

Risk Based Inspection Study on Relief Valves at Offshore and Onshore Plant

by

Muhammad Najib Bin Mat Saad

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the
Bachelor of Engineering (Hons)
(Mechanical Engineering)

JANUARY 2009

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS
Bandar Seri Iskandar
31750 Tronoh
Perak Darul Ridzuan

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Risk Based Inspection Study on Relief Valves at Offshore and Onshore Plant

by

Muhammad Najib Bin Mat Saad

A project dissertation submitted to the
Mechanical Engineering Programme
Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS
in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the
BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons)
(MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(Assoc. Prof. Dr. Patthi Bin Hussain)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS

TRONOH, PERAK

January 2009

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

(MUHAMMAD NAJIB BIN MAT SAAD)

ABSTRACT

The objective of this project is to improve the Relief Valves equipment inspection maintenance activities in order to optimize the cost by obtain inspection work plan & frequency for the RV at plant based on their criticality ranking results. It focuses on inspection program at higher risk equipment and reducing overall plant risk. Risk Based Inspection program is a defined process for establishing and managing an inspection program based on the failure probability and consequences for each equipment item. The criticality analysis or risk evaluation is a dynamic calculation, with the ability to take into account changes in the process or results from an inspection. From there the inspection planning strategies will be developed, for future maintenance planning that concerning how, when and where to be inspected.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to take the opportunity to express his utmost gratitude to the individual that have taken the time and effort to assist the author in completing the project. Without the cooperation of these individuals, no doubt the author would have faced some problem complications throughout the project.

First and foremost the author's utmost gratitude goes to the author's supervisor, *Assoc. Prof. Dr. Patthi Bin Hussain* for his guidance and patience until complete the project and to the author's previous internship host company *OGM Sdn. Bhd.* for provide with all the required relevant information to begin until completed the project.

To the Mechanical Engineering Department Final Year Project committees thank you for assisting the author in completing her project. To all individuals that has helped the author in any way, but whose name is not mentioned here, the author thank you all. Not forgotten to all author's family members, especially author's parent Mr. Mat Saad Bin Bakar and Maimunah Bt. Che Ri for their strong moral support until the project completed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL	i
CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY.	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
CHAPTER 1:	INTRODUCTION	.	.	.	1
	1.1 Background of Study	.	.	.	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	.	.	.	2
	1.3 Objective	.	.	.	2
	1.4 Significance of Study.	.	.	.	3
	1.5 Scope of Study	.	.	.	4
CHAPTER 2:	LITERATURE REVIEW.	.	.	.	5
	2.1 Theory Overview	.	.	.	5
	2.2 Risk Ranking	.	.	.	6
	2.3 Relief Valve Overview.	.	.	.	8
	2.4 Risk Based Inspection related software	.	.	.	11
	2.5 Assessing Risk of Relief Valve	.	.	.	12
CHAPTER 3:	METHODOLOGY	.	.	.	13
	3.1 Project steps	.	.	.	13
	3.2 Project Flow	.	.	.	17
	3.3 Gantt chart	.	.	.	18
CHAPTER 4:	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	.	.	.	20
	4.1 Overview of the Plants	.	.	.	20
	4.2 Data Collection and Gathering	.	.	.	21
	4.3 Criticality ranking evaluation	.	.	.	24

4.4	Result and Discussion.	.	.	30
4.5	Risk distribution Summary	.	.	34
4.6	Inspection Work Plan Summary and Planning Strategies	.	.	34
CHAPTER 5:	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION			37
5.1	Conclusion	.	.	37
5.2	Recommendations	.	.	37
REFERENCES	.	.	.	38
APPENDICES	.	.	.	40

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Comparison between inspection methods graph.	.	.	3
Figure 2.1	Risk Ranking Matrix.	.	.	7
Figure 2.2	Relief Valve component.	.	.	9
Figure 2.3	Relief Valve orientation with its protected equipment.	.	.	10
Figure 2.4	Bursting disc mechanism before and after.	.	.	10
Figure 3.1	Project work flow diagram.	.	.	16
Figure 4.1	Criticality calculation flow.	.	.	23
Figure 4.2	Deterioration factor vs years since last inspection.	.	.	25
Figure 4.3	Criticality Distribution for all of the relief devices for plant-1 .	.	.	30
Figure 4.4	Criticality Distribution for all of the relief devices for plant-2 .	.	.	30
Figure 4.5	Consequence of Failure Distribution for plant-1.	.	.	32
Figure 4.6	Consequence of Failure Distribution for plant-2.	.	.	32
Figure 4.7	Inspection Priority Matrix.	.	.	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Gantt Chart for FYP-I project progress	17
Table 3.2	Gantt Chart for FYP-II project progress. . . .	18
Table 4.1	Types of data for Risk analysis	20
Table 4.2	A part of the spreadsheet data gathering. . . .	22
Table 4.3	Usage of relief valve interest.	26
Table 4.4	Ratio of pressure	27
Table 4.5	Probability Category based on the adjusted deterioration Factor	27
Table 4.6	Distribution of Risk Ratings	29
Table 4.7	Relief valve test inspection planning strategies. . . .	35