

A study of high calcium limestone around lenggong Perak to produce type–G Portland cement

By

Abdramane Ibrahim Mahamat (10569)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering (Hons)

(Petroleum Engineering)

SUPERVISOR

AP.Askury B Abd Kadir

ABSTRACT

This project carried out on Portland cement using high calcium limestone, and other minor raw materials such as clay and iron ore. The raw materials were obtained from a local rock in Lenggong in Perak, Malaysia. Composition of raw materials and mineral phase were investigated or tested by XRF. Portland cement is primary construction materials widely used in oil and gas wells specially class G Portland cement.

Portland cement at least to comply with the standards set by the American Petroleum Institute (API). Chemical compositions of limestone, clay, iron ore and raw materials were determined. Modulus of mixture of raw materials was fixed in IM is 1.7, SM is 2.4 and LSM is 9.4. Raw materials were crushed and ground to fine powder to form a mixture raw material and then put in furnace where it is heated and burning to form Portland cement clinker.

From the expriremmtal results, the four main Portland cement are CS_3 , CS_2 , C_3A and C_4AF .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express special gratitude and thank to my sincere supervisor, AP. Askury A kadir for his full support, advice, constant supervision, strength, courage and valuable guidance throughout this project, again, I would like to thank him for providing the necessary information and opportunities through arranging visits to the cement manufacture.

I would also like to thank the head, lecturers and stuff of geosciences and petroleum engineering for all their support and providing me with a good environment and facilities to graduate as a petroleum engineer.

It is a great pleasure to express my deepest appreciation to my parents, Ibrahim Mahamat Dahlob and Fatma Hassan Oumar who prayed for me and stood by my side in a long this journey of studies.

| TAB | BLE OF CONTENTS | PAGE |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Abst | tract | i |
| Ack | nowledgement | ii |
| CHA | APTER 1: | 1 |
| Intro | oduction | 1 |
| 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4. 1.5. | Project background. Problem statement. Objective of the project. Scope of study. Feasibility of the project with the scope and time frame | 2 2 3 |
| CHA | APTER 2: | 4 |
| Lite | rature review | 4 |
| 2.1. 2.2. | Manufacture of cement Classifications of cement 2.2.1. Eight types of Portland cement provided by ASTM | 5 |
| 2.3. | API classifications | 8 8 |
| 2.4. | Properties of cement covered by API specification.2.4.1. Physical properties of Portland cement.2.4.1.1. Fineness.2.4.1.2. Soundness.2.4.1.3. Setting time.2.4.1.4. Strength.2.4.1.5. Compressive strength. | 10 11 11 11 12 12 |
| | 2.4.2. Chemical properties of Portland cement | 13 |

| 2.5. | Portland cement raw material | 16 |
|------|---|------------|
| | 2.5.1. Limestone | 16 |
| CHA | APTER 3: | 17 |
| Met | hodology, Flow chart | 17 |
| 3.1. | Quarrying samples of limestone from local rock | |
| 3.2. | Preparation of raw materials for XRF | 19 |
| | 3.2.1. Minor raw materials (clay & iron ore) | |
| | 3.2.2. Dry process | 23 |
| 3.3. | Laboratory tools using in the project | 23 |
| | 3.3.1. X-ray fluorescence | 23 |
| 3.4. | Clinkering process | 24 |
| | 3.4.1. Nodules ranges | 25 |
| 3.5. | Cement milling | |
| 3.6. | Project Milestone / Gant chart of FYP2 | 26 |
| CHA | APTER 4: | 27 |
| Resi | Ilt and Discussion | 27 |
| 4.1. | Chemical compositions of limestone | 27 |
| 4.2. | Chemical compositions of clay | 28 |
| 4.3. | Chemical composition of iron-ore | |
| 4.4. | Chemical compositions of raw mixture (limestone +clay | y+iron)29 |
| 4.5. | Clinker process | 31 |
| 4.6. | Clinker analysis | 33 |
| | 4.6.1. Bogue calculation | 34 |
| | 4.6.2. Comparison | 35 |
| CHA | APTER 5: | 36 |
| Con | clusion and Recommendation | |

| REFERENCES | |
|-------------------|--|
|-------------------|--|

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: Typical mill run analysis of Portland cement | 6 |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2: Composition and properties of API classes of Portland cement | 6 |
| Figure 3: G oil cement (API classification) | 9 |
| Figure 4: Chemical requirements for API cements | 13 |
| Figure 5: Physical requirements for API cements | 14 |
| Figure 6: Summary of oil well cement additives | 15 |
| Figure 7: Limestone block taken away for crushing | 16 |
| Figure 8: Sample of limestone taken from local rocks in Lenggong, Perak | 18 |
| Figure 9: Aggregate Impact Value test machine (AIV) | 19 |
| Figure 10: SIVE Shaker, civil engineering department block13 | 20 |
| Figure 11: Limestone powder form 63 microns and small grains | 20 |
| Figure 12: Minor raw materials, clay | 21 |
| Figure 13: Iron ore powder as additive materials | 21 |
| Figure 14: X-ray fluorescence (XRF) | 23 |
| Figure 15: polished section of nodules, light grey color | 25 |
| Figure 16: A mixture of raw materials ready for clinkering | 29 |
| Figure 17: outside view of Furnaces, civil Engineering laboratory | 30 |
| Figure 18: inside view of furnace, Civil Engineering laboratory | 31 |
| Figure 19: Portland cement clinker at temperature 1200C° | 31 |
| Figure 20: gypsum ground to fine powder form | 36 |
| Figure 21: produced cement | .36 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: Chemical compositions of limestone | 27 |
|---|-----|
| Table 2: Chemical compositions of clay | .28 |
| Table 3: chemical composition of iron-ore. | 28 |
| Table 4: chemical composition of raw material | 30 |
| Table 5: XRF clinker analysis | .32 |
| Table 6: compound oxide | 35 |
| Table 7: Composition of Portland cement clinker for making the cement | 35 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A: chemical symbols | 41 |
|------------------------------|----|
|------------------------------|----|

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1.Project background

Portland cement is a hydraulic product made by burning and grinding a mixture of calcareous and argillaceous materials, such as limestone and clay, limestone and shale. Portland cement is primarily known as a construction cement but it is also being used extensively in oil well cementing operations which helps to seal the annulus between the wall of the wellbore and the casing to provide zonal isolation, to protect the casing against aggressive wellbore fluids and to protect the casing against collapse by rock creeping in on the wellbore.

In order to be able to used as oil well cement, Portland cement must comply in terms of their physical and chemical properties to the standards set by the American Petroleum Institute (API).

In Malaysia, class G cement is used widely in cementing the oil well. The components of Portland cement are Tricalcium Silica (C_3S), Dicalcium Silicate (C_2S). Tricalsium Alumina (C_3A), Tetracalcium Aluminopherite (C_4AF), and Gypsum (CSH_2). In general, this cement has low hydration rate and forms a tight bond between the pebbles and the casing. It holds it properties at oil well temperature and pressure because it is made in such away to suite the usage in a depth of 2440m and temperature between 80 200 F. It protects the casing from any aggressive oil well liquid [Ariffin S, Surej K, S]

1.2.Problem statement

Portland cement, which is primary a construction material, is used extensively in oil well cement, and the use of local cement in oil well application as a means to save drilling cost. Additionally, API class G cement can cost three times more than local construction cement.

1.3. Objective of the project

The objectives of this research is to study and understand the chemical compositions of local major raw materials limestone and minor raw materials, clay and iron ore for producing G-Portland cement which might be used in oil field.

1.4. Scope of study

The study involves literature review on utilization of Portland cement in oil wells and chemical compositions for raw materials and mixture of raw materials of Portland cement clinker.

Quarrying local samples of limestone from Lenggong in PERAK, Malaysia. The laboratory experiments are also be performed to test samples of ground limestone, clay and iron ore using XRF tool.

Furthermore, the scope of study focused on using local technical background to support the use of local raw materials for Portland cements. The scope of study also focused on procedures of making (crush sample of limestone, clay, and iron ore, grinding and sending them to XRF laboratory).

1.5. Feasibility of the Project within the Scope and Time frame

- With proper planning beforehand to project will be kept inside to scope of the project and the project can be finished within the timeframe.
- Gantt chart created will assist in planning of the activities done during whole planning course of project.
- Besides, under the supervision and guidance of my supervisor, I am confident this project would be achieved the scope and time frame set beforehand.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIE

2.1. Manufacture of Portland cement

Portland cement is produced by partially fusing powdered blends composed of limestone with materials like clay, shale, blast-furnace slag, siliceous sands, and iron ores.

From a chemical standpoint, these blends may be considered to be mixtures of the oxides of calcium (CaO), aluminum (Al2O₂), silicon (SiO₂), magnesium (MgO), iron (Fe2O₃), potassium (K₂O), and sodium (Na₂O).

During heating to about 2700F, these oxides combine to form calcium silicates and aluminates (commonly referred to as "clinker").

Portland cement is known as hydraulic cement. When hydraulic cements set and harden by reacting chemically with water, this reaction, called hydration, forms a stone like mass. Hydration begins as soon as cement contacts water. Each cement particle forms a type of growth on it surface that gradually spreads until it links up with the growth from other cement particle or adheres to adjacent substances. Thus progressive stiffening, hardening, and strength development result. The stiffening of well cement slurries can be recognized by an increase in consistency that depends on time, temperature/pressure conditions, and the composition and fineness of the cement and slurry formulations [SPE, Halliburton Services] The chemical composition of the raw materials and the type of cement to be produced as showing in figure 1, 2.

2.2. Classification of Cement

The raw materials used to manufacture Portland cements are limestone (calcium carbonate) and clay or shale. Iron and alumina are frequently added if they are not already present in sufficient quantity in the clay or shale. These materials are blended together, either wet or dry, and fed into a rotary kiln, which fuses the limestone slurry at temperatures ranging from 2,600 to 3,000°F into a material called cement clinker. After it cools, the clinker is pulverized and blended with a small amount of gypsum to control the setting time of the finished cement.

| Oxide | Class G, wt% | Class H, wt% |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Silicon dioxide, SiO2 | 21.7 | 21.9 |
| Calcium oxide, CaO | 62.9 | 64.2 |
| Aluminum oxide, Al ₂ O ₃ | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| Iron oxide, Fe ₂ O ₃ | 3.7 | 5 |
| Magnesium oxide, MgO | 4.3 | 1.1 |
| Sulfur trioxide, SO ₃ | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Sodium oxide, Na ₂ O | | 0.09 |
| Potassium oxide, K ₂ O | 10 Mar | 0.66 |
| Total alkali as Na ₂ O | 0.54 | 0.52 |
| Loss on ignition | 0.74 | 1_1 |
| Insoluble residue | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| Phase Composition | | |
| C.S | 58 | 52 |
| C ₂ S | 19 | 24 |
| C ₃ A | 2 | 3 |
| CIAF | 11 | 15 |
| Physical Properties | | |
| % passing 325 mesh | 87 | 70 |
| Blaine fineness, cm²/gm | 3,470 | 2,610 |
| Physical Requirements | | |
| Thickening time, min, Sch 5 | 1:40 | 1:38 |
| B _c at 30 min | 14 | 15 |
| 8 hr compressive strength, | | |
| 110°F (38°C) | 928 psi (6.4 MPa) | 650 psi (4.5 MPa) |
| 8 hr compressive strength, | and harden minds | |
| 140°F (60°C) | 2,247 psi (15.5 MPa) | 1,650 psi (11.4 MPa |
| Free fluid, mL ^(ts) | 4.4 | 4.0 |

Figure 1: Typical mill run analysis of Portland cement

| | | Comp | Wagner | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|
| API Class | C ₃ S | C ₂ S | C ₃ A | C ₄ AF | Fineness, cm ² /g |
| A | 53 | 24 | 8+ | 8 | 1,500 to 1,900 |
| В | 47 | 32 | 5- | 12 | 1,500 to 1,900 |
| C | 58 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 2,000 to 2,800 |
| G&H | 50 | 30 | 5 | 12 | 1,400 to 1,700 |
| Property | | How Achieve | bd | | |
| High early strength Better retardation Low heat of hydration Resistance to sulfate attack | | By limiting th | g the C ₃ S g C ₃ S and C ₃ A e C ₃ S and C ₃ A e C ₃ A content | | |

Figure 2: typical composition and properties of API classes of Portland cement

2.2.1. Eight types of Portland cement provided by ASTM

- 1. Type I
- 2. Type IA
- 3. Type II
- 4. Type IIA
- 5. Type III
- 6. Type IIIA
- 7. Type IV and
- 8. Type V

Where the "A" denotes air-entraining cement. These cements are designed to meet the varying needs of the construction industry. Cements used in wells are subjected to conditions not encountered in construction, such as wide ranges in temperature and pressure. For these reasons, different specifications were designed and are covered by API specifications. API currently provides specifications covering eight classes of oil well cements, designated Classes A through H. API Classes G and H are the most widely used. Oil well cements are also available in either moderate sulfate-resistant (MSR) or high sulfate-resistant (HSR) grades. Sulfate-resistant grades are used to prevent deterioration of set cement down-hole caused by sulfate attack by formation waters.

2.4. API Classifications

API cement is manufactured specifically to meet the needs of the oil industry. The American Petroleum Institute (API) established a set of standards that a Portland cement must meet to be considered API cement. The oil industry purchases cements manufactured predominantly in accordance with API classifications as published in "API *Spec. 10A" The* different classes of API cements for use at down-hole temperatures and pressures are defined as the following:

2.4.1. Class A

This product is intended for use from surface to 6,000 feet when special properties are not required. The properties and performance of Class A cement may be tailored with additives to meet special requirements beyond basic performance. It is similar to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Type I construction cement. [Normal density = 15.6 ppg]

2.4.2. Class B

This product is intended for use from surface to 6,000 feet when conditions require moderate to high sulfate-resistance. Class B is similar to ASTM Type II construction cement [Normal density = 15.6 ppg].

2.4.3. Class C

This product is intended for use from surface to 6,000 feet when conditions require high early strength. Class C is similar to ASTM Type III cement and available in ordinary, moderate and high sulfate resistance types. [Normal density = 14.8 ppg].

2.4.4. Class G

This product is intended for use from surface to 8,000 feet as basic cement, as manufactured, or it can be modified with additives to cover a full range of well depths and temperatures. No additions other than calcium sulfate or water, or both, shall be inter-ground or blended with the clinker during manufacture of Class G Cement. Class G cement is available in moderate and high sulfate-resistance types. Class G is

similar to ASTM Type IV cements [Normal density = 15.8 ppg].

| Chemical Requirements | API Class G Requirements |
|---|-----------------------------|
| MgO max % | 6.0 |
| SO₃ max % | 3.0 |
| Loss on Ign. max % | 3.0 |
| Insoluble Res. max % | 0.75 |
| C₃S max % | 48 - 58 |
| C₃A max % | 8.0 |
| Total Alkali as Na20% | 0.75 |
| Physical Requirements | API Class G Requirements |
| Water % by wt. of cement | 44.0 |
| Soundness % max | 0.8 |
| Free water max ml | 5.8 |
| Min compr. str. MPa (8 hours) at temp. 38C, Atm. pressure at temp. 60C, Atm. | 2.1 |
| pressure | 10.3 |
| Max consistency | 30.0 |
| Thickening Time (schedule 5) minimum (minutes) | 90 - 120 |

Figure 3: Class G oil cement [API specification for material and testing for well cementing]

This product is intended for use from surface to 8,000 feet as basic cement, as manufactured, or it can be modified with additives to cover a full range of well depths and temperatures. No additions other than calcium sulfate or water, or both, shall be inter-ground or blended with the clinker during manufacture of Class H Cement. Available in moderate and high sulfate-resistance types. Class H is similar to ASTM Type IV cements. [Normal density = 16.5 ppg]. [Andrew R. Barron]

2.4. Properties of Cement provided by API Specifications

Chemical properties and physical requirements are summarized in table 3 and 4 respectively. Typical physical requirements of the various API classes of cement are shown in table 5. Although these properties describe cements for specification purposes, oil well cements should have other properties and characteristics to provide for their necessary functions down-hole.

API provides standards for testing procedures and special apparatus used for testing oil well cements and includes slurry preparation, slurry density, compressive, strength tests and nondestructive sonic testing, thickening-time tests, static fluid-loss tests, operating free fluid tests, permeability tests, rheological properties and gel strength, pressure-drop and flow-regime calculations for slurries in pipes and annuli, arctic (permafrost) testing procedures, slurry stability test, and compatibility of wellbore fluids [Larry W, Lake, Petroleum Engineering Handbook]

2.4.1. Physical properties of Portland cement

Portland cements are commonly characterized by their physical properties for quality control purposes. Their physical properties can be used to classify and compare Portland cements.

2.4.1.1.Fineness

Fineness or particle size of Portland cement affects hydration rate and thus the rate of strength gain. The smaller the particle size, the greater the surface area-to-volume ratio, and thus, the more area available for water-cement interaction per unit volume. The effects of greater fineness on strength are generally seen during the first seven days [PCA, 1988].

2.4.1.2.Soundness

When referring to Portland cement, "soundness" refers to the ability of a hardened cement paste to retain its volume after setting without delayed destructive expansion [PCA, 1988]

2.4.1.3.Setting Time

Cement paste setting time is affected by a number of items including: cement fineness, water-cement ratio, chemical content (especially gypsum content) and admixtures. Setting tests are used to characterize how a particular cement paste sets. For construction purposes, the initial set must not be too soon and the final set must not be

too late. Additionally, setting times can give some indication of whether or not cement is undergoing normal hydration [PCA, 1988]. Normally, two setting times are defined [Mindess and Young, 1981]

2.4.1.4.Strength

Cement paste strength is typically defined in three ways: compressive, tensile and flexural. These strengths can be affected by a number of items including: water-cement ratio, cement-fine aggregate ratio, type and grading of fine aggregate, manner of mixing and molding specimens, curing conditions, size and shape of specimen, moisture content at time of test, loading conditions and age [Mindess and Young, 1981]

2.4.1.5.Compressive Strength

The most common strength test, compressive strength, is carried out on a 50 mm (2inch) cement mortar test specimen. The test specimen is subjected to a compressive load (usually from a hydraulic machine) until failure. This loading sequence must take no less than 20 seconds and no more than 80 seconds. Table 3.15 shows ASTM C 150 compressive strength specifications.

2.4.2. Chemical properties of Portland cement

Portland cements can be characterized by their chemical compositions. A basic understanding of Portland cement chemistry can help someone to understand how and why it behaves as it does. The basic chemical compositions of a typical Portland cement are briefly described in table 12, and how the how the Portland cement hydrates.

| | Cement Class | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ordinary Grade, O | A | В | C | G | .H |
| Magnesium oxide, MgO, maximum, % | 6.0 | | 6.0 | _ | - |
| Sulfur trioxide, SO3, maximum, % | 3.51 | - | 4.5 | - | - |
| Loss on ignition, maximum, % | 3.0 | | 3.0 | | - |
| Insoluble residue, maximum, % Tricalcium aluminate, 3CaO·Al ₂ O ₃ , | 0.75 | - | 0.75 | 1 | E |
| maximum, % | - | - | 15 | - | - |
| Moderate-Sulfate-Resistant Grade, MSR | | | | | |
| Magnesium oxide, MgO, maximum, % | - | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Sulfur trioxide, SO ₃ , maximum, % | - | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Loss on ignition, maximum, % | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Insoluble residue, maximum, % | _ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Tricalcium silicate, C ₃ S maximum, % | _ | _ | - | 58 ² | 58 ² |
| minimum % | _ | _ | | 48 ³ | 48 ³ |
| Tricalcium aluminate, C ₃ A, maximum, % ² Total alkali content expressed as sodium | - | 8 | 8 | 8 | В |
| oxide, Na ₂ O, equivalent, maximum, % ³ | - | - | - | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| High-Sulfate-Resistant Grade (HSR) | | | | | |
| Magnesium oxide, MgO | | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Sulfur trioxide, SO ₃ , maximum, % | - | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Loss on ignition, maximum, % | - | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| nsoluble residue, maximum, % | | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Tricalcium silicate, C ₃ S, maximum, % | _ | - | _ | 65 ² | 65 ² |
| minimum, % | _ | | - | 48 ² | 48 ² |
| Tricalcium aluminate, C ₃ A, maximum, % ² Tetracalcium aluminoferrite, C ₄ AF, plus twice the tricalcium aluminate, C ₃ A, | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| maximum, % ² | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Fotal alkali content expressed as sodium | - | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| oxide, Na ₂ O, equivalent, maximum, % ³ | | | | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| ¹ When the tricatcium aluminate content (expressed as C_3A^2 ² The expressing of chemical limitations by means of calcul entirely present as such compounds. When the ratio of the A_2O_3 to Fe_2O_3 ratio is greater than 0.64, the compounds s Fe_2O_3 , $C_3S = (4.07 \times \% CaO) - (7.60 \times \% SO_2) - (6.72 \times 10 \times 9.62)$ | ated assumed o percentages of hall be calculate % Al ₂ O ₃) – (1.43 | compounds does Al_2O_3 to Fe_2O_3 is an as $C_3A = (2.65)$ A = (2.65) A = | not necessarily m 0.64 or less, the $* \% \text{ Al}_3\text{O}_3) - (1.6)$ $85 \times \% \text{ SO}_3). When$ | m SO ₃ content sha can that the oxides C_3A content is zero $9 \times % Fe_2O_3$), C_4A on the ratio of Al ₂ O | If be 3%, are actually o When the $F = 3.04 \times %$ to Fe ₂ O ₁ is le |

Figure 4: chemical requirements for API cements

| Well cement cla | SS' | | | Α | В | С | G | н |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|---|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Mix water, wt% | of well cemer | | | 46 | 46 | 56 | 44 | 38 |
| Fineness tests (Turbidimeter (sp | 150 | 160 | 220 | | | | | |
| | | | | 280 | 280 | 400 | _ | _ |
| Air permeability Free-fluid conte | the second se | | um, m ₂ /kg). | 280 | 200 | 400 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| | Schedule | Curing | Curing | | | | | |
| Compressive- strength test, | Table 7 (°C) psi | | pressure, psi (kPa) | Minii | mum Comp | pressive Str | enath, psi (| MPa) |
| 8-hour curing | | | 250 | 200 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |
| time | - | 100 (38) | Almos. | (1.7) | (1.4) | (2.1) | (2.1) | (2.1) |
| | - | 140 (60) | Almos. | - | - | - | (10.3) | (10.3) |
| | | Final | Final | | | | | |
| Compressive- | Schedule | curing | curing | | | | | |
| strength test, | number, | temp., °F | pressure, | | | | | |
| 24-hour curing | g Table 7 (°C) | (°C) | ;) psi (kPa) | Minimum Compressive Strength, psi (MPa) | | | | |
| time | | | | 1,800 | 1,500 | 2,000 | - | 1 |
| | | | A dama on m | 3 3 cm 41 | | 24 4 4 1 | | |
| | - | 100 (38) | Atmos. | (12.4) | (10.3) | (18.8) | | |
| Pressure/ | Specifi- cation test schedule | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min | (12.4) | (10.3) | (18,8) | | |
| temperature | cation test schedule number, | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min stirring | (12.4) | | | | |
| temperature thickening- | cation test schedule number, Table 10 | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min stirring period, B _c | A second | Minimum | Thickening | Time, min | |
| 이 집에서 지금이 집중에 대한다. | cation test schedule number, Table 10 4 | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min stirring period, B _c 30 | 90 | | | - | |
| temperature thickening- | cation test schedule number, Table 10 4 5 | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min stirring period, <i>B</i> _c 30 30 | A second | Minimum | Thickening | 90 | - 90 |
| temperature thickening- | cation test schedule number, Table 10 4 | 100 (38) | Maximum consistency, 15 to 30 min stirring period, B _c 30 | A second | Minimum | Thickening | - | 90 120 max. |

Figure 5: Physical requirements for API cements

| Type of Additive | Use | Chemical Composition | Benefit | Type of Cemen |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Accelerators | Reducing WOC time | Calcium chloride | Accelerated setting | All API classes |
| | Setting surface | Sodium chloride | High early strength | Pozzolans |
| | Setting cement plugs | Gypsum | | Diacel systems |
| | Combating lost | Sodium silicate | | |
| | Si cultori | Dispersants Seawater | | |
| Retarders | Increasing thickening time for placement | Lignosulfonates | Increased pumping time | API Classes D E, G, and H |
| | Reducing slurry viscosity | Organic acids | Better flow properties | |
| | | CMHEC Modified lignosulfonates | Property of | Pozzolans Diacel systems |
| Weight-reducing additives | Reducing weight | Bentonite/attapulgite | Lighter weight | All API classes |
| 0000000 | Combating lost | Gilsonite | Economy | Pozzolans |
| | | Diatomaceous earth Perlite Pozzolans Microspheres (glass | Better fill-up Lower density | Diacel systems |
| | | spheres) Nitrogen (foam cement) | | |
| Heavyweight additives | Combating high pressure | Hematite | Higher density | API Classes D E, G, and H |
| | Increasing slurry Weight | Limenite | | |
| | | Barite Sand | | |
| | | Dispersants | | |
| Additives for controlling lost circulation | Bridging | Gilsonite | Bridged fractures | All API classes |
| Circulation | Increasing fill-up | Walnut hulls | Lighter fluid columns | Pozzolans |
| | Combating lost circulation | Cellophane flakes | Squeezed fractured zones | Diacel systems |
| | Fast-setting systems | Gypsum cement | | |
| | el arenne | Bentonite/diesel oil | Treating lost circulation | |
| | | Nylon fibers Thixotropic additives | | |
| Filtration-control additives | Squeeze cementing | Polymers | Reduced dehydration | All API classes |
| -940H190 | Setting long liners | Dispersants | Lower volume of cement | Pozzolans |
| | Cementing in water-sensitive | CMHEC | oonon | Diacel systems |
| | formations | Latex | Better fill-up | |

Figure 6: Summary of oil well cement additives

2.5. Portland cement raw materials

The raw materials that used for the manufacture of Portland cement are limestone, clay, iron ore, shale and gypsum.

2.5.1. Limestone

The limestone used in the manufacture of cement contains between 85% and 95% calcium carbonate, and small quantities of magnesium carbonate, silica, alumina and iron. Limestone and clay or shale are quarried and transported to the crushing plant by rear- dump trucks.



Figure 7: limestone blocks taken away for crushing

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

In the build-up of achieving the main objective of this project, research and study has been carried out and technical Papers, textbook references, have been studied to acknowledge the past and current applications for Portland cements.



Project low Chart

3.1. Quarrying samples of raw material (Limestone) from local rocks

In this project research, the raw material is limestone taken from Lenggong around Perak, Malaysia. The raw material for producing Portland cement are mixture of materials containing calcium oxide, silicon oxide, aluminium oxide, ferric oxide, and magnesium oxide.



Figure 8: sample of limestone taken from local rock, Lenggong, Perak, Malaysia

3.2. Preparation of samples of raw materials for XRF analysis

Firstly the raw material (limestone) is crushed to small grains using hummer, and then grinded by aggregate impact value test (AIV). The grinded material passed to SIVE shaker machine to make powder form 63 micron.



Figure 9: Aggregate Impact Value Test machine (AIV), civil engineering lab, blocks13.



Figure 10: SIVE Shaker, Civil Engineering, Block13



Figure 11: Limestone powder form 63 micron, and small grains

The powder sample is sent to the laboratory for testing their chemical compositions using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) tool which is to identify the material content.

3.2.1. Minor raw materials (Clay, and Iron ore)



Figure 12: Minor raw material, Clay



Figure 13: Iron ore powder as additive materials for producing Portland cement

3.2.2. Dry process

The dray process is one of the main cement manufacturing processes used. The quarried limestone and clay are crushed separately until nothing bigger than tennis ball remains. Samples of both rocks are then sent off to the laboratory for mineral analysis. If necessary, minerals are then added to either the clay or the limestone to ensure that the correct amounts of aluminum, iron are present. The clay and limestone are then fed together into a mill where the rock is ground until more than 85% of the material is less than 90 μ m.

3.3. Laboratory Tools using in this project

3.3.1. X-ray fluorescence (XRF)

An x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer is an x-ray instrument used for routine, relatively non-destructive chemical analyses of minerals, fluid, sediments and rock.



Figure 14: X-ray fluorescence lab machine

3.4. Clinkering Process

The major raw material for the clinker-making is usually limestone (CaCO₃) mixed with a second raw materials containing clay as source of alumino-silicate, and the Portland cement clinker is a dark grey nodular material made by heating ground limestone and clay at a temperature of about 1400 C-1500 C. The nodules are ground up to a fine powder to produce cement, with a small amount of gypsum added to control the setting properties [10]



Figure 15: polished section of nodules, light grey color [10]

3.4.1. Nodules ranges

Nodules range in size from 1mm to 25mm or more and are composed mainly of calcium silicates, typically 70%-80%. The strength of concrete is mainly due to the reaction of these calcium silicates with water [10]

3.5. Cement milling

In cement milling stage the clinker cement is mixed with gypsum which added as a set retarder and then ground.

3.6. Project Milestone / Gant Chart of FYP2

| No | Detail/Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|------|-------|---|--------------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | Briefing & update on student progress | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Project work commences | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Submission of Progress Report | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | |
| 4 | PRE-EDX combined with seminar/ Poster Exhibition/ Submission of Final Report (CD Softcopy & Softbound) | | | | | | | | Mid-semester break | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | EDX | | | | | | | | Mid-se | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Final Oral Presentation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Delivery of Final Report to External Examiner / Marking by External Examiner | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Submission of hardbound copies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Mile | stone | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Process

CHAPTER 4

RESULT & DISCUSSION

Major raw material, limestone is crushed to small grains, and then grinded to powder form 63 microns using SIVE shaker at civil engineering department laboratory. The powder is sent off to XRF laboratory for testing components or elements of limestone as showing in the table below.

4.1. Chemical composition of limestone

Limestone is a major of raw materials of producing Portland cement and its chemical compositions showed in table 1.

| 0 | Al | Si | Ca | 0 | MgO |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|
| -100.0 KCps | 1.9 KCps | 2.4 KCps | 460 KCps | -1000.0 KCps | 0.3 KCps |
| 30 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 65.94 | 6.200 | 0 |

Table 1: chemical compositions of limestone

4.2. Chemical composition of clay

Clay is considered as a minor of raw material of producing Portland cement, type G class. The clay is also grinded to powder and sent to XRF test.

| 0 | Al | Si | Р | K | Ca | Ti | Mn | Fe | Co | Eu | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O |
|----------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------|------------------|
| - | 21.6 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 22.0 | 642.3 | 19.1 | 50.7 | 1.9 | 5.2 |
| 1000.0 KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps |
| 41 | 23.8 | 7.97 | 0.92 | 0.663 | 0.641 | 0.553 | 0.844 | 17.68 | 0.173 | 5.76 | 4.585 | 0.798 |

Table 2: chemical compositions of clay

4.3. Chemical composition of iron ore

Iron ore is also considered as third minor raw material for producing Portland cement, the iron ore is ground and sent a sample to XRF analysis as showed in the table 3. From this raw material alumina (Al_2O_3) and ferrous oxide (Fe₂O₃) are obtained.

| 0 | Al | Mn | Fe | Co | Eu | SiO ₂ |
|--------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|------------------|
| -100.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 1376.1 | 39.8 | 12.0 | 0.9 KCps |
| KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | |
| 32 | 6.62 | 0.253 | 59.33 | 0.316 | 1.67 | 0 |

Table 3: chemical composition of iron-ore

4.4. Chemical composition of raw mixture (limestone +clay + iron ore)

The proportions or chemical compositions of raw mixture raw materials for manufacture of Portland cement were fixed such as in LSF is 94.0, SM is 2.4 and IM is 1.7 and then were ground together. The process of mixing raw materials for producing Portland cement G class as showed below

| Lime Saturation Factor | Silica Modulus | Iron Modulus |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 94.0 | 2.4 | 1.7 |



| Lime Saturation Factor | Silica Modulus | Iron Modulus |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 1.00Kg | 0.026Kg | 0.018Kg |

Where lime saturation factor is taken as a base for division to reached a suitable proportion of each raw material (limestone, clay and iron-ore).



Figure 16: A mixture of raw materials ready for clinkering
Before the clinker process took place, a sample of mixture of raw material sent to XRF. In addition, the raw materials are mixed manually since no a mixture machine for a small scale of producing cement. The XRF chemical analysis result of mixture raw materials is showed in the table 4

| 0 | Al | Si | Ca | Fe | Co | SiO ₂ |
|---------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|
| -1000.0 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 311.7 | 330.7 | 10.2 | 3.4 |
| KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps | KCps |
| 33 | 7.33 | 2.42 | 38.41 | 18.92 | 0.146 | 5.18 |

Table 4: chemical composition of raw material.

4.5. Clinker process



Figure 17: outside view of Furnaces, civil Engineering laboratory



Figure 18: inside view of furnace, Civil Engineering laboratory

The mixture of raw material is put inside the furnace with maximum temperature $1200C^{\circ}$ for two hours. As known, the requested temperature degree for Portland cement clinker is at least $1450C^{\circ}$ therefore, the chemical reaction could not take place as the temperature is less than $1450C^{\circ}$. the cement clinker is showed in the figure 20 below



Figure 19: Portland cement clinker at temperature 1200C°

4.6. Clinker analysis

A sample of clinker cement is sent to XRF analysis, and the mineral compositions as in showed in table 5

| 0 | Al | Si | Ca | Cr | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Al_2O_3 | Cl |
|----|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|----|
| 32 | 4.72 | 15.5 | 48.93 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 19.91 | 0.144 | 0.340 | 5.251 | 0 |

Table 5: XRF clinker analysis

From table 5, we have

a) Ca= 48.93, O = 32

Then Ca+O₂ −−−€aO

Using chemical formula to find the weight percentage of Ca

CaO = 48.93 + 32 = 80.93

Therefore, the weight percentage of Ca is

(48.93/80.93) * 100 = 61%

b) Si = 15.5, O = 32

So, Si+ O_2 - Si O_2

Using the chemical formula to get weight percentage of Si

 $SiO_2 = 15.5 + 2(32) = 79.5$

Thus, Si = (15.5/79.5) * 100 = 19.5%

c) Al = 4.72, O = 32

$$Al + O_2$$
 Al_2O_3

Using the chemical formula, we get

$$Al_2O_3 = 2(4.72) + 3(32) = 105.44$$

Weight percentage of Al is

(4.72/105.44) * 100 = 4.48%

d)
$$Fe = 6.7, O = 32$$

 $Fe + O_2 - Fe_2O_3$

 $Fe_2O_3 = 2(6.7) + 3(32) = 109.4$

Hence, we get

$$Fe = (6.7/109.4) * 100 = 4.21\%$$

e) Compound of oxide as a summary

| CaO | Si ₂ O | Al ₂ O ₃ | Fe ₂ O ₃ | Unit |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| 61 | 19.5 | 4.48 | 4.21 | wt% |

5.6.1. Bogue calculation

Bogue equation is used to calculate the approximate proportions of the four main minerals in Portland cement as per the following formula below.

i. Calculate Tricalcium silica (C₃S)

 $C_3S = 4.0710(61) - 7.6024(19.5) - 1.4297(4.21) - 6.7187(4.48) = 63.97$

ii. Calculate Dicalcium silica (C₂S)

8.6024(19.5) + 1.1(4.21) + 5.0683(4.48) - 3.0710(61) = 7.75

iii. Calculate Tricalcium aluminate (C₃A)

 $C_3A = 2.6504(4.48)-1.6920(4.21) = 4.74$

iv. Calculate Teracalcium almunio-ferrate (C₄AF)

 $C_4AF = 3.0432(4.21) = 12.81$

5.6.2. Comparison

The comparison between expected and obtained results for clinker analysis in table 6 and 7

| Expected Oxide | Unit , wt% | Obtained oxide |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| CaO | 65.6 | 61 |
| SiO ₂ | 21.5 | 19.5 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.8 | 4.48 |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ | 5.2 | 4.21 |

Table 6: compound oxide

| Compound | C ₃ S (%) | $C_{2}S(\%)$ | C ₃ A (%) | C ₄ AF (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Clinker expected | 64.7 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Clinker obtained | 63.79 | 7.75 | 4.74 | 12.81 |

Table 7: Composition of Portland cement clinker for making the cement



Figure 20: gypsum ground to fine powder form

It is about 9% of gypsum is added to the clinker and then ground together to fine at least 63 micron. The figure 22 is referred to the produced cement.



Figure 21: produced cement

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1. Conclusion

The objective of this project is to study compositions of raw materials (limestone, clay and Iron ore) of producing Portland cement type G class. The first chapter of this report started with project background study, problem statements, objective and scope of work.

In second chapter of this report, literature review about cement manufacture, API cement classification, and raw materials of Portland cement. The third was under title "Methodology". Methodology discussed about procedures of production cement started with quarried raw materials, preparation of raw materials to XRF test, clinkering process, and mil cement. Furthermore, the Methodology is presented flow chart of the project. This report followed by result and discussion about chemical compositions of raw materials, limestone, clay, iron ore and mix raw materials for Portland cement clinker .

It may be possible to obtain Portland cement form lenggong limestone as a major raw material combining with other minor raw materials such as clay and iron ore.

5.2. Recommendation

The final year project has been useful in cultivating and enhancing the skills and knowledge at hand. As final year student, the experience gained throughout this final year project process increase the student understanding in field of petroleum engineering. Furthermore, other skills were also developed in the process or methods of producing Portland cement, communication skills and individual work instead of deepening all the time on others. However, there were few areas needs improvements in solving some of problems faced such as lack of equipments (furnace with high temperature at least 1450C^{o,} and mixture machine of raw materials) for mixing, clinkering and milling cement.

For future study, it is recommended to continue on this research using a furnace with high temperature to complete the process of making Portland cement clinker which means the main process of producing cement flow-line, and then to proceed for physical properties of Portland cement class G, compressive strength, thickening time and fluid loss.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ariffin S, Surej K.S, Chandra: cement properties effects to steel corrosion rate.
- 2. http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/materialsgrp/cement.html
- 3. http://www.es.ucl.ac.uk/schools/UCL/limestone.htm.
- Pomeroy, D. 1989. Concrete durability: From basic research to practical reality. ACI special publication. Concrete durability SP- 100: 111-31.
- Standard specifications for Portland cement (ASTM C 150-86). 1990 annual book of ASTM standards 4.02:89 – 93
- 6. D.G Calvert, Mobile E&P U.S. Inc, and Dwight K. Smith, SPE Halliburton services (1990). API oil well cementing practices, 1364-1365.
- Jeff, (2000). Using alternative sources of oil well cement, SPE and Todd Gilmore SPE, B j services and Lawrence Weber SPE, Unocal.
- 8. Larry W. Lake, editor in-Chief, Petroleum Engineering Handbook, volume II drilling engineering Robert F. Mitchell editor Landmark graphics Corp

- 9. Charn-Hoon PARK, study on manufacture of clinker using law limestone and waste paper sludge
- 10. http://www.understanding-cement.com/analysis.html

Appendix A

Chemical symbols are abbreviated form of the names of chemical elements

| Element | Symbol | Atomic weight |
|------------|--------|---------------|
| Hydrogen | Н | 1 |
| Carbon | С | 12 |
| Oxygen | 0 | 16 |
| Neutron | Ν | 18 |
| Sodium | Na | 23 |
| Magnesium | Mg | 26 |
| Aluminium | Al | 27 |
| Silicon | Si | 28 |
| Phosphorus | Р | 31 |
| Sulfur | S | 32 |
| Chlorine | Cl | 35.5 |
| Potassium | K | 39 |
| Calcium | Са | 40 |
| Titanium | Ti | 48 |
| Chromium | Cr | 52 |
| Manganese | Mn | 55 |
| Iron | Fe | 56 |