figure 19*. The consequences associated with atmospheric storage tanks is concerned primarily with the financial losses due to loss of containment and leakage through the tank floor as well as leakage or rupture of a tank shell course .The information includes the position of release hole, percentage of fluid leaves the AST, environmental sensitivity and course of production due to repair.

Position of release hole is defined as the position of release hole at the tank shell course level. The user enter level of shell course that having small, medium, large and rupture type release hole. Percentage of fluid leaving the dike, fluid leaves dike area but remain onsite and fluid leaves dike area but remain offsite are the assumption need to be made by the user when the tank shell and tank bottom having leakage or rupture. Dike area, onsite and offsite are defining base on the *figure 20*.

6) FINANCIAL CONSEQUENCES DUE TO THE RUPTURE OR RELEASE	AT TANK SHELL	
<u>Position of release hole at tank shell</u> Location of small release hole(0-0.3175mm) Location of medium hole(0.3176-6.34mm) Location of large release hole(6.34-50.8mm) Location of rupture release hole(>50.8mm)		
Percentage of fluid leaving dike	х	?
Percentage of fluid leaves dike area but remain onsite	Х	?
Percentage of fluid that leaves dike area but remain offsite	х.	?
Environmental sensitivity cost		
Environmental cost for product located in surface soil located off-site	\$/Bbl	?
Environmental cost for product in surface water	\$/ВЫ	?
Environmental cost for product located in subsoil	\$/Bbl	?
Environmental cost for product located in groundwater	\$/ВЫ	?
Cost of lost production due to repair	\$/day	
Distance to the groundwater underneath the tank	m	
Home pa	re Reset	Calculate Risk Value

Figure 19: Financial consequences



Figure 20: Dike, onsite and offsite area

Environmental sensitivity is the cost to clean and purify product that leak to the environment. It is calculated using USD currency base on their universal usage and the user can decide according *table 9*. Adjustment factor for degree of contact with soil will only appear when the user selecting select tank bottom as the component to be analyze. Higher value of indicate poor contact where else lower value indicate good contact between the bottom plate and soil.

		Environmental Sensitivity			
Location (1)	Description	Low (US\$/bbl)	Medium (US\$/bbl)	High (US\$/bbl)	
1	C_{indike} – Environmental cost for product located in the dike area	10	10	10	
2	$C_{\rm ss-onsite}$ – Environmental cost for product located in surface soil located on-site	50	50	50	
3	$C_{\rm ss-offsite}$ – Environmental cost for product located in surface soil located off-site	100	250	500	
4	$C_{\it subsoil}$ – Environmental cost for product located in subsoil	500	1500	3000	
5	$C_{\it groundwater}$ – Environmental cost for product located in groundwater	1000	5000	10000	
6	$C_{\scriptscriptstyle water}$ – Environmental cost for product in surface water	500	1500	5000	

Table 9: Environmental sensitivity

Once all the information are key in into the interface, the user may then proceed to the risk calculation or reset input value there is any correction need to be made by clicking button as shown in bottom of *figure 19*.

Figure 21 below shows the example result that will be shown by this software. Risk level is assign based on the damage factor value and consequences of failure value. The result window gives the type of AST currently evaluating, additional descriptions such as AST equipment number as well as the risk value in term of financial-based. The highlighted column beside risk level represents the risk category of the risk value falls into. For instance, green represents low risk while yellow represents medium risk, orange represent medium high risk and red indicates high risk. Risk matrix diagram is added to give more understanding to the user.



Figure 21: RBI result

4.2.3 Data recorded

The third button, "**Data recorded**" button will direct user to the list of all the data that have been key in by user as shown in *figure 22*. This data might be used in the future to keep tract the condition of the AST.

Bil	Input	Unit	Data1	Data2	Data3	
1	Equipment number	-	A2034	A2034		Т
2	Tank diameter	m	100	100		
3	Tank height	m	50	50		
4	Maximum capacity	m^3	1213	1213		
5	Type of content	-	Heavy Crude Oil	Heavy Crude Oil		
6	Type of soil	-	Coarse Sand	Coarse Sand		
7	Operating height of liquid	m	40	40		
8	Type of material for tank shell course	-	1.25Cr-0.5Mo	1.25Cr-0.5Mo		
9	Total tank shell course	-	10	10		
10	Type of material for bottom plate	-	Carbon Steel	Carbon Steel		
11	Height of each cell course	m	5	5		
12	type of material to be analize	-	Tank bottom	Tank bottom		
13	Tank start date	-	02-12-96	02-12-96		
14	Inspection date insp 1	-	18-02-1998	18-02-1998		
15	Inspection effectiveness insp 1	-	Usually effective	Usually effective		
16	Inspection date insp 2	-	20-04-2000	20-04-2000		
17	Inspection effectiveness insp2	-	Fairly effective	Fairly effective		
18	Inspection date insp 3	-	14-02-2007	14-02-2007		
19	Inspection effectiveness insp 3	-	Usually effective	Usually effective		
20	Time since last inspection reading		8.27	8.27		
21	Last inspection thickness reading	mm	10	10		
22	Corrosion rate base metal	mmlyr	1	1		
23	Equipment has cladding?	-	No	No		
24	Corrosion rate for cladding	mm/yr				
25	Minimum required wall thickness according API579	-				
26	Tank bottom have release prevention barrier?	-	Yes	Yes		
27	Furnished thickness of component base metal	mm	10	10		
28	Corrosion allowance	-	0.1	0.1		
29	Damage factor for on-line monitoring value	-	1	1		
30	Component are welded & not reveted?	-	Yes	Yes		
31	Tank are maintenance according API653	-	Yes	Yes		
32	Adjusment for tank settlemet	- ett	lement exceeds API 653 ci	iteient exceeds API 653 o	priteria	
33	Location of small release hole(0-0.3175mm)	mm				
34	Location of medium hole(0.3176-6.34mm)	mm				
35	Location of large release hole(6.34-50.8mm)	mm				
36	Location of rupture release hole(>50.8mm)	mm				
37	Percentage of fluid leaving dike	1.	10	10		
38	Percentage of fluid leaves dike area but remain onsite	1.	10	10		
39	Percentage of fluid that leaves dike area but remain offsite	1.	10	10		

Figure 22: Recorded input data

4.2.4 Data validation

Due to the absence of actual case study, data validation could not be carrying out. The reason is because most of the plants are yet to implement the RBI technology. The alternative would be actual simulation on a plant directly but the process exceeds the time frame available to conduct this study. Hence, the remaining choice would be validating the functionality and availability of the software by comparing between results obtains from software and the result obtains from manual calculation. For the software validation purpose, two sets of hypothetical data are used as shown in figure 23 and figure 24. Both figures show different list of input data and risk level obtain based on the software and manual calculation.

_							
Bil	Input		Unit	Data34	Data34		
1	Equipment number		-	A2034	A2034		
2	Tank diameter		m	50	50		
3	Tank height		m	50	50		
4	Maximum capacity		m^3	90000	90000		
5	Type of content		-	Gasoline	Gasoline		
6	Type of soil		-	Silt	Silt		
7	Operating height of liquid		m	40	40		
8	Type of material for tank shell course		-	1.25Cr-0.5Mo	1.25Cr-0.5Mo		
9	Total tank shell course		-	10	10		
10	Type of material for bottom plate		-	Carbon Steel	Carbon Steel		
11	Height of each cell course		m	5	5		
12	type of material to be analize		-	External tank shell course	External tank shell course		
13	Tank start date		-	02-12-36	02-12-96		
14	Inspection date insp 1		-	18-02-1998	18-02-1998		
15	Inspection effectiveness insp 1		-	Highly effective	Highly effective		
16	Inspection date insp 2		-	20-04-2000	20-04-2000		
17	Inspection effectiveness insp2		-	Fairly effective	Fairly effective		
18	Inspection date insp 3		-	22-08-2013	22-08-2013		
19	Inspection effectiveness insp 3		-	Highly effective	Highly effective		
20	Time since last inspection reading		Vr	1.69	1.69		
21	Last inspection thickness reading		mm	10	10		
22	Corrosion rate base metal		mm/yr	0.001	0.001		
23	Equipment has cladding?		- '	No	No		
25	Minimum required wall thickness accordi	ng API579	-	8	8		
26	Tank bottom have release prevention bar	rrier?	-	No	No		
27	Furnished thickness of component base	metal	mm	1	1		
28	Corrosion allowance		-	3.17	3.17		
29	Damage factor for on-line monitoring val	lue	-	1	1		
30	Component are welded & not reveted?		-	Yes	Yes		
31	Tank are maintenance according API653		-	Yes	Yes		
32	Adjusment for tank settlemet			settlement exceeds API 653 criteria	settlement exceeds API 653 criteria		
33	Location of small release hole(0-0.3175m	տի	mm	2	2		
34	Location of medium hole(0.3176-6.34mm	ນ໌	mm	3	3		
35	Location of large release hole(6.34-50.8	տո)	mm	2	2		
36	Location of rupture release hole(>50.8mr	ກ່	mm	2	2		
37	Percentage of fluid leaving dike	.,	Z	20	20		
38	Percentage of fluid leaves dike area but r	remain onsite	z	20	20		
39	Percentage of fluid that leaves dike area	but remain offsite	Z	20	20		
40	Environmental cost for product located i	in surface soil located offs	\$/BЫ	100	100		
41	Environmental cost for product in surface	ce water	\$/Bbl	500	500		
42	Environmental cost for product located i	in subsoil	\$/Bbl	500	500		
43	Environmental cost for product located i	in aroundwater	\$/Bbl	1000	1000		
44	Adjustment factor for degree of contact	with soil					
45	Cost of lost production due to repair		\$/BЫ	1000	1000		
46	Distance to the groundwater underneath	the tank		100	100		
47	Damage factor value			270	270		
48	Probability	Result base on the		4	4	Result base of	onthe
49	Consequences of failure value	sofware		116173	116178	manual calcu	laition
50	Consequences			C	C		
51	Bisk level			Medium high	Medium high		
		1					

Figure 23: RBI result for first data set

Bil	Input	Unit	Data36	Data36	
1	Equipment number	-	A2034	A2034	
2	Tank diameter	m	50	50	
3	Tank height	m	50	50	
4	Maximum capacitu		30000	90000	
5	Tupe of content		Heavy Crude Oil	Heavy Crude Oil	
6	Tupe of soil		Silt	Silt	
7	Operating height of liquid	m	40	40	
8	Tupe of material for tank shell course		405.88	405 88	
9	Total tank shell course		10	10	
10	Tupe of material for bottom plate		Carbon Steel	Carbon Steel	
11	Height of each cell course	m	5	5	
12	tupe of material to be analize		Internal tank shell course	Internal tank shell course	
13	Tank start date		02-12-36	02-12-36	
14	Inspection date insp 1		18-02-1998	18-02-1998	
15	Inspection effectiveness insp 1		Highly effective	Highly effective	
16	Inspection date insp 2		20-04-2000	20-04-2000	
17	Inspection effectiveness insp2		Fairly effective	Fairly effective	
18	Inspection date insp.3		22-08-2013	22-08-2013	
19	Inspection affectiveness insp 3		Highly offective	Highly offective	
20	Time since last inspection reading	ur	163	169	
21	Last inspection thickness reading	y, mm	10	10	
22	Corrosion rate base metal	mm/ur	0.001	0.001	
23	Equipment has cladding?		No	No	
25	Minimum required wall thickness according API579		8	8	
26	Tank bottom have release prevention barrier?		No	No	
27	Furnished thickness of component base metal		1	1	
28	Correction allowances		3.17	3.17	
29	Domogo factor for on-line monitoring value		1	1	
30	Component are welded & not reveted?		Yes	Yes	
31	Task are maintenance according API653		Yes	Yes	
30	é diversant for tank, extilament		asttlement evenede à DI 653 criterie	esttlement evenede à DI 653 er	itaria
33	Location of small selected bole (0-0.3175mm)	-	o o	settlement exceeds APT 050 cr	
34	Location of madium bala(0.3176-6.34mm)		2	3	
25	Location of Inequal Independent Control Continuing		2		
36	Location of runture release hole(\50.8mm)		2		
37	Descention of repeate release note(>botomin)		20	20	
38	Percentage of fluid leaves dike area but remain ensite	~	20	20	
29	Percentage of fluid that languaged the area but remain offsite	~	20	20	
40	Fercencage of hard that reaves dive area but remain offsite	n ≉/BN	100	100	
40	Environmental cost for product in curface uniter	#/BM	500	500	
41	Environmental cost for product in surrace water	\$/DDI \$/BLI	500	500	
42	Environmental cost for product located in subsol	\$1001 €/Bb/	1000	1000	
40	Adjustment factor for degree of contact with coil	1001	1000	1000	
44	Cast of last production due to sepair	#JBL/	1000	1000	
45	Distance to the groundwater underneath the tank	1001	1000	1000	
40	Demons factor value	m	270	270	
48	Probability Result base on the		210	210	Result base on manual
40	Concerning of failure using Confurance		4	4	and substant base on mandar
50	Consequences of randre value SOTWALE		121400	121403	calculation
51	Disk land		Medium bigh	Madium bint	
21			- Medidin ingi	- Wiedram mgh	

Figure 24: RBI result for second data set

From figure above, only *figure 23* have slightly different consequences of failure values obtain from software and manual calculation. **116179** obtain form software whereas **116178** obtain from manual calculation. However, the different are very small and still give similar risk level since the risk level is the main concern in this project. Different result obtain might be due to the round off error by the excel system. Figure 24 shows similar result from both methods. From the result above, it is clear that the software developed is functioning accordingly and perform as it should. Unfortunately in term of data accuracy validation wise, it was not verified.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based from the results, the goal can be said was successfully by develop an Excel-based Risk-based inspection model which capable of determining the risk value. Since the software is Excel based, no installation would be required and most importantly it does not cost a single dime compare with the expensive software available in the market. Besides that, any operator with little computing knowledge would know how to use it in a short time. Without a doubt if the software could be validated with an actual case study, the result comparison would be more convincing. Thus, the result from this study is expected to help in planning the inspection interval thus saving tremendous amount of cost such as the redundant inspection cost and unnecessary production shutdown. Indirectly, the plant production efficiency could be increase as well. On the other hand, this could overcome the gap between the conventional inspection techniques with the current risk management technique.

This system can be improve by widen the scope of equipment and not just only for atmospheric storage tank. Next, graphical result presentation and statistics can be added into this software to increase its function. Last but not least, it can be improve by extend the capability of system to for generating next inspection date of equipment.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chang, J.I., A study of storage tank accidents. Loss Prevention in the process industry, 2006.
- 2. Crowl, D.A. and J.F. Louvar, Chemical Process Safety: Fundamentals with Applications, ISBN 0-13-129701- 5, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1990.
- 3. Pietersen, C. M., and S. C. Huerta. TNO 84-0222: "Analysis of the LPG Incident in San Juan Ixhuatepec, Mexico City, 19 Nov 1984", Apeldoorn, The Netherlands: Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research.
- Cremer and Warner, Ltd., Risk Analysis of Six Potentially Hazardous Industrial Objects in the Rijnmond Area, A Pilot Study: A Report to the Rijnmond Public Authority, ISBN 90-277-1393-6, D. Reidel Publishing Company, P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, Holland, sold and distributed in the U.S.A. and Canada by Kluwer Boston, Inc., 190 Old Derby Street, Hingham, MA 02043, 1982.5.
- CCPS, Guidelines for Consequence Analysis of Chemical Releases, ISBN 0-8169-07862, published by the Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1999.7. Atherton, W., Catastorphic Failure of Storage Tanks & Secondary Containment. 2005.
- 8. Institute, A.P., Risk-based Inspection. 2002.
- 9. CCPS, Guidelines for Evaluating the Characteristics of Vapor Cloud Explosions, Flash Fires, and BLEVEs, ISBN 0-8169-0474-X, published by the Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1994.
- 10. Shuai, J., Risk-based inspection for large-scale crude oil tanks. , 2011.
- 11. Chinowsky, B., VBA in excel 2010. 2009.
- 12. Institute, A. P. (2002). Risk management with inspection activities. Risk-based Inspection580, 30.
- 13. Institute, A. P. (2008). Risk-based Inspection 581. Purpose of RBI, 11.
- JE Breakell, M Siegwart, K Foster, D Marshall, M Hodgson, R Cottis, S Lyon. Management of Accelerated Low Water Corrosion in Steel Maritime Structures, Volume 634 of CIRIA Series, 2005, ISBN 0-86017-634-7
- R. Zuo, D. Örnek, B.C. Syrett, R.M. Green, C.-H. Hsu, F.B. Mansfeld and T.K. Wood (2004). "Inhibiting mild steel corrosion from sulfate-reducing bacteria using antimicrobial-producing biofilms in Three-Mile-Island process water". *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 64: 275–283. doi:10.1007/s00253-003-1403-7.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Coding for Damage factor

Sub DFrespectedtoMAIN()

Dim typecomp As String typecomp = Range("G30")

'STEP1

```
'step 1
'cari eff mana yg paling tinggi antara 3
'keff,leff,meff,neff,oeff ni counter je(mcm i)
Dim ins, insp, keff, leff, meff, neff, oeff As Integer
Dim eff As String
For keff = 40 To 52
If Cells(keff, 7) = "Ineffective" Then
  eff = "Ineffective"
  Range("P6") = eff
  Range("P7") = keff
End If
Next keff
For leff = 40 To 52
If Cells(leff, 7) = "Poorly effective" Then
  eff = "Poorly effective"
  Range("P6") = eff
  Range("P7") = leff
End If
Next leff
For meff = 40 To 52
If Cells(meff, 7) = "Fairly effective" Then
  eff = "Fairly effective"
  Range("P6") = eff
  Range("P7") = meff
End If
Next meff
For neff = 40 To 52
If Cells(neff, 7) = "Usually effective" Then
  eff = "Usually effective"
 Range("P6") = eff
  Range("P7") = neff
End If
Next neff
For oeff = 40 To 52
If Cells(oeff, 7) = "Highly effective" Then
  eff = "Highly effective"
 Range("P6") = eff
  Range("P7") = oeff
End If
Next oeff
'cari inspection keberapa yg paling tinggi
ins = Range("P7")
  If ins = 40 Then
  insp = 1
  Elself ins = 46 Then
  insp = 2
```

```
Elself ins = 52 Then
  insp = 3
  End If
Range("P7") = insp
'klu tank bottom nyer selection lain
If Range("G30") = "Tank bottom" Then
  If Range("G40") = "Highly effective" Then
  eff = "Highly effective"
  Elself Range("G40") = "Usually effective" Then
  eff = "Usually effective"
  Elself Range("G40") = "Fairly effective" Then
  eff = "Fairly effective"
  Elself Range("G40") = "Poorly effective" Then
  eff = "Poorly effective"
  Elself Range("G40") = "Ineffective" Then
  eff = "Ineffective"
  End If
  insp = 1
End If
Range("P6") = eff
Range("P7") = insp
'samakan effectiveness dgn huruf(ABCDE)
Dim effhuruf As String
If eff = "Highly effective" Then
effhuruf = "A"
Elself eff = "Usually effective" Then
effhuruf = "B"
Elself eff = "Fairly effective" Then
effhuruf = "C"
Elself eff = "Poorly effective" Then
effhuruf = "D"
Elself eff = "Ineffective" Then
effhuruf = "E"
End If
Range("P8") = effhuruf
'cari thinning damage factor kat dalam table
'Range("F7") = insp
Dim effhuruf2 As String
'gabung insp dengan gred absd
If insp = 1 And effhuruf = "D" Then
effhuruf2 = "Done"
Elself insp = 1 And effhuruf = "C" Then
effhuruf2 = "Cone"
Elself insp = 1 And effhuruf = "B" Then
effhuruf2 = "Bone"
Elself insp = 1 And effhuruf = "A" Then
effhuruf2 = "Aone"
Elself insp = 2 And effhuruf = "D" Then
effhuruf2 = "Dtwo"
Elself insp = 2 And effhuruf = "C" Then
effhuruf2 = "Ctwo"
Elself insp = 2 And effhuruf = "B" Then
```

```
effhuruf2 = "Btwo"
```

```
Elself insp = 2 And effhuruf = "A" Then
```

```
effhuruf2 = "Atwo"
Elself insp = 3 And effhuruf = "D" Then
effhuruf2 = "Dthree"
Elself insp = 3 And effhuruf = "C" Then
effhuruf2 = "Cthree"
Elself insp = 3 And effhuruf = "B" Then
effhuruf2 = "Bthree"
Elself insp = 3 And effhuruf = "A" Then
effhuruf2 = "Athree"
Else
effhuruf2 = "Eone"
End If
Range("P9") = effhuruf2
'STEP2
Dim age, trd As Double
trd = Range("G56")
age = Range("G54")
'STEP3
Dim Crbm As Double
Crbm = Range("G61")
Dim CLADcomp As String
CLADcomp = Range("G63")
Dim Crcm As Double
Crcm = Range("G64")
'STEP4(tanya protection barrrier)
Dim tmin As Double
tmin = Range("G66")
Dim PROTECTIONbarrier As String
PROTECTIONbarrier = Range("G68")
'If typecomp = "Internal tank shell course" Or "External tank shell course " Then"
'tmin = tmin
If typecomp = "Tank bottom" Then
If PROTECTIONbarrier = "Yes" Then
 tmin = 3.2 'mm
Elself PROTECTIONbarrier = "No" Then
 tmin = 6.4 'mm
End If
End If
Range("P10") = typecomp
Range("P11") = tmin
'STEP5(find ageRC)
Dim agerc, t As Double
t = Range("G70")
  If CLADcomp = "Yes" Then
  agerc = (trd - t) / Crcm
    If agerc > 0 Then
      agerc = agerc
    Else
      agerc = 0
    End If
  End If
```

```
Range("P12") = agerc
```

```
'STEP6(find aRT, need CA)
Dim Art As Double
Dim CA As Double
CA = Range("G72")
If CLADcomp = "No" Then
Art = 1 - ((trd - (Crbm * age)) / (tmin + CA))
     If Art > 0 Then
     Art = Art
     Else
     Art = 0
     End If
Elself CLADcomp = "Yes" Then
Art = 1 - ((trd - (Crcm * agerc) - (Crbm * (age - agerc))) / (tmin + CA))
     If Art > 0 Then
     Art = Art
     Else
     Art = 0
     End If
End If
Dim Artsebelumround As Double
Artsebelumround = Art
Art = Round(Art, 1)
Range("P13") = Artsebelumround
Range("P14") = Art
'STEP7(find DthinfB), sheet lain
Dim DthinfB As Double
Dim iTDF, jTDF As Integer
For iTDF = 2 To 20 'kebawah
  If Cells(iTDF, 30) = Art Then
   For jTDF = 31 To 43 'kekanan
   If Cells(1, jTDF) = effhuruf2 Then
   Range("P17") = Cells(iTDF, jTDF)
    End If
    Next jTDF
  End If
Next iTDF
If Range("G30") = "Tank bottom" Then
For iTDF = 24 To 43 'kebawah
  If Cells(iTDF, 33) = Art Then
   For jTDF = 34 To 38 'kekanan
   If Cells(23, jTDF) = effhuruf2 Then
    Range("P17") = Cells(iTDF, jTDF)
   End If
    Next jTDF
  End If
Next iTDF
End If
Range("P15") = Art
Range("P16") = effhuruf2
DthinfB = Range("P17")
```

```
'STEP8(find Dthinf)
Dim Dthinf, FWD, FAM, FSM, FOM As Double
Dim FWDvariable, FAMvariable, FSMvariable As String
FOM = Range("G77")
 If DthinfB = 1 Then
     FOM = 1
 End If
Range("P18") = FOM
FWDvariable = Range("G79")
If FWDvariable = "Yes" Then
     FWD = 1
     Else
     FWD = 10
     End If
Range("P19") = FWD
FAMvariable = Range("G81")
 If FAMvariable = "Yes" Then
     FAM = 1
     Else
     FAM = 5
     End If
Range("P20") = FAM
If Range("G30") = "Tank bottom" Then
 FSMvariable = Range("G83")
 If FSMvariable = "settlement exceeds API 653 criteria" Then
     FSM = 2
 Elself FSMvariable = "settlement meets API 653 criteria" Then
     FSM = 1
 Elself FSMvariable = "settelment never evaluated" Then
     FSM = 1.5
 Elself FSMvariable = "concrete foundation, no settlement" Then
     FSM = 1
 End If
Else
 FSM = 1
End If
'Range("P21") = FSM
Dthinf = (DthinfB * FWD * FAM * FSM) / FOM
Range("P22") = Dthinf
Range("G125") = Dthinf
```

```
End Sub
```

Appendix B: Coding for Consequences of failure for tank shell

Sub cofshellrespectedtoMAIN()

```
'1.1(pilih fluid)
'base on type of fluid, loop untuk cari density & viscosity kat table
Dim liquiddensity As Double
Dim liquidviscosity As Double
Range("M5") = typecomp
Range("M6") = typefluid
For itypefluid = 3 To 9 'kebawah
  If Cells(itypefluid, 23) = typefluid Then
  liquiddensity = Cells(itypefluid, 26)
  liquidviscosity = Cells(itypefluid, 27)
  End If
Next itypefluid
Range("M7") = liquiddensity
Range("M8") = liquidviscosity
'1.3(base on type of soil,loop untuk cari hydraulic conductivity kat table)
typesoil = Range("G16")
Dim khwater As Double
Dim khwaterlb As Double
Dim khwaterub As Double
Range("M9") = typesoil
For itypesoil = 13 To 19 'kebawah
  If Cells(itypesoil, 23) = typesoil Then
  khwaterlb = Cells(itypesoil, 24)
  khwaterub = Cells(itypesoil, 25)
  End If
Next itypesoil
khwater = 864 * ((khwaterlb + khwaterub) / 2)
Range("M10") = khwaterlb
Range("M11") = khwaterub
Range("M12") = khwater
'1.4(calculate khprod)
Dim khprod As Double
Dim waterdensity As Double
Dim waterviscosity As Double
waterdenstiy = 999.97 'kg/m3
waterviscosity = 0.001 'N s/m2
khprod = khwater * (liquiddensity / waterdenstiy) * (waterviscosity / liquidviscosity)
Range("M13") = khprod
'1.5 (cari fluid seepage velocity velsprod)
Dim velsprod As Double
Dim ps As Double
For i = 13 To 19 'kebawah
  If Cells(i, 23) = typesoil Then
  ps = Cells(i, 26)
  End If
Next i
```

```
velsprod = khprod / ps
Range("M14") = ps
Range("M15") = velsprod
'2.1(cari release hole size)
Dim holesize1, holesize2, holesize3, holesize4 As String
Dim d1, d2, d3, d4 As Double
Dim Dtank As Double
Dim releasepreventionbarrier As String
Dtank = Range("G8")
holesize1 = "Small"
holesize2 = "Medium"
holesize3 = "Large"
holesize4 = "Rupture"
If typecomp = "Tank bottom" Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  d1 = 3.175 'mm
  d2 = 0
  d3 = 0
  d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4)
  Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
  d1 = 12.7 'mm
  d2 = 0
  d3 = 0
  d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4)
  End If
Else 'If typecomp = "Internal tank shell course" Or "External tank shell course" Then
 d1 = 3.175 'mm
 d2 = 6.35
 d3 = 50.8
 d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4)
End If
Range("M16") = holesize1
Range("M17") = holesize2
Range("M18") = holesize3
Range("M19") = holesize4
Range("M20") = d1
Range("M21") = d2
Range("M22") = d3
Range("M23") = d4
'2.2 (find gff gfftotal)
Dim gff1, gff2, gff3, gff4 As Double
Dim gfftotal As Double
If typecomp = "Tank bottom" Then
  gff1 = 0.00072
  gff2 = 0
  gff3 = 0
  gff4 = 0.000002
  gfftotal = 0.00072
```

Else 'If typecomp = "Internal tank shell course" Or "External tank shell course" Then

```
gff1 = 0.00007
 gff2 = 0.000025
 gff3 = 0.000005
 gff4 = 0.0000001
 gfftotal = 0.0001
End If
Range("M24") = gff1
Range("M25") = gff2
Range("M26") = gff3
Range("M27") = gff4
Range("M28") = gfftotal
'3.1 hliqn
Dim hliq As Double
hliq = Range("G18")
'3.2 An
Dim A1, A2, A3, A4 As Double
A1 = 3.142 * (d1 ^ 2) / 4
A2 = 3.142 * (d2 ^ 2) / 4
A3 = 3.142 * (d3 ^ 2) / 4
A4 = 3.142 * (d4 ^ 2) / 4
Range("M29") = A1
Range("M30") = A2
Range("M31") = A3
Range("M32") = A4
'3.3 find Wn
Dim W1, W2, W3, W4 As Double
W1 = 0.543 * 0.61 * A1 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5)
W2 = 0.543 * 0.61 * A2 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5)
W3 = 0.543 * 0.61 * A3 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5)
W4 = 0.543 * 0.61 * A4 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5)
Range("M33") = W1
Range("M34") = W2
Range("M35") = W3
Range("M36") = W4
'4.1 liq height aboce ith shell course
Dim LHTabove1, LHTabove2, LHTabove3, LHTabove4, LHTabove5 As Double
Dim LHTabove6, LHTabove7, LHTabove8, LHTabove9, LHTabove10 As Double
Dim th1, th2, th3, th4, th5, th6, th7, th8, th9, th10 As Double
Dim CHT As Double
Dim Nc As Double
LHTabove1 = hliq - (1 * CHT)
LHTabove2 = hliq - (2 * CHT)
LHTabove3 = hliq - (3 * CHT)
LHTabove4 = hliq - (4 * CHT)
LHTabove5 = hliq - (5 * CHT)
LHTabove6 = hliq - (6 * CHT)
LHTabove7 = hliq - (7 * CHT)
```

LHTabove8 = hliq - (8 * CHT)

```
LHTabove10 = hliq - (10 * CHT)
Range("M37") = LHTabove1
Range("M38") = LHTabove2
Range("M39") = LHTabove3
Range("M40") = LHTabove4
Range("M41") = LHTabove5
Range("M42") = LHTabove6
Range("M43") = LHTabove7
Range("M44") = LHTabove8
Range("M45") = LHTabove9
Range("M46") = LHTabove10
'4.2 find Lvolabovei
Dim Lvolabove1, Lvolabove2, Lvolabove3, Lvolabove4, Lvolabove5 As Double
Dim Lvolabove6, Lvolabove7, Lvolabove8, Lvolabove9, Lvolabove10 As Double
Lvolabove1 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove1
Lvolabove2 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove2
Lvolabove3 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove3
Lvolabove4 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove4
Lvolabove5 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove5
Lvolabove6 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove6
Lvolabove7 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove7
Lvolabove8 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove8
Lvolabove9 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove9
Lvolabove10 = (3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) / 4) * LHTabove10
If Lvolabove1 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove1 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove2 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove2 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove3 <= 0 Then
I volabove3 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove4 <= 0 Then
I volabove4 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove5 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove5 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove6 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove6 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove7 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove7 = 0
Fnd If
If Lvolabove8 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove8 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove9 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove9 = 0
End If
If Lvolabove10 <= 0 Then
Lvolabove10 = 0
End If
Range("M47") = Lvolabove1
Range("M48") = Lvolabove2
Range("M49") = Lvolabove3
Range("M50") = Lvolabove4
Range("M51") = Lvolabove5
```

LHTabove9 = hliq - (9 * CHT)

Range("M52") = Lvolabove6 Range("M53") = Lvolabove7 Range("M54") = Lvolabove8 Range("M55") = Lvolabove9 Range("M56") = Lvolabove10

'4.3 find Lvolavailn Dim Lvolavail1, Lvolavail2, Lvolavail3, Lvolavail4 As Double Dim holeposition1, holeposition2, holeposition3, holeposition4 As Double

If holeposition1 = 1 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove1 Elself holeposition1 = 2 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove2 Elself holeposition1 = 3 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove3 Elself holeposition1 = 4 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove4 Elself holeposition1 = 5 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove5 Elself holeposition1 = 6 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove6 Elself holeposition1 = 7 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove7 Elself holeposition1 = 8 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove8 Elself holeposition1 = 9 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove9 Elself holeposition1 = 10 Then Lvolavail1 = Lvolabove10 End If

If holeposition2 = 1 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove1 Elself holeposition2 = 2 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove2 Elself holeposition2 = 3 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove3 Elself holeposition2 = 4 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove4 Elself holeposition2 = 5 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove5 Elself holeposition2 = 6 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove6 Elself holeposition2 = 7 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove7 Elself holeposition2 = 8 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove8 Elself holeposition2 = 9 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove9 Elself holeposition2 = 10 Then Lvolavail2 = Lvolabove10 End If

If holeposition3 = 1 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove1 Elself holeposition3 = 2 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove2 Elself holeposition3 = 3 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove3 Elself holeposition3 = 4 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove4 Elself holeposition3 = 5 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove5 Elself holeposition3 = 6 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove6 Elself holeposition3 = 7 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove7 Elself holeposition3 = 8 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove8 Elself holeposition3 = 9 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove9 Elself holeposition3 = 10 Then Lvolavail3 = Lvolabove10 End If

If holeposition4 = 1 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove1 Elself holeposition4 = 2 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove2 Elself holeposition4 = 3 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove3 Elself holeposition4 = 4 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove4 Elself holeposition4 = 5 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove5 Elself holeposition4 = 6 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove6 Elself holeposition4 = 7 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove7 Elself holeposition4 = 8 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove8 Elself holeposition4 = 9 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove9 Elself holeposition4 = 10 Then Lvolavail4 = Lvolabove10 End If

Range("M57") = Lvolavail1 Range("M58") = Lvolavail2 Range("M59") = Lvolavail3 Range("M60") = Lvolavail4

'4.4 find Bblavailn

Dim Bblavail1, Bblavail2, Bblavail3, Bblavail4 As Double

Bblavail1 = Lvolavail1 / 6.29 Bblavail2 = Lvolavail2 / 6.29 Bblavail3 = Lvolavail3 / 6.29 Bblavail4 = Lvolavail4 / 6.29

Range("M61") = Bblavail1 Range("M62") = Bblavail2 Range("M63") = Bblavail3 Range("M64") = Bblavail4

'7.1 find raten Dim rate1, rate2, rate3, rate4 As Double rate1 = W1 rate2 = W2 rate3 = W3 rate4 = W4

Range("M65") = rate1 Range("M66") = rate2 Range("M67") = rate3

```
Range("M68") = rate4
'7.2 find tld
Dim tld1, tld2, tld3, tld4 As Double
If d1 <= 3.17 Then
tld1 = 7
Elself d1 > 3.17 Then
tld1 = 1
End If
If d2 <= 3.17 Then
tld2 = 7
Elself d2 > 3.17 Then
tld2 = 1
End If
If d3 <= 3.17 Then
tld3 = 7
Elself d3 > 3.17 Then
tld3 = 1
End If
If d4 <= 3.17 Then
tld4 = 7
Elself d4 > 3.17 Then
tld4 = 1
End If
Range("M69") = tld1
Range("M70") = tld2
Range("M71") = tld3
Range("M72") = tld4
'7.3 find Idn
Dim ld1, ld2, ld3, ld4 As Double
ld1 = Bblavail1 / rate1
If Id1 < 7 Then
  ld1 = ld1
Else
 ld1 = 7
End If
ld2 = Bblavail2 / rate2
If Id2 < 7 Then
  ld2 = ld2
Else
 ld2 = 7
End If
ld3 = Bblavail3 / rate3
If Id3 < 7 Then
  ld3 = ld3
Else
 ld3 = 7
End If
ld4 = Bblavail4 / rate4
If Id4 < 7 Then
  ld4 = ld4
Else
 ld4 = 7
End If
If Id1 < 1 Then
```

ld1 = 1 End If If Id2 < 1 Then ld2 = 1 End If If Id3 < 1 Then ld3 = 1 End If If Id4 < 1 Then ld4 = 1 End If Range("M73") = ld1 Range("M74") = Id2 Range("M75") = Id3 Range("M76") = Id4 '7.4 find Bblleakn Dim Bblleak1, Bblleak2, Bblleak3, Bblleak4 As Double Bblleak1 = rate1 * ld1 If Bblleak1 < Bblavail1 Then Bblleak1 = Bblleak1 Else Bblleak1 = Bblavail1 End If Bblleak2 = rate2 * ld2 If Bblleak2 < Bblavail2 Then Bblleak2 = Bblleak2 Else Bblleak2 = Bblavail2 End If Bblleak3 = rate3 * ld3 If Bblleak3 < Bblavail3 Then Bblleak3 = Bblleak3 Else Bblleak3 = Bblavail3 End If Bblleak4 = rate4 * Id4 If Bblleak4 < Bblavail4 Then Bblleak4 = Bblleak4 Else Bblleak4 = Bblavail4 End If Range("M77") = Bblleak1 Range("M78") = Bblleak2 Range("M79") = Bblleak3 Range("M80") = Bblleak4 '7.5 find Bblrupturen Dim Bblrupture1, Bblrupture2, Bblrupture3, Bblrupture4 As Double Bblrupture1 = Bblavail1 Bblrupture2 = Bblavail2 Bblrupture3 = Bblavail3 Bblrupture4 = Bblavail4 Range("M81") = Bblrupture1 Range("M82") = Bblrupture2 Range("M83") = Bblrupture3 Range("M84") = Bblrupture4

'12.1 input Plvdike,Ponsite,Poffsite

```
Dim Plvdike, Ponsite, Poffsite As Double
Plvdike = Range("G94")
Ponsite = Range("G96")
Poffsite = Range("G98")
```

'12.2 find sensitivity

Dim Cindike, Cssonsite, Cssoffsite, Cwater As Double

Range("M85") = Cindike Range("M86") = Cssonsite Range("M87") = Cssoffsite Range("M88") = Cwater

```
'12.3 find Bblleakrelease
Dim Bblleakrelease As Double
Bblleakrelease = ((Bblleak1 * gff1) + (Bblleak2 * gff2) + (Bblleak3 * gff3)) / gfftotal
Range("M89") = Bblleakrelease
```

'12.4 find Bblleakindike,Bblleakssonsite,Bblleakssoffsite,Bblleakwater

Dim Bblleakindike, Bblleakssonsite As Double Dim Bblleakssoffsite, Bblleakwater As Double

```
Bblleakindike = Bblleakrelease * (1 - (Plvdike / 100))
Bblleakssonsite = (Ponsite / 100) * (Bblleakrelease - Bblleakindike)
Bblleakssoffsite = (Poffsite / 100) * (Bblleakrelease - Bblleakindike - Bblleakssonsite)
Bblleakwater = Bblleakrelease - (Bblleakindike + Bblleakssonsite + Bblleakssoffsite)
```

```
Range("M90") = Bblleakindike
Range("M91") = Bblleakssonsite
Range("M92") = Bblleakssoffsite
Range("M93") = Bblleakwater
```

'12.5 find FCleakenviron

Dim FCleakenviron As Double

FCleakenviron = (Bblleakindike * Cindike) + (Bblleakssonsite * Cssonsite) + (Bblleakssoffsite * Cssoffsite) + (Bblleakwater * Cwater) Range("M94") = FCleakenviron

'12.6 find Bblrupturerelease

Dim Bblrupturerelease As Double Bblrupturerelease = Bblrupture4 * gff4 / gfftotal Range("M95") = Bblrupturerelease

'12.7 find Bblruptureindike,Bblrupturessonsite,Bblrupturessoffsite,Bblrupturewater Dim Bblruptureindike, Bblrupturessonsite As Double Dim Bblrupturessoffsite, Bblrupturewater As Double

```
Bblruptureindike = Bblrupturerelease * (1 - (Plvdike / 100))
Bblrupturessonsite = (Ponsite / 100) * (Bblrupturerelease - Bblruptureindike)
Bblrupturessoffsite = (Poffsite / 100) * (Bblrupturerelease - Bblruptureindike - Bblrupturessonsite)
Bblrupturewater = Bblrupturerelease - (Bblruptureindike + Bblrupturessonsite + Bblrupturessoffsite)
```

Range("M96") = Bblruptureindike Range("M97") = Bblrupturessonsite

```
Range("M98") = Bblrupturessoffsite
Range("M99") = Bblrupturewater
```

'12.8 find FCruptureenviron

```
Dim FCruptureenviron As Double

FCruptureenviron = (Bblruptureindike * Cindike) + (Bblrupturessonsite * Cssonsite) + (Bblrupturessoffsite * Cssoffsite) + (Bblrupturewater * Cwater)
```

Range("M100") = FCruptureenviron

'12.9 find FCenviron

Dim FCenviron As Double FCenviron = FCleakenviron + FCruptureenviron Range("M101") = FCenviron

'12.10 find FCcmd

Dim FCcmd As Double Dim holecost1, holecost2, holecost3, holecost4 As Double Dim matcost As Double Dim shellmaterial As String

shellmaterial = Range("G20")

```
holecost1 = 5000
holecost2 = 12000
holecost3 = 20000
holecost4 = 40000
```

```
For i = 53 To 86 'kebawah
If Cells(i, 27) = shellmaterial Then
matcost = Cells(i, 28)
End If
Next i
```

FCcmd = ((gff1 * holecost1) + (gff2 * holecost2) + (gff3 * holecost3) + (gff4 * holecost4)) * matcost / gfftotal

```
Range("M102") = holecost1
Range("M103") = holecost2
Range("M104") = holecost3
Range("M105") = holecost4
Range("M106") = matcost
Range("M107") = FCcmd
```

'12.11 (1) find Outagecmd

Dim Outagecmd As Double Dim Outage1, Outage2, Outage3, Outage4 As Double

```
Outage1 = 2
Outage2 = 3
Outage3 = 3
Outage4 = 7
```

Outagecmd = ((gff1 * Outage1) + (gff2 * Outage2) + (gff3 * Outage3) + (gff4 * Outage4)) / gfftotal * 1 '(Outagemult=1) Outagecmd = Round(Outagecmd, 0)

```
Range("M108") = Outage1
Range("M109") = Outage2
Range("M110") = Outage3
Range("M111") = Outage4
Range("M112") = Outagecmd
```

'12.11 (2) find FCprod

Dim FCprod As Double Dim prodcost As Double

Range("M113") = FCprod

'12.12 find FCtotal Dim FCtotal As Double FCtotal = FCenviron + FCcmd + FCprod FCtotal = Round(FCtotal, 0)

Range("G127") = FCtotal Range("M114") = FCtotal

End Sub

Appendix C: Coding for Consequences of failure for tank bottom

Sub COFbottomrepectedtoMAIN()

```
'1.1(pilih fluid)
'base on type of fluid,loop untuk cari density & viscosity kat table
Dim typefluid, typecomp, typesoil As String
typecomp = Range("G30")
typefluid = Range("G14")
Dim liquiddensity As Double
Dim liquidviscosity As Double
For itypefluid = 3 To 9 'kebawah
  If Cells(itypefluid, 23) = typefluid Then
  liquiddensity = Cells(itypefluid, 26)
  liquidviscosity = Cells(itypefluid, 27)
  End If
Next itypefluid
'Range("F7") = liquiddensity
'Range("F8") = liquidviscosity
Dim khwater As Double
Dim khwaterlb As Double
Dim khwaterub As Double
For itypesoil = 13 To 19 'kebawah
  If Cells(itypesoil, 23) = typesoil Then
  khwaterlb = Cells(itypesoil, 24)
  khwaterub = Cells(itypesoil, 25)
  End If
Next itypesoil
khwater = 864 * ((khwaterlb + khwaterub) / 2)
'Range("F10") = khwaterlb
'Range("F11") = khwaterub
'Range("F12") = khwater
'1.4(calculate khprod)
Dim khprod As Double
Dim waterdensity As Double
Dim waterviscosity As Double
waterdenstiy = 999.97 'kg/m3
waterviscosity = 0.001 'N s/m2
khprod = khwater * (liquiddensity / waterdenstiy) * (waterviscosity / liquidviscosity)
'Range("F14") = khprod
'1.5 (cari fluid seepage velocity velsprod)
Dim velsprod As Double
Dim ps As Double
For i = 13 To 19 'kebawah
  If Cells(i, 23) = typesoil Then
  ps = Cells(i, 26)
  End If
Next i
velsprod = khprod / ps
'Range("F16") = ps
'Range("F17") = velsprod
```

'2.1(cari release hole size) Dim holesize1, holesize2, holesize3, holesize4 As String Dim d1, d2, d3, d4 As Double Dim Dtank As Double Dim releasepreventionbarrier As String releasepreventionbarrier = Range("G68") Dtank = Range("G8") holesize1 = "Small" holesize2 = "Medium" holesize3 = "Large" holesize4 = "Rupture" If typecomp = "Tank bottom" Then If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then d1 = 3.175 'mm d2 = 0 d3 = 0 d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4) Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then d1 = 12.7 'mm d2 = 0 d3 = 0 d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4) End If Else 'If typecomp = "Internal tank shell course" Or "External tank shell course" Then d1 = 3.175 'mm d2 = 6.35 d3 = 50.8 d4 = 1000 * (Dtank / 4) End If 'Range("F19") = holesize1 'Range("F20") = holesize2 'Range("F21") = holesize3 'Range("F22") = holesize4 'Range("K3") = d1 'Range("K4") = d2 'Range("K5") = d3 'Range("K6") = d4 '2.2 (find gff gfftotal) Dim gff1, gff2, gff3, gff4 As Double Dim gfftotal As Double If typecomp = "Tank bottom" Then gff1 = 0.00072gff2 = 0 gff3 = 0gff4 = 0.000002 gfftotal = 0.00072 Else 'If typecomp = "Internal tank shell course" Or "External tank shell course" Then gff1 = 0.00007 gff2 = 0.000025 gff3 = 0.000005 gff4 = 0.0000001 gfftotal = 0.0001

```
End If
```

```
'Range("K8") = gff1
'Range("K9") = gff2
'Range("K10") = gff3
'Range("K11") = gff4
'Range("K13") = gfftotal
'3.1 find hliq
Dim hliq As Double
hliq = Range("G18")
'3.2 nak nrhn
Dim nrh1, nrh2, nrh3, nrh4 As Double
 If Dtank <= 30.5 Then
 nrh1 = 1
 Elself Dtank > 30.5 And Dtank < 91.4 Then
 nrh1 = 4
 Elself Dtank >= 91.4 Then
 nrh1 = 9
 End If
nrh2 = 0
nrh3 = 0
nrh4 = 0
'Range("N29") = nrh1
'Range("N30") = nrh2
'Range("N31") = nrh3
'Range("N32") = nrh4
'3.3 nak kh
Dim kh As Double
kh = khprod
'Range("N34") = kh
'3.4 nak Wn
Dim W1, W2, W3, W4 As Double
Dim Cgo As Double
Dim aleuto1, aleuto2, aleuto3, aleuto4 As Double
aleuto1 = (86.4 * (d1 ^ 2))
aleuto2 = (86.4 * (d2 ^ 2))
aleuto3 = (86.4 * (d3 ^ 2))
aleuto4 = (86.4 * (d4 ^ 2))
'W1
If kh > aleuto1 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W1 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d1 * ((2 * 9.81 * 0.0762) ^ 0.5) * nrh1
  Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
  W1 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d1 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5) * nrh1
  End If
Elself kh <= aleuto1 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W1 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d1 ^ 0.2) * (0.0762 ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh1
```

Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then

```
W1 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d1 ^ 0.2) * (hliq ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh1
  End If
End If
'W2
If kh > aleuto2 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W2 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d2 * ((2 * 9.81 * 0.0762) ^ 0.5) * nrh2
  Else
  W2 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d2 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5) * nrh2
  End If
Elself kh <= aleuto2 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W2 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d2 ^ 0.2) * (0.0762 ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh2
  Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
  W2 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d2 ^ 0.2) * (hliq ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh2
  End If
End If
'W3
If kh > aleuto3 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W3 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d3 * ((2 * 9.81 * 0.0762) ^ 0.5) * nrh3
  Else
  W3 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d3 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5) * nrh3
  End If
Elself kh <= aleuto3 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W3 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d3 ^ 0.2) * (0.0762 ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh3
  Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
  W3 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d3 ^ 0.2) * (hliq ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh3
  End If
End If
'W4
If kh > aleuto4 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W4 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d4 * ((2 * 9.81 * 0.0762) ^ 0.5) * nrh4
  Else
  W4 = 0.0815 * 3.142 * d4 * ((2 * 9.81 * hliq) ^ 0.5) * nrh4
  End If
Elself kh <= aleuto4 Then
  If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
  W4 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d4 ^ 0.2) * (0.0762 ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh4
  Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
  W4 = 2.382 * Cqo * (d4 ^ 0.2) * (hliq ^ 0.9) * (kh ^ 0.74) * nrh4
  End If
End If
'Range("N36") = W1
'Range("N37") = W2
'Range("N38") = W3
'Range("N39") = W4
'4.1 Lvoltotal
Dim Lvoltotal As Double
Lvoltotal = 3.142 * (Dtank ^ 2) * hliq / 4
'Range("N41") = Lvoltotal
'4.2 Bbltotal
Dim Bbltotal As Double
Bbltotal = Lvoltotal / 6.29
'Range("N43") = Bbltotal
```

```
'7.1 raten
Dim rate1, rate2, rate3, rate4 As Double
rate1 = W1
rate2 = W2
rate3 = W3
rate4 = W4
Range("N45") = rate1
Range("N46") = rate2
Range("N47") = rate3
Range("N48") = rate4
'7.2 input tld
Dim tld As Double
If releasepreventionbarrier = "Yes" Then
tld = 30
Elself releasepreventionbarrier = "No" Then
tld = 360
End If
'Range("N50") = tld
'7.3 find Idn
Dim ld1, ld2, ld3, ld4 As Double
If rate1 = 0 Then
ld1 = 0
Else
ld1 = Bbltotal / rate1
If Id1 < tld Then
ld1 = ld1
Else
ld1 = tld
End If
End If
If raten2 = 0 Then
ld2 = 0
Else
 ld2 = Bbltotal / rate2
 If Id2 < tld Then
 ld2 = ld2
 Else
 ld2 = tld
 End If
End If
If raten3 = 0 Then
ld3 = 0
Else
ld3 = Bbltotal / rate3
If Id3 < tld Then
ld3 = ld3
Else
ld3 = tld
End If
End If
If rate4 = 0 Then
ld4 = 0
Else
ld4 = Bbltotal / rate4
If Id4 < tld Then
Id4 = Id4
```

Else ld4 = tld End If End If 'Range("N52") = ld1 'Range("N53") = Id2 'Range("N54") = Id3 'Range("N55") = Id4 '7.4 Bblleakn Dim Bblleak1, Bblleak2, Bblleak3, Bblleak4 As Double If rate1 = 0 Then Bbleak1 = 0 Else Bblleak1 = rate1 / ld1 If Bblleak1 < Bbltotal Then Bblleak1 = Bblleak1 Else Bblleak1 = Bbltotal End If End If If rate2 = 0 Then 'Range("Q59") = "kuku" End If If rate2 = 0 Then Bbleak2 = 0 Else Bblleak2 = rate2 / ld2 If Bblleak2 < Bbltotal Then Bblleak2 = Bblleak2 Else Bblleak2 = Bbltotal End If End If If rate3 = 0 Then Bblleak3 = 0 Else Bblleak3 = rate3 / ld3 If Bblleak3 < Bbltotal Then Bblleak3 = Bblleak3 Else Bblleak3 = Bbltotal End If End If If rate4 = 0 Then Bbleak4 = 0 Else Bblleak4 = rate4 / ld4 If Bblleak4 < Bbltotal Then Bblleak4 = Bblleak4 Else Bblleak4 = Bbltotal End If End If 'Range("N57") = Bblleak1 'Range("N58") = Bblleak2 'Range("N59") = Bblleak3

'Range("N60") = Bblleak4

'7.5 Bblrupturen

```
Dim Bblrupture1, Bblrupture2, Bblrupture3, Bblrupture4 As Double
Bblrupture1 = Bbltotal
Bblrupture2 = Bbltotal
Bblrupture3 = Bbltotal
Bblrupture4 = Bbltotal
```

'Range("N62") = Bblrupture1 'Range("N63") = Bblrupture2 'Range("N64") = Bblrupture3 'Range("N65") = Bblrupture4

'12.1 find Plvdike, Plvdikeonsite, Plvdikeoffsite

Dim Plvdike As Double Dim Plvdikeonsite As Double Dim Plvdikeoffsite As Double

'12.2 find Cindike,Cssonsite,Cssoffsite,Cwater,Csubsoil,Cgroundwater

Dim Cindike, Cssonsite, Cssoffsite As Double Dim Cwater, Csubsoil, Cgroundwater As Double

'Range("N72") = Cindike 'Range("N73") = Cssonsite 'Range("N74") = Cssoffsite 'Range("N75") = Cwater 'Range("N76") = Csubsoil 'Range("N77") = Cgroundwater

'12.3 velsprod

'Range("N79") = velsprod

'12.4 find sgw,tgl

Dim sgw As Double Dim tgl As Double

'Range("N81") = tgl

'12.5 find Bblleakgroundwatern, Bblleaksubsoiln

Dim Bblleakgroundwater1, Bblleakgroundwater2, Bblleakgroundwater3, Bblleakgroundwater4 As Double

If tgl > tld Then Bblleakgroundwater1 = Bblleak1 * ((tld - tgl) / tld) Bblleakgroundwater2 = Bblleak2 * ((tld - tgl) / tld)

```
Bblleakgroundwater3 = Bblleak3 * ((tld - tgl) / tld)
Bblleakgroundwater4 = Bblleak4 * ((tld - tgl) / tld)
Elself tgl <= tld Then
Bblleakgroundwater1 = 0
Bblleakgroundwater2 = 0
Bblleakgroundwater3 = 0
Bblleakgroundwater4 = 0
End If
```

Dim Bblleaksubsoil1, Bblleaksubsoil2, Bblleaksubsoil3, Bblleaksubsoil4 As Double

```
Bblleaksubsoil1 = Bblleak1 - Bblleakgroundwater1
Bblleaksubsoil2 = Bblleak2 - Bblleakgroundwater2
Bblleaksubsoil3 = Bblleak3 - Bblleakgroundwater3
Bblleaksubsoil4 = Bblleak4 - Bblleakgroundwater4
```

'Range("N83") = Bblleakgroundwater1 'Range("N84") = Bblleakgroundwater2 'Range("N85") = Bblleakgroundwater3 'Range("N86") = Bblleakgroundwater4

'Range("N88") = Bblleaksubsoil1 'Range("N89") = Bblleaksubsoil2 'Range("N90") = Bblleaksubsoil3 'Range("N91") = Bblleaksubsoil4

'12.6 FCleakenviron

Dim FCleakenviron As Double

FCleakenviron = ((((Bblleakgroundwater1 * Cgroundwater) + (Bblleaksubsoil1 * Csubsoil)) * gff1) + (((Bblleakgroundwater2 * Cgroundwater) + (Bblleaksubsoil2 * Csubsoil)) * gff2) + (((Bblleakgroundwater3 * Cgroundwater) + (Bblleaksubsoil3 * Csubsoil)) * gff3) + (((Bblleakgroundwater4 * Cgroundwater) + (Bblleaksubsoil4 * Csubsoil)) * gff4)) / gfftotal 'Range("N93") = FCleakenviron

'12.7 Bblrupturerelease

Dim Bblrupturerelease As Double Bblrupturerelease = Bbltotal * gff4 / gfftotal 'Range("N95") = Bblrupturerelease

'12.8 Bblruptureindike, Bblrupturessonsite, Bblrupturessoffsite, Bblrupturewater

Dim Bblruptureindike, Bblrupturessonsite As Double Dim Bblrupturessoffsite, Bblrupturewater As Double

```
Bblruptureindike = Bblrupturerelease * (1 - (Plvdike / 100))
Bblrupturessonsite = (Ponsite / 100) * (Bblrupturerelease - Bblruptureindike)
Bblrupturessoffsite = (Poffsite / 100) * (Bblrupturerelease - Bblruptureindike - Bblrupturessonsite)
Bblrupturewater = Bblrupturerelease - (Bblruptureindike + Bblrupturessonsite + Bblrupturessoffsite)
```

'Range("N97") = Bblruptureindike
'Range("N98") = Bblrupturessonsite
'Range("N99") = Bblrupturessoffsite
'Range("N100") = Bblrupturewater

'12.9 FCruptureenviron

```
Dim FCruptureenviron As Double

FCruptureenviron = (Bblruptureindike * Cindike) + (Bblrupturessonsite * Cssonsite) + (Bblrupturessoffsite * Cssoffsite) +

(Bblrupturewater * Cwater)

'Range("N102") = FCruptureenviron
```

'12.10 FCenviron Dim FCenviron As Double FCenviron = FCleakenviron + FCruptureenviron

```
'Range("N104") = FCenviron
'12.11 FCcmd
Dim FCcmd As Double
Dim holecost1, holecost2, holecost3, holecost4 As Double
Dim matcost As Double
Dim bottommaterial As String
bottommaterial = Range("G24")
holecost1 = 5000
holecost2 = 0
holecost3 = 0
holecost4 = 120000
 For i = 53 To 86 'kebawah
  If Cells(i, 27) = bottommaterial Then
  matcost = Cells(i, 28)
  End If
  Next i
FCcmd = ((gff1 * holecost1) + (gff2 * holecost2) + (gff3 * holecost3)) + (holecost4 * ((Dtank / 30.5) ^ 2)) * matcost / gfftotal
'Range("N106") = holecost1
'Range("N107") = holecost2
'Range("N108") = holecost3
'Range("N109") = holecost4
'Range("N110") = matcost
'Range("N111") = FCcmd
'12.12(1) Outagecmd
Dim Outagecmd As Double
Dim Outage1, Outage2, Outage3, Outage4 As Double
Outage1 = 5
Outage2 = 0
Outage3 = 0
Outage4 = 50
Outagecmd = ((gff1 * Outage1) + (gff2 * Outage2) + (gff3 * Outage3) + (gff4 * Outage4)) / gfftotal * 1 '(Outagemult=1)
'Range("N113") = Outage1
'Range("N114") = Outage2
'Range("N115") = Outage3
'Range("N116") = Outage4
'Range("N118") = Outagecmd
'12.12(2) FCprod
Dim FCprod As Double
Dim prodcost As Double
FCprod = Outagecmd * prodcost
'Range("N120") = FCprod
'12.13 FCtotal
Dim FCtotal As Double
```

FCtotal = FCenviron + FCcmd + FCprod
```
FCtotal = Round(FCtotal, 0)
Range("G127") = FCtotal
```

End Sub

Appendix D: Some formula used in the calculation

$$\begin{split} age_{rc} &= \max\left[\left(\frac{t_{rd} - t}{C_{r,cm}}\right), \ 0.0\right] \\ A_{rt} &= \max\left[\left(1 - \frac{t_{rd} - C_{r,cm} \cdot age}{t_{min} + CA}\right), \ 0.0\right] \\ A_{rt} &= \max\left[1 - \frac{t_{rd} - C_{r,cm} \cdot age_{rc} - C_{r,bm} \cdot (age - age_{rc})}{t_{min} + CA}, \ 0.0\right] \\ D_{f}^{thin} &= \frac{D_{fb}^{thin} \cdot F_{IP} \cdot F_{DL} \cdot F_{WD} \cdot F_{AM} \cdot F_{SM}}{F_{OM}} \\ k_{h,prod} &= k_{h,water} \left(\frac{\rho_{l}}{\rho_{w}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu_{w}}{\mu_{l}}\right) \\ vel_{s,prod} &= \frac{k_{h,prod}}{P_{s}} \\ k_{h,water} &= C_{31} \frac{(k_{h,water-lb} + k_{h,water-wb})}{2} \\ W_{n} &= C_{32} \cdot C_{d} \cdot A_{n} \sqrt{2 \cdot g \cdot h_{liq}} \cdot n_{rh,n} \qquad for \ k_{h} > C_{34} \cdot d_{n}^{2} \\ W_{n} &= C_{35} \cdot C_{qo} \cdot d_{n}^{0.2} \cdot h_{liq}^{0.9} \cdot k_{h}^{0.74} \cdot n_{rh,n} \qquad for \ k_{h} \leq C_{34} \cdot d_{n}^{2} \end{split}$$