### CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

# Study on the Air Conditioning Cooling Load and Operational Practices within Glazed Buildings in Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS

by

Ahmad Hadi Bin Hassan

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(Ir. Dr. Shaharin Anwar Sulaiman)

# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS TRONOH, PERAK January 2009

### CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

AHMAD HADI HASSAN

#### ABSTRACT

The centralized air conditioning system in Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS is a large scale air conditioning application, in which the average monthly consumption reaches as high as 850,000 RTh. For such a huge amount of energy consumption, the system has vast potential for energy and cost saving. Therefore, a thorough study on the cooling load of the whole system should be performed to identify potential areas for energy and cost saving. The objective of the present study is to investigate the potential energy savings within the air conditioning system. The study is conducted by analyzing the system's cooling load and the operational practices, both manually and by software simulation. For cooling load analysis, the effect of several design and operational variables towards building annual cooling energy are analyzed in terms of the building orientation, thermal insulation, night ventilation, window shading devices, infiltration and overcooling towards building annual cooling energy. On the operational side, building occupancy pattern is investigated. This involved analyzing the occupancy pattern during weekday and weekend as well as estimating the energy saving by isolating the unoccupied spaces. From the simulations' results, implementation of windows blinds and shadings as well as providing night ventilation give a significant reduction of buildings' annual cooling energy. For the operational practices, implementation of rooms' scheduling for the air conditioning system will also result in a substantial reduction of building cooling load.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge and thank everyone that has given me all the supports and guidance throughout the whole period of completing the final year project. Firstly, many thanks to the university and the Final Year Project coordinators that have coordinated and made the necessary arrangements, especially in terms of the logistics, for this study.

I must also acknowledge the endless help and support received from my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Shaharin Anwar Sulaiman throughout the whole period of completing the final year project. His guidance and advices are very much appreciated. Apart from that, many thanks to the UTP Property Management and Maintenance Department (PMMD UTP) Engineer, Mr. Fatimie Irzaq Khamis and Mr. Foong Kok Keong for helping me in arranging for the completion of a few experiments on the air conditioning system.

I would also like to express my utmost gratitude to my fellow FYP partners Ms. Fara Husna Thambi and Ms. Siti Nurfadilah Ahmad Mujor for their continous support upon the completion of my research work.

Finally many thanks to my fellow colleagues for their help and ideas throughout the completion of this study. Thank you all.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <b>CERTIFICATION</b> ( | OF AP | PROVAL       | •            |          | •        | •    | • | i    |
|------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|------|---|------|
| CERTIFICATION (        | OF OR | IGINALITY    |              |          |          |      |   | ii   |
| ABSTRACT .             |       |              |              |          |          |      |   | iii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEM           | 1ENT  |              |              |          |          |      |   | iv   |
| TABLE OF CONTE         | NTS   |              |              |          |          |      |   | v    |
| LIST OF FIGURES        |       |              |              |          |          |      |   | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES         |       |              |              |          |          |      |   | х    |
| ABREVIATIONS A         | ND NC | OMENCLAT     | URE          |          |          |      |   | xi   |
|                        |       |              |              |          |          |      |   |      |
| CHAPTER 1:             | INTR  | ODUCTION     |              |          |          | •    | • | 1    |
|                        | 1.1   | Background   | of study     | •        | •        | •    | • | 1    |
|                        | 1.2   | Problem stat | ement        | •        | •        | •    | • | 4    |
|                        | 1.3   | Objectives a | nd scope     | of stuc  | ly.      | •    | • | 5    |
|                        | 1.4   | Dissertation | Outline.     |          |          |      |   | 5    |
| CHAPTER 2:             | LITE  | RATURE RE    | VIEW         |          |          |      |   | 7    |
|                        | 2.1   | Previous Stu | dv           |          |          |      |   | 7    |
|                        | 2.2   | Theory of Co | ooling Lo    | oad Cal  | culation |      |   | 9    |
|                        |       | 2.2.1 Concep | ot of Coo    | ling Lo  | ad       |      |   | 10   |
|                        |       | 2.2.3 Coolin | g Load C     | alculat  | ion      |      |   | 11   |
|                        | 2.3   | Review on H  | -<br>IVAC Er | nergy C  | onserva  | tion |   |      |
|                        |       | Opportunity  | Review.      |          |          |      |   | 12   |
|                        | 2.4   | Energy Savin | ng Featu     | res in C | urrent   | Air  |   |      |
|                        |       | Conditioning | g System     |          | •        | •    | • | 13   |
|                        | 2.5   | Energy Sain  | g Featur     | e of UT  | TP Air   |      |   |      |
|                        |       | Conditioning | g System     |          |          |      |   | 16   |
| CHAPTER 3:             | METI  | HODOLOGY     | 7            |          |          |      |   | 18   |
|                        | 3.1   | Project Flow |              |          |          |      |   | 18   |
|                        | 3.2   | Simulation T | Cool: Ene    | ergy Plu | IS       |      |   | 20   |

|            | 3.3         | Cooling Load Manual Calculation:          |      |    |
|------------|-------------|---|------|----|
|            |             | CLTD Method                               |      | 21 |
|            |             | 3.3.1 Indoor/Outdoor Design Specification | ns.  | 22 |
|            |             | 3.3.2 Building Description                |      | 22 |
|            |             | 3.3.3 Heat Gain Calculation               |      | 24 |
|            |             | 3.3.4 Energy Plus Simulation .            |      | 27 |
|            | 3.4         | Investigation on UTP Air Conditioning     |      |    |
|            |             | Operational Practices                     |      | 28 |
|            |             | 3.4.1 Weekends Occupancy Pattern .        |      | 29 |
|            |             | 3.4.2 Weekday Occupancy Pattern .         |      | 29 |
|            |             | 3.4.3 Unoccupied Rooms                    |      | 29 |
|            | 3.5         | Measurements of Envelope's                |      |    |
|            |             | Thermal Resistance                        |      | 30 |
| CHAPTER 4: | COC         | DLING LOAD ANALYSIS                       |      | 32 |
|            | <b>4</b> .1 | Building Envelop Thermal Resistance       |      |    |
|            |             | Experiment                                |      | 32 |
|            | 4.2         | Cooling Load Analysis                     |      | 33 |
|            |             | 4.2.1 CLTD Method and Heat Balance        |      |    |
|            |             | Method Comparison .                       |      | 33 |
|            |             | 4.2.2 Comparison between Equipment De     | sign |    |
|            |             | Cooling Capacity and Calculated.          |      |    |
|            |             | Cooling load                              |      | 38 |
|            |             | 4.2.3 Cooling Load Simulation for UTP     |      |    |
|            |             | Academic Building.                        |      | 39 |
|            |             | 4.2.4 Effect of Building Orientation on   |      |    |
|            |             | Annual building Cooling Energy.           |      | 39 |
|            |             | 4.2.5 Effect of Window Blind and Shading  | g    |    |
|            |             | on Building Cooling Load.                 |      | 43 |
|            |             | 4.2.6 Effect of Thermal Insulation        |      | 44 |
|            |             | 4.2.7 Effect of Night Ventilation.        |      | 45 |
|            |             | 4.2.8 Effect of Space Overcooling<br>vi   | •    | 46 |

|                   |          | 4.2.9 E  | Effect of | Infiltra | tion Lo | ad.     | •       |   | 51 |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---|----|
| CHAPTER 5:        | OPER     | ATION    | NAL AN    | NALYS    | IS.     |         |         |   | 53 |
|                   | 5.1      | Weeke    | ends Occ  | cupancy  | Patter  | n.      |         |   | 53 |
|                   | 5.2      | Weekd    | lays Oco  | cupancy  | Patter  | n.      |         |   | 54 |
|                   | 5.3      | Unocc    | upied R   | ooms.    |         |         | •       |   | 59 |
|                   |          |          |           |          |         |         |         |   |    |
| CHAPTER 6:        | CONC     | CLUSIC   | DN        |          |         |         | •       |   | 68 |
| REFERENCES        |          |          |           |          |         |         |         |   | 70 |
| APPENDIX .        |          |          |           |          |         |         |         |   | 72 |
| APPENDIX 1: FYP C | Gantt Cł | nart     | •         |          |         | •       |         |   | 73 |
| APPENDIX 2: Therm | nal Resi | stance H | Experim   | ent Res  | ults    |         |         |   | 75 |
| APPENDIX 3: U Val | ue Calc  | ulation  |           |          |         |         |         |   | 76 |
| APPENDIX 4: AHU   | operatio | on schee | lule for  | UTP N    | ew Aca  | demic ( | Complex | x | 78 |
|                   |          |          |           |          |         |         |         |   |    |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1  | Aerial view of UTP new academic complex                                    | 3  |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.2  | UTP Chilled water consumption from year 2007 to 2008                       | 6  |
| Figure 2.1  | Heat Flow Diagram of building heat gain, storage and cooling load          | 10 |
| Figure 2.2  | Schematic diagram of heat balance processes of a zone                      | 11 |
| Figure 2.3  | Heat Wheel   | 14 |
| Figure 2.4  | Plate heat exchanger working mechanism                                     | 14 |
| Figure 2.5  | Run around coil  | 15 |
| Figure 2.6  | Presence (movement) sensor introduced by Fujitsu                           | 15 |
| Figure 2.7  | Heat Wheel   | 16 |
| Figure 2.8  | Plate type heat exchanger  | 16 |
| Figure 3.1  | Project flow diagram for the present project                               | 19 |
| Figure 3.2  | Energy Plus methodology schematic diagram                                  | 20 |
| Figure 3.3  | CLTD method of cooling load calculation                                    | 21 |
| Figure 3.4  | Floor layout for Block 17 Level 3  | 22 |
| Figure 3.5  | Methodology for Energy Plus simulation                                     | 28 |
| Figure 3.6  | Thermal circuit schematic diagram  | 31 |
| Figure 4.1  | Cooling load distribution by Commercial Building CLTD Method               | 33 |
| Figure 4.2  | Cooling load calculation via Commercial Building CLTD Method               | 34 |
| Figure 4.3  | Cooling load calculation via Residential Building CLTD Method              | 35 |
| Figure 4.4  | Annual simulation result of Energy Plus for Block 17 Level 3               | 36 |
| Figure 4.5  | UTP Academic building annual cooling energy                                | 41 |
| Figure 4.6  | Aerial view of UTP Academic Buildings                                      | 40 |
| Figure 4.7  | Effect building orientation on annual cooling energy                       | 42 |
| Figure 4.8  | Effect of night ventilation on cooling energy requirement for Block 23     | 47 |
| Figure 4.9  | Nighttime temperature profile for Block 23                                 | 48 |
| Figure 4.10 | Return Air Temperature Profile for Block 23 on April 15 <sup>th</sup> 2009 | 49 |
| Figure 4.11 | Effect of overcooling on building cooling energy                           | 50 |
| Figure 4.12 | Effect of infiltration load from door opening                              | 52 |
| Figure 4.13 | A door was left opened during class  | 51 |

| Figure 5.1 | Occupancy Pattern of UTP Mechanical Engineering Buildings             | 57    |
|------------|---|-------|
| Figure 5.2 | Annual cooling load comparison after implementing scheduling          | 58    |
| Figure 5.3 | Base case model for Block 17 first floor after isolation of the       |       |
|            | unoccupied rooms  | 00    |
| Figure 5.4 | VAV layout for Block 17 first floor before and after isolation of the |       |
|            | unoccupied rooms  | 60    |
| Figure 5.5 | Result of cooling load simulation for Block 17 first floor before and |       |
|            | after isolation of the unoccupied rooms                               | rooms |
| Figure 5.6 | The daily VSD profiles of Block 17 Level 1 before and after isolation |       |
|            | of the unoccupied rooms (AB side)                                     | 04    |
| Figure 5.7 | The daily VSD profiles of Block 17 Level 1 before and after isolation | 65    |
|            | of the unoccupied rooms (CD side)                                     | 65    |
| Figure 5.8 | The daily cooling valve profiles of Block 17 Level 1 before and after |       |
|            | isolation of the unoccupied rooms (AB side)                           | 00    |
| Figure 5.9 | The daily cooling valve profiles of Block 17 Level 1 before and after |       |
|            | isolation of the unoccupied rooms (CD side)                           | 07    |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1  | Comparison on yearly consumption of various energy resources in     | 1   |  |  |
|------------|---|-----|--|--|
|            | Malaysia  | 4   |  |  |
| Table 3.1  | Building 17 dimensional and envelope details                        | 18  |  |  |
| Table 3.2  | Envelope material specification                                     | 19  |  |  |
| Table 3.3  | CLTD, LM and CLTD <sub>c</sub> values                               | 20  |  |  |
| Table 3.4  | Table of SHGC, A, SC and CLF values                                 | 21  |  |  |
| Table 3.5  | Heat gain values for equipments and appliances                      | 21  |  |  |
| Table 3.6  | Heat gain values for equipments and appliances                      | 22  |  |  |
| Table 3.7  | Glass load factor (GLF) values                                      | 23  |  |  |
| Table 4.1  | Building Envelope Thermal Resistance Experiment                     | 28  |  |  |
| Table 4.2  | Result for <i>h</i> and <i>R</i> value                              | 29  |  |  |
| Table 4.3  | Comparison between CLTD and HB method                               | 37  |  |  |
| Table 4.2  | Cooling Load Calculation via ASHRAE 2001 CLTD method                | 30  |  |  |
| Table 4.3  | Annual simulation result of Energy Plus                             | 31  |  |  |
| Table 4.4  | Cooling Load comparison between HB method and CLTD method           | 37  |  |  |
| m 11 4 5   | Cooling Load comparison Equipment Rated Cooling Capacity vs. HB     |     |  |  |
| 1 able 4.5 | method peak cooling load  | 20  |  |  |
| Table 16   | Effect of windows blinds and shadings on annual cooling energy      |     |  |  |
| Table 4.0  | requirement for Block 23  | 43  |  |  |
| m 11 / m   | Effect of thermal insulation on annual cooling energy requirement   | 4.4 |  |  |
| Table 4.7  | for Block 23  | 44  |  |  |
| Table 4.8  | Effect night ventilation on cooling energy requirement for Block 23 | 45  |  |  |
| Table 4.9  | Effect of overcooling on building cooling energy (Block 23)         | 46  |  |  |
| Table 5.1  | Weekends Occupancy Pattern of UTP                                   | 54  |  |  |
| Table 5 0  | Summary UTP weekends occupancy annual cooling energy                |     |  |  |
| Table 5.2  | irements  |     |  |  |
| Table 5.3  | Summary of Room Occupancy of UTP Mechanical Engineering             | EC  |  |  |
|            | Buildings   | 30  |  |  |

| Table 5.4 | Summary of Unoccupied Room for UTP Mechanical Engineering       |    |  |  |
|-----------|---|----|--|--|
|           | Buildings   | 59 |  |  |
| Table 5.5 | Block 17 first floor AHU fan energy consumption comparison      | 62 |  |  |
| Table 5.6 | Block 17 first floor AHU cooling valve total opening comparison | 62 |  |  |

### ABREVIATIONS AND NOMENCLATURES

| AHU    | Air Handling Unit   |
|--------|---|
| ASHRAE | American Society of Heating Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineer |
| BLAST  | Building Loads Analysis and Systems Thermodynamics                      |
| CFD    | Conduction Finite Difference  |
| CFM    | Conduction Transfer Function  |
| CLF    | Cooling Load Factor   |
| CLTD   | Cooling Load Temperature Difference                                     |
| CTF    | Cubic Feet per Minute   |
| DB     | Dry Bulb  |
| GLF    | Glass Load Factor   |
| HB     | Heat Balance  |
| HVAC   | Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning                                |
| SC     | Shading Coefficient   |
| SHGF   | Solar Heat Gain Factor  |
| TFM    | Transfer Function Method  |
| UTP    | Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS   |
| VAV    | Variable Air Volume   |
| VSD    | Variable Speed Drive  |
| WB     | Wet Bulb  |